


# ¿Cuál de los dos modelos prefiere?

136 responses



<b>¿Cuál de los dos modelos prefiere?</b>	<b>¿Cuál es la razón principal por la que ha elegido este modelo?</b>	<b>Si pudiera cambiar algo del modelo que ha elegido, ¿qué sería?</b>
Modelo 4	Menos niños	Quisiera q no fueran a la escuela
Modelo 4	Para que allá más espacio entre los alumnos	
Modelo 3	Por que Valleydale ya sufrió cambios muchos alumnos fueron de Mountain View y se me hace injusto que otra vez pasen por un cierre	Dejar Slauson y Gladstone high school
Modelo 3	Porque los niños entrarían al grado 7 aún pequeños, e inmaduros, y ahí ya habría niños más grandes	Nada
Modelo 3		
Modelo 3	Nose	
Modelo 4		
Modelo 4	Es mas eficiente	Que Este abierto center, foothill, slauson
Modelo 4	Menos estudiantes .	El 50% de la capacidad y tener una muy buena ventilación en los salones de clases para evitar la concentración del aire . Ventanas y puertas abiertas así como extractores de aire
Modelo 3	La razón es porque los estándares y adaptaciones de escuela para cada niño es diferente y no esta bien que pongan en un plantel escolar el cual hay uno de 13 años con otro que lla tiene los 18 años para mí eso no está bien es mi punto de vista.	Pues me gustaría que se quedara abierta la center school para que se compartieran los estudiantes y el número de niños por aulas fuera menos.
Modelo 4	No son tantos en la escuela	Mejor un 50% solamente
Modelo 4	K-6	Ahí mas escuelas
Modelo 3	Pienso q muchos personas se van a quedar sin trabajo y otra opción no cerrarán preparatorias una mejor educación para los muchachos	No cerraría ninguna las dejaría todas abiertas para una mejor educación menos cantidad menos riesgo y mejor aprovechamiento
Modelo 3	No quiero que cierre Valleydale y Gladstone porque El próximo año mi hija Iría a Gladstone y le queda cercas de la casa	La escuela nueva que no la abrieran y que se queden a brientas la Azusa y Gladstone High

¿Cuál de los dos modelos prefiere?	¿Cuál es la razón principal por la que ha elegido este modelo?	Si pudiera cambiar algo del modelo que ha elegido, ¿qué sería?
Modelo 3	Los niños de 7th,8th grado están en una edad de cambio de niño a adolescente ,entonces yo pienso que deberían tener su espacio y tiempo para el desarrollo personal esos dos años,y estar listos para pasar a higschool,para mí es muy importante las estarás y cambios de vida de los niños .gracias	Yo pienso que se debería cerrar tambien powell Elementary uno por la cantidad de estudiantes que tiene el plantel y también porque si conparamos el porcentaje de alumnos desde hace 5 o 3 años atrás a disminuido una gran cantidad en todo el distrito cada año es menos estudiantes y ahora más después de pandemia la mayoría estamos optando la educación a distancia ,en cuanto al nuevo higschool me gustaría en la siguiente reunión que puedan especificar con más detalle a que se refieren cuando dice "escuela nueva " etc.etc.si porfavor pueden tomar en consideración agregar programas de computación y AVED para los grados 7 y 8 y la clase de español más intenso y avanzado para los niños de vienen de doble inmercion ,no es justo ponerlos con los aprendices de español ...
Modelo 3	No me gustaría que cerraran la escuela donde atiende mi hija.	En Modelo 3, si Valleydale se queda, No me gustaría tener el TK hasta al 8 grado. Me preocupa que algo como bullying le ocurre a mi hija chica simple porque los niños más grandes sean mayores. Si bullying ocurre entre los mismos grados, quien dice que los mayores no le van hacer o decir algo a mi hija chica.
Modelo 3	Creo que con menos alumnos van a tener mas tencion los maestros	Que los salones tubieran menos alumnos por maestra.
Modelo 3	Q.no cierren la valleydale	
Modelo 3	porque es in portante q.las escuelas estén abiertas son parte de la educación de nuestros hijos	la política q.tienen
Modelo 4		
Modelo 3	Prefiero que pasen más años en escuela primaria, para que maduren mas y también que esta la opción de una secundaria sola.	
Modelo 4	Porque la preparatoria Azusa y la Gladstone deben de estar separadas por la rivalidad que hay	Qué no haiga tanto niño en un salón que sean grupos chicos
Modelo 3	Me parece que es mas conveniente tener a los estudiantes separados en diferentes planteles.	Pondria el 9th grado en la Foothill

<b>¿Cuál de los dos modelos prefiere?</b>	<b>¿Cuál es la razón principal por la que ha elegido este modelo?</b>	<b>Si pudiera cambiar algo del modelo que ha elegido, ¿qué sería?</b>
Modelo 4		
Modelo 3	Mejor organización	Nada
Modelo 4	Me gustaría que conservarían la Gladstone HS.	Que no fueran desde el 7 tengo temor al bullying
Modelo 4	Pienso que es la mejor opción	Nada
Modelo 3	Por la organización de los grados y tomando en cuenta las edades de convivencia de los estudiantes.	Que se contarán con dos escuelas preparatorias, por que entre más numerosas son las escuelas los problemas aumentarán.
Modelo 3	Pienso que para nosotros seria mejor tener a nuestros estudiantes en la misma escuela x mas tiempo.	Dejar la Azusa HS Y La Gladstone HS abiertas.
Modelo 4	Porq me gusta la escuela gladstone	Q se quedara Valleydale
Modelo 4	Me gusta el personal de la escuela mi hijo se adapto muy rápido i si el se siente bien en esta escuela yo estaré satisfecha tan bien	Que sigan asi como van o que sea mejor para q siempre este abierta la escuela sobre todo con protección por el covid 19
Modelo 3	Me llamo mas la atención	Nada
Modelo 4	Habrà más capacidad para los estudiantes	No al cierre de las escuelas
Modelo 4	Las secundarias son mejor separadas	Solo que mantengan las secundarias abiertas
Modelo 3	No creo conveniente las edades	Mas programas de deportes
Modelo 3	Porque no me gustaria que la secundaria y preparatoria estén juntas y tampoco que cierren la escuela Valleydale	Que no cierren la escuela slauson
Modelo 4	Tengo un estudiante en high school y no creo que sea buena idea tener muchos estudiantes en una sola escuela, si asi teniendo 2 escuelas hay mucho problema, no quiero imaginarme como será con tanto estudiante. Ó que garantías ofrecen para tener una sola escuela?	El modelo 4 esta bien.
Modelo 4	Por que tengo hijos en la preparatoria y así a funcionado perfectamente creo que se debe tomar en cuenta eso y que para mi persona es más difícil que mis hijos caminen asta azusaH. Por que no todos tenemos carros para llevarlos.	
Modelo 4	Es más razonable	Na
Modelo 4	Tener abiertas las 2 escuelas Azua y Glastone	En el modelo 4 dejar abierta una escuela intermedia.

<b>¿Cuál de los dos modelos prefiere?</b>	<b>¿Cuál es la razón principal por la que ha elegido este modelo?</b>	<b>Si pudiera cambiar algo del modelo que ha elegido, ¿qué sería?</b>
Modelo 4	Por qué los niños irían de 7 al 12 ahí	Nada
Modelo 4	Para que mis estudiantes al llegar al grado 7 puedan continuar en la misma escuela asta el grado 12	
Modelo 3	Tendríamos una escuela intermedia abierta...Nuestros niños tendrán tiempo para el cambio a su preparatoria	Mantener nuestra Gladstone abierta para que nuestros estudiantes tengan dos opciones en nuestra ciudad y continúe la motivación por las competencias de deportes y mejor escuela
Modelo 3	Por que permanece abierta Gladstone. Así nuestros hijos tienen dos opciones en nuestro distrito. (Si cierran Gladstone, me llevo mis hijos a otro distrito y son tres )	Mantener una escuela intermedia abierta
Modelo 3	Seleccione 3 porque no hay otra opción y para mi no funciona ninguna de las 2. porque de las 2 formas van a sobrepoblar la escuela y Arian que niños de 12 años convivan con niños de 17 años y eso no estaría bien porque aumentaría la violencia a una edad más temprana y quedarían muchos maestros sin trabajo y al unir 2 h.schools aumentaría el peligro adentro de la escuela al unir dos pandillas	Aria lo posible por mantener las escuelas abiertas para que los estudiantes no emigren a otros distritos escolares, porque el mayor problema de falta de estudiantes es que otros distritos tienen mejores programas educativos
Modelo 3	No me gusta los limites e impacto en cerrar escuelas. Sobre todo ahora que ocupamos espacios más grandes para fluir el aire.	Ninguno. Yo abriría todas las escuelas cerradas y los fondos están solo que en la guerra mal distribuida. Azusa necesita crecer no acortarse
Modelo 4	Porque son mejor y mas cerca para mi por que no tengo traspote para llevar ha mis hijos	nada
Modelo 4		This simplify the process for students and the district can concentrate in offering more programs to students
Modelo 4	Me parese mejor	Ninguna
Modelo 4	Me gusta mucho y porque ahí van mi hijos.	
Modelo 4	Poca jente más espació	Nada
Modelo 4	Me gusta mucho la escuela y me queda más cerca.	
Modelo 4	Se me ase más practico	
Modelo 4	Se me ase más práctico	

¿Cuál de los dos modelos prefiere?	¿Cuál es la razón principal por la que ha elegido este modelo?	Si pudiera cambiar algo del modelo que ha elegido, ¿qué sería?
Modelo 4	Es prudente tomar en cuenta que al no tener escuela secundaria es preciso tener 2 preparatorias así como actual mente	Quitaría el 7 grado pues los niños son menos maduros para relacionarse con niños casi adultos
Modelo 3	No es buena idea mezclar niños pequeños con con niños más grande tan pronto	Debería de haber una opción de unificar distritos escolares con ciudades cercanas ... se ahorraría más que reduciendo escuelas... con tan pocas escuelas es un desperdicio de recursos
Modelo 3	Menos estudiantes mejor atención por parte de los maestros y más tranquilo el ambiente.	Nada. Pero aprovecho esta oportunidad para expresar mi preocupación por la cantidad de estudiantes en algunos salones de clase que ha habido en el pasado. Sugiero prestarle más atención a eso para garantizar la calidad de la educación de los niños y jóvenes. No solo es importante la estructura física donde reciben los estudiantes sus clases sino también la cantidad de estudiantes por maestro.
Modelo 3	Menos estudiantes más tranquilo el ambiente.	Nada. Pero sugiero prestarle atención no solo a la estructura física donde van a recibir sus clases los estudiantes sino también a la cantidad de niños/jóvenes por maestro para así garantizar la calidad de la educación.
Modelo 3	Porque tiene mayor porcentaje de capacidad de estudiantes de secundaria y preparatoria	Nada
Modelo 3	New school and I wouldn't have my child be with high school students at a young age	None
Modelo 3	Porque se me hace exponer a los niños chiquitos a convivir con los mas grandes. La secundaria es la para que tengan tiempo de ir madurando y prepararlos para la preparatoria.	En el modelo 3 no especifica cual preparatoria es la que va ha permanecer abierta. Azusa o glastone
Modelo 3	No me gustan estos dos. La idea de tener a mis hijos del 7mo grado con estudiantes de 12 creo que no beneficia a los estudiantes solo al personal. Porque no regresan al modelo de K-8? ese me parecia mucho mas adecuado de cualquiera de estos dos.	Dejar las dos HS, y expandir las elementaries a K-8. Hay muchas familias que caminan en Azusa, no es justo quitarles opciones.
Modelo 3	No tengo forma de manejo para llevar a mi hijos. Serria muy lejos para ellos caminar de donde vivo.	Que no sierren Lee school. Es la escuela más cercas y no tengo otra forma de llevar los.
Modelo 4		

<b>¿Cuál de los dos modelos prefiere?</b>	<b>¿Cuál es la razón principal por la que ha elegido este modelo?</b>	<b>Si pudiera cambiar algo del modelo que ha elegido, ¿qué sería?</b>
Modelo 4	Porque mi hijo se adapto muy rápido i porque me gusta el personal de la escuela Murray	Por el momento nada
Modelo 4		
Modelo 3	Porque secundaria y preparatoria seguirían deparados	Ninguna
Modelo 3	Para estar cerca de la escuela valleydale.	Nada
Modelo 3	Para estar cerca de valleydale.	Nada
Modelo 4	La capacidad de admisión en las dos preparatorias es mucho mayor al modelo #3	Escogeria cerrar otra escuela primaria en lugar de Lee, Powell y/o Dalton quiza
Modelo 3	Porq me gustas estas escuelas	Ninguna no me gustaria q cierras ninguna escuela
Modelo 4	Qué hay dos escuelas secundarias, y en el primer modelo solo una y no es bueno tanta aglomeración de estudiantes.	Dejar una escuela intermedia del 7 al 8.
Modelo 3	Me parece mejor no mezclar estudiantes de secundaria con preparatoria	Q no cierren lee y cierren otra con menor numero de estudiantes
Modelo 3	Que no cierren más escuelas que les pueden quedar serca a varios chicos.	
Modelo 3	Para que los niños no se pierdan el proceso de pasar por la secundaria	Que dijieran que prepa es la que va ha peranecer abierta
Modelo 4	Esta más cerca de mi casa	
Modelo 4		
Modelo 4	Mejor distribución de los grados	
Modelo 4	Porque me es mas coherente.	Ninguno .
Modelo 3	Porq la escuela Ellington tiene menor capacidad de estudiantes y porq es mas chica. El modelo 4 ofrece 2 escuelas sec y prep con Un total de 4032.	Estoy a favor de conservar escuela Valleydale.
Modelo 4	Porque tendria serca azusa HS	Nada
Modelo 3		
Modelo 4	Por que están las dos preparatorias abiertas	Que los niños en los salones sean más pequeños
Modelo 4	Misma escuela para mis 2 hijos	

<b>¿Cuál de los dos modelos prefiere?</b>	<b>¿Cuál es la razón principal por la que ha elegido este modelo?</b>	<b>Si pudiera cambiar algo del modelo que ha elegido, ¿qué sería?</b>
Modelo 4	Que todos los estudiantes Salian iguales de primaria y lie go a la higschool.wq	Q las primarias llegaran al 8 .
Modelo 4	Por q estan mas serca de donde vivo	Nose
Modelo 3	Pienso que la cantidad de niños esta bien por que no podemos exeder la capacidad por El bien de Los estudiantes .	.
Modelo 4	Hay 2 opciones de escuelas con secundaria y preparatoria.	Que siguiera abierta la Valleydale porque tiene el programa de doble inmersión, es buena opcion que continuara, ademas que tiene la clase y salones adaptados para los niños de life skills.
Modelo 4		
Modelo 4	Porque solo sería una transición	No cambiaria nada
Modelo 4	la capacidad	ninguno
Modelo 3	Pienso que los niños de grado 7 son pequeños para interactuar con niños de grado 12, diferntes ideas, formas de comportarse, pueden ser victimas de bully o abusos de niños mas grandes	Que se facilitara el transporte a los niños que no tienen la manera de transportarse a la escuela que les corresponde.
Modelo 3	Si quiero una edcuela intermedia.	
Modelo 3	No creo conveniente que esten juntos de 12años con 18 años....muy mala decicion.	La verdad si me gustaria saber SI nos toman de verdad en cuenca
Modelo 3	hay muchas escuelas y pocos estudiantes	la otra opcion el 4
Modelo 4	Pienso que es más conveniente.	Combinar más escuelas primarias.
Modelo 3	Porque es mejor separados	Nada
Modelo 4	Esta bien la propuesta son similares los 2 modelos	Ninguno así está bien
Modelo 4	Porque en este modelo no se cerraría Gladstone	Continuar por lo menos con dos middle schools



¿Cuál de los dos modelos prefiere?	¿Cuál es la razón principal por la que ha elegido este modelo?	Si pudiera cambiar algo del modelo que ha elegido, ¿qué sería?
Modelo 3	<p>Valleydale es una escuela excelente que tengo confianza en dejar a mis hijas en esa escuela no me gustaria que la cerran por el motivo de que mis hijos y nosotros como padres estamos muy confiables con esta escuela ...mis hijas an tenido un enorme mejoramiento... Los maestros y la directora todos an sido y son muy educados que siempre estan hay para alludar a quien lo necesita siemore estan al oendiente de cada alumno ... entuendo que esta en lista de cierre pero tambien tienen que tener en cuenta en los alumnos estar en una escuela y tener un cambio de maestros . escuela etc.. No se me ase justo...</p> <p>NO AL CIERRE DE VALLEYDALE NOOOOO queremos que se cuerre no...</p>	
Modelo 4	Más espacio	Nada
Modelo 4	Gladstone y Azusa High School no deberían cerrar, por instalaciones, tamaño del campus, localización, etc. Además no veo el caso de una escuela como Foothill en donde solo esten los estudiantes por un año.	Que los estudiantes esten hasta el grado 7 en primaria y de ahí pasen al 8 en High School
Modelo 4	En lo personal no me gusta el programa del modelo 3	Nada
Modelo 4	Mantener las escuelas que ya hay en la ciudad y no crear nuevas hace mas sentido en mi opinion	No cerrar ninguna escuela
Modelo 4	Mi hijo esta en el 7 grado para cuándo el le toque hir al 9 es más conveniente y más serca de mi casa la Glaston Hs , el es responsable y le gusta caminar en las mañanas .	
Modelo 4	Los estudiantes necesitan estar bien preparándose para ir a la universidad	
Modelo 4		
Modelo 3	No creo que sea buena idea juntar a los niños de middle school con Hs.	Que no cerrar lee school.
Modelo 4	Porque para la persona que me cuida a mis hijos esta más lejos la escuela	
Modelo 4		


¿Cuál de los dos modelos prefiere?	¿Cuál es la razón principal por la que ha elegido este modelo?	Si pudiera cambiar algo del modelo que ha elegido, ¿qué sería?
Modelo 4	Creo que es mejor opción .	Me gustaría que dejaran slauson abierta .
Modelo 4	Más aprendizaje	Nada
Modelo 4	No	No
Modelo 4	Mis hijos están en Ellington	Ninguno.a mi me gusta Como esta esta escuela Ellington .me gusta que esta de tk.asta grdo8. para mi esta perfecta.como esta .
Modelo 3	Porque la escuela middle school es buena para transición a la high school	Esta bien el modelo 3 yo no cambiaría nada
Modelo 3	Porque si así existe el bullying y ustedes quieren integrar a todos los grados junto con los jóvenes de 15 a 17 años juntos aunque no me gusta ningún modelo ya que quitan la middle school y por otro lado quitan las únicas 2 high school pero hay opciones de mudarnos a otro distrito ya que ustedes no dan buenas soluciones descepcionante la próxima vez votaré por nuevos integrantes que piensen en la importancia de la buena calidad de educación no que ustedes lo que hacen con esto es tener más estudiantes en las aulas y menos maestros	Dejar las 2 high school que están y dejar las dos middle school
Modelo 3	No me gusta ninguno	Dejar las middle school y dejar las dos high school
Modelo 4	Los niños van a tener mayor espacio en este momento los niños de Hudge están todos apretados porque no hay instalaciones y en las highschool si estando abiertas las tres no tienen suficiente espacio ahora sí solo dejan una van a estar como ahora en las primarias todos apretados	Solo opino que antes de cerrar escuelas hagan primero nuevas instalaciones y no los pongan todos apretados
Modelo 4	Porqué pienso que es necesario mantener las dos high schools.	Me gustaría que se quede por lo menos una middle school (slauson)
Modelo 3	*Necesitamos transportación para los estudiantes si sierran esas escuelas	Dejar abierta Lee y cerrar Ellington . Necesitamos transporte para los niños
Modelo 3	Hay mas espacio	La decisión de cerrar mas
Modelo 3	Porque es una edad adecuada para los niños de acuerdo su edad.	

¿Cuál de los dos modelos prefiere?	¿Cuál es la razón principal por la que ha elegido este modelo?	Si pudiera cambiar algo del modelo que ha elegido, ¿qué sería?
Modelo 3	Tienen buenos programas y el personal a sabido llevar a cabo la organización con los alumnos	
Modelo 3	El grado 7 es muy pequeño para convivir con grados 11 o 12 no estarían Al nivel d madurez y perjudicaría a los mas chicos	Acondicionamiento d plantel para una mayor capacidad y aprovechamiento
Modelo 4	Permanecerían abiertas dos escuelas preparatorias para que los estudiantes tuvieran elección por algún problema racial, emocional o violencia asi no tuviéramos que cambiarlos de distrito	Que una de las escuelas intermedias permanecerá abierta para el cambio emocional de los niños a la preparatoria
Modelo 3	Por q no estoy de acuerdo en combinar niños de 12 y 16 años sería muy peligroso y arriesgado	El ofrecimiento d una high school nueva con q presupuesto si nos a llevado años muchos años conseguir un campo digno para los estudiantes deportistas como conseguir una escuela y q uso le darán a los planteles vacíos viendo la necesidad tan grande q existe en campos d soccer para los jóvenes donde puedan convivir productivamente
Modelo 4	Que los niños tengan una calidad de estudio	Yo creo que nada estoy de acuerdo en las necesidades de los estudiantes
Modelo 3	Porque los estudiantes encontraran mejor motivación de asistir a la escuela.	Se me hace bien el modelo en general
Modelo 3	Realmente no me gusta ninguna de las 2 opciones	El cierre de los planteles me parece muy desafortunado tener que llegar a esa magnitud
Modelo 4	Seve que son megores propuestas	Que no cerrarán la slauson
Modelo 3	Por que el modelo 4 no me favorece	Nada, todo está bien.
Modelo 4	Porque me quedaría muy retiradas las otras escuelas intermedias ...	Que no serrarán ningunas Escuela ...
Modelo 4	Por que seria un gran problema hacer una sola preparatoria ya que los conflictos entre gangas es muy fuerte y las peleas aumentarían	Cambiaría los grados 7* y 8* a la escuela primaria por que están muy pequeños para ir con jóvenes mayores y les arian bullin
Modelo 3	La verdad estoy en desacuerdo.	La verdad estoy en desacuerdo con los dos modelos. Al contrario debería reabrir las escuelas que han cerrado. No es justo poner demasiados niños en una misma zona escolar y prestar la atención adecuada para cada uno de ellos.
Modelo 4		

¿Cuál de los dos modelos prefiere?	¿Cuál es la razón principal por la que ha elegido este modelo?	Si pudiera cambiar algo del modelo que ha elegido, ¿qué sería?
Modelo 3	<p>Prefiero la educación secundaria y preparatoria sea separada, en diferentes instituciones, el rango de edad es alto y los estudiantes son muchos para estar en una sola institución en una de las etapas más difíciles que es la adolescencia y donde se requiere mucha atención y seguridad para los estudiantes. Además considero que valleydale debe continuar abierta, es una buena institución.</p>	<p>Me agrada el modelo 3 tal cual esta.</p>

### Which of the two models do you prefer?

1,248 responses



<b>Which of the two models do you prefer?</b>	<b>What is the main reason you chose this model?</b>	<b>If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?</b>
Model 3 Model 4	There should be a separation between the junior and senior schools as the younger children are more vulnerable than the high schoolers.	Open magnet schools!
Model 4	Capacity for older students	Keeping LEE open, where my child is in kindergarten this coming year
Model 3	Younger kids can still be in middle school better for transition to high school instead of straight to high school.	Nothing
Model 4	What's the point of having several campuses with only 2 grades.	Combined Azusa High & Foothill Middle campuses together.
Model 3	New high school	Close more elementary schools
Model 3	I am not comfortable with 7th and 8th graders going to school with 11th and 12th graders. They're too young.	Not change but why a new high school?
Model 3	I don't feel an 11 year old should be mingling with an 18 years old. Merging middle school and high school would bring younger kids to high school activity. Not sure socially if that's going to go well.	Close Powell and focus on the older grade students. Add a jr high.
Model 3	Larger high school, stronger programs (academics and sports) which will bring in and keep more students in Azusa.	Not to close Ellington, close Valleydale.
Model 3	One High school is what we need will improve kids staying in the city and competing. Academics will also improve.	
Model 4	Distance	Leave middle schools open
Model 4	Child is in 5th grade at Ellington and siblings go there	Keep Ellington k-8
Model 3		
Model 4	My kids will begin attending Ellington this year. Don't want another change so soon.	A middle school option.
Model 3		
Model 4	Lower Max Cap & two High Schools	Keep ALL schools opened LOWER CAPACITY FOR HEALTH SAFETY
Model 3	Most traditional and less complicated	
Model 4	Keeping the high school	Keep Lee open.

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 3	Separation of middle school from high school.	Having Valleydale be TK-8, like the other elementary schools.
Model 3		
Model 3	I don't like either	
Model 3		
Model 3	Less kids/ less grades in a school	
Model 3	Fewer students means fewer teachers overworked	Smaller class sizes
Model 4	Model 4 makes sense - two high schools gives the opportunity for students to travel with less distance. With the elimination of middle schools, two high schools is a better organized structure with those that live near the Covina area, as well as those who live in Rosedale.	N/A
Model 3	I believe 7 and 8 grade students are too young to be with high school students.	Nothing
Model 4	Higher capacity with fewer facilities.	
Model 3	7th graders are too young to mix with senior high schoolers	Change middle school to 7 - 9 and senior high to 10 - 12
Model 4		
Model 4	LOWER capacity & more schools	With school starting time will tell ~ city's will need MORE SCHOOLS, LOWER class room count, more teachers and LOWER CAPACITY for HEALTH safety so schools can stay open
Model 4	Ellington is a good school with amazing teachers and it's the best school around here	Keep all K-8 schools open ... putting 7th and 8th graders in school with high schoolers is a very bad idea. High school is tuff you are throwing them to the wolves. I firmly believe that kids will be bullied even more so and suicide rates will go up within the district. Food for thought
Model 4	Keeping my kids in the district if we go the other model I will be transferring to a new district due to I have this one and one other district close to my house especially another high school in walking distance	Keeping Ellington a k8 due to keeping kids in the district not trying to loose more. That was the reason as a district made Ellington a k8 and pluse we are surrounded my a community of homes that yes we are not on a busy street but anyone known about but that ok as a parent I feel more comfortable for my kids to go there
Model 3	Need to seperate middle and high school students to provide them a better and specific education at that age range	Have 2 smaller middle schools or put 6th grade in middle school all around

<b>Which of the two models do you prefer?</b>	<b>What is the main reason you chose this model?</b>	<b>If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?</b>
Model 4	I don't see the point in leaving just one middle school like in model 3.	Nothing at the moment.
Model 3	I don't believe 7th and 8th graders need to be mixed or amongst high schoolers.	To not create a "new high" school. Every city has a high school that represents that city so why not leave Azusa High to represent the city of Azusa?
Model 3	I don't feel comfortable with my 7th/8th grader going to school with older kids.	
Model 3	I think middle school students will be bullied from high school students	Leave middle schools open
Model 3	I think 7th and 8th graders are too young to be around the older high school kids. I don't feel comfortable having my child jump from elementary school to high school. There needs to be a middle school.	I think Foothill is too close in proximity to Azusa HS, assuming the "new hs" will be located on the current AZ HS campus. The streets aren't that wide so there might be too much traffic there. But other than that, I don't think there's anything I would change from model 3.
Model 3	Because...	To have Lee School STAY OPEN there is SO many GOOD reason why this school to stay opened. I have a lots of memory there. The staff that is working there now, if u closed Lee where would everybody go?
Model 4	You will loose many students closing yhe existing high schools	Create k-8 schools instead if HS starting in 7th grade
Model 3		
Model 4	The test scores are low at this school and it's rating is very low academically. Maybe by combining the resources with the high schools and closing foothill we could get all fundings in one location to help the students with quality resources.	N/A
Model 4	I would like to keep the original high schools.	Keeping middle schools 6-8th grade.
Model 3	7th and 8th graders are to young to be around 9th through 12th	I dont know what new High school means
Model 4	We want Ellington to stay open it is a good school with excellent teachers who go above and beyond for our children	Keep all schools that are k-8 open. Do not combine 7th and 8th graders with high schoolers. I really believe that it will cause more trauma to these kids than anything especially because High School is tuff you got the older kids bullying the younger kids who are scared and will not say anything about it. Why would you subject these kids to that environment



Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 3	I chose this because this model shows a middle school for 7th-8th I would not want my 7 and 8 grader going to high school that makes me uncomfortable.	I would keep Lee and remove a different elementary school Powell with the less amount of students for example. And with model 3 being a popular pick it would only make sense to keep open Lee which is the closes elementary school to the only jr high and high school the district would have to offer.
Model 3		
Model 3	It allows separation between age groups. the other model is placing 7-8 graders with high school students which doesn't seem right	Valleydale should also remain tk-6
Model 3	I don't want my seventh grader going to school with 17 and 18-year-olds.	I prefer that my kindergartners not go to school with seventh and eighth graders either
Model 3	Middle and high schoolers should not be together	
Model 4	My kids are currently in the schools not selected for shutdown for model 4 meanwhile as in for model 3 my kids would have to move into different schools.	Nothing .
Model 3	I don't like the idea of having a 7th/8th grader on the same campus as older highschool students. I think there is a very big difference in the ages at this time. There would have to be major separation of the two age groups in order to keep things appropriate. Which right now the facilities only have 1 locker room, etc. I don't think it would be appropriate for students who are 13/14 to be able to mix with 18/19 year olds.	I don't think their should be a "new" highschool. There would have to be a major overhaul of the entire campus, uniforms, etc. I don't think that would be a proper use of funds. I know the idea is to unite, but what if the phase out slowly started. For example all new highschool students go to Azusa until the last year of Gladstone students have graduated that way no one would have to give up their school.
Model 3	This is the school my 4 daughters attend and it would be easier for me to drop them off at the same school. They already went through the closing of mountain view.	What I would change is to leave one of the high schools instead of opening a new one
Model 4	Makes the most sense	n/a
Model 4	Don't agree with the schools that are closing in model 3 so for that model 4 seems more better for my child.	Nothing.
Model 3	Middle school and high school are separate	I would keep Lee school open. Close Dalton.
Model 4	Because Ellington has tk-8 and has more opportunities for the kids and the kids are spread evenly instead of I' ve populating more classes kids will learn better	Keep one middle school open

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 3	I believe that having kids start high school at a 7th grade, grade level is crazy!!! Going from Elementary straight to high school isn't the way to go!!	Not building a new High school!
Model 4	Foothill middle school is way too far for my son to walk and he can go to Gladstone with his brothers	Keep Slauson open
Model 4		
Model 4	Keep all elementary schools to 6th grade	
Model 3	High school should only be for 9-12 grades. The other one is also too expensive.	Make 2 highs schools
Model 3	Our kids need to go back to normal	To be completely full open
Model 3	I don't like the idea of 7th graders along side of high school seniors.. too much of an age gap and maturity level	I would keep Azusa high open . It's our city's name and generations of us have gone there. My parents, myself, and my children..
Model 3	Because if Valleydale would close the dual program would be moved again and those children would have to go to a new school for a second time around	To not make a new high school and choose between one of the old ones
Model 3	Huge age difference in the 7th to 12th graders (mature level)	
Model 3	The main reason for us is the fact that children in model 4 would be together with the older children, teenagers. This can have a great impact on younger children.	
Model 4	Slauson and center middle school was never a good school there would be Constant fight and staff and teachers never done nothing in the year when I use to be there so I think it's better it close n not open a new new school because Azusa and Gladstone night has done Incredible opening a new high school would be confusing to everyone	Nothing
Model 3	Model 3 gives 12 year olds a oportunista to grow with their. Own age. We are in a very advanced generations yes, but I don't believe it would be a great idea to put them with the high schoolers. They would be exposed to much more advance thoughts that j believe their age isn't prepared for.	I would have all elemantrys open, I believe it's the foundation for all kids education. Keep one middle school and 1 high school.
Model 4	LESSER OF TWO BAD IDEAS	MAKE ALL ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS K-8s AND ONE NEW HIGH SCHOOL
Model 3		

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 3	<p>Model 3s option of having a single middle school and high school can allow for those schools to thrive with resources that would be separated going into one place. This would also allow to have a more unified community.</p> <p>I do not agree for many reasons (emotional preparedness, higher chances of sexual interactions at younger ages, etc) on combining 7th-12th graders in model 4. An open campus mixing such a variety of ages can do much harm.</p> <p>If model four is in place, there is a high chance my children will not go to school in this district.</p>	N/A
Model 4	I rather have 2 high school open than build a new one and just have one	Keep valleydale 6-8 theirs more tk-6 which would learn more but less options to enroll for our 6-8 keep valleydale just incase their isn't enough space at azusa and gladstone
Model 4	Simple model. I like how the little ones are separated from the bigger kids.	I would keep Valleydale open.
Model 3	Better programs at one High School/don't want middle school children at mixed campus.	I would add 6th graders back to the MS. Also if we ARE going to keep Gladstone High (i.e. parents prefer model 4) I would prefer GHS be used as the districts middle school (the projected occupancy is nearly the same as using GHS as a 7-11).
Model 3	I like model 3, I think middle school and high need to be separate.	Nothing
Model 3	I like keeping 7-8 separate from high school	2 middle schools open
Model 3	Having one middle school and one high school will allow for a greater range of programming and elective choices for our students.	I would move the middle school to the gladstone high location to be able to utilize the upgraded fields, larger space and modernized school.
Model 3	Separate middle and high school classes.	
Model 4	Not spending money on a new high school	Have a middle school
Model 3	7th graders with 12th is a big age difference in the same school	

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 3	I think middle school aged students need to have their own space - they do not yet have the maturity and should not have to be subjected to a high school environment yet. I also think it is best for the high schoolers to have their traditional high school experience.	one additional campus for middle school - the population seems so high for one school with only two grade levels.
Model 3	It's accordingly to age group which i think it's awesome 7-8 need to stay together putting 7 with 12 graders will be nuts. They know more and have done more things at that age. 7 an d8 will only follow the roll models in school	Nothing
Model 4	I like that it has a lower percentage of capacity for the middle and high schools	
Model 3	I Don't think it's a good idea to have 7th and 8th graders mixed in with high school aged kids.	Keep valleydale TK-6
Model 3	Kids need to be kids. There needs to be stages before heading to middle school or High school. Not to mentioned the capacity of kids in the school. School traffic is already bad this will worsened.	
Model 4	Better use of resources	
Model 3	Better programs at one high school; don't want middle school students on same campus as high school students	Make the Gladstone high school campus a junior high campus with bigger capacity and better facilities.
Model 3	I'd rather have a separate middle school from high school.	Keep 2 high schools open
Model 4	It is ideal to keep both high schools and to and to develop a bridge system to integrate 7th and 8th grade students while keeping them segregated from the older students. Geographically model 4 distributes students evenly according to ones home address.	To keep all Azusa schools open.
Model 3	The main reason is because students can still have the experience of being in elementary, middle school and high school. Why keep two high schools when we don't have enough students.	Something I would change would be to eliminate the two elementaries with less students enrolled.
Model 3	12/13 year olds should not be going to school with 17/18 year olds	Nothing

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 4	Our kids have been thru do much with school closing high school is so important to take away where they're going or planning on going is hurtful.	I'd love to see foothill still stay open the principal and counselor care so much about our kids. Never seen it at any school as much as those 2. Plus that school is safe traffic and trouble ways. But if you have to put 7th and 8th at the high schools you should put them in their own area so tgey don't get bullied or girls harassed by older boys. Then you can take the foothill team there. I think that's safest
Model 3		
Model 3	Keep 7-8 grades away from HS UNTIL READY	
Model 3		
Model 3	Too many years at 1 place is not encouraging it holds students back	The new HS should be Azusa High because its the center of Azusa
Model 4	Middle school option.	Not closing any school.
Model 4		
Model 4	I don't like either of the models but it's a waste of tax payers money to lose the brand new fields.	Keep 1 MS and 2 HS.
Model 3	Separate middle school from high school age kids	Allow one more middle school to remain closer to another Azusa area
Model 3	Declining enrollment	Adding more classes for electives and also focus on sports.
Model 4	.	NA
Model 3	It keeps a middle school open. It is less costly to keep 1 HS than two. One HS would help maintain stronger programs.	I would take out the K-8, it is not fair for the 7th and 8th graders to not have strong electives.
Model 3	We are not ok with 7th & 8th graders going to the same school as high school juniors and seniors.	More than one middle school so that it's not the size of a high school.
Model 4	No middle school transition between elementary and high school	Slauson middle school stays rather than foothill
Model 4	Ellington is walking distance for my kids.	Nothing
Model 3	Kids are too young at 7th to be considered h. S.	
Model 4	There should be 2 high schools	Have at least one middle school

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 4	Keeping Ellington Tk -8 open to our kids it's an excellent school with excellent teachers who goes above and beyond for our children who cares about the children's education and care being and their learning abilities so they can continue to love and be eager to be in school ready to learn new things.	I would keep all the TK - 8 open not not change anything since they already installed this program for our children I would also not send out our 7-8 graders to high school some will not be ready for that kind of atmosphere without being prepared and knowing what to expect specially with alot of older kids bullying the younger ones I would feel intimated and wouldn't want to go to school Endless their's going to be a transitional smooth understanding and not being thrown to the sharks just saying not the same old times like when we were in high school
Model 4	Less transition time from ES to HS vs ES to MS to Hs	
Model 3	I believe it's a really bad idea to combine 7-12. If anything, elementary through junior high should be combined, sort of like the private school models.	
Model 3	My student will be able to go to school.	Nothing
Model 4		Elementary should be TK-7
Model 4		
Model 3	To keep a middle school open	Nothing
Model 4	Ellington is located in Covina we won't be keeping all of those student they will go to the Covina district making Azusa lose even more students	
Model 3		
Model 3	I do not feel it is in the best interest of my child to be mixed in with High School at 7th grade	
Model 3	Middle school	Have middle school Have 7 , 8 , 9
Model 4	Due to only 2 grade levels in middle school	Middle school 6-8
Model 4	One high-school is not enough for the kids	To closed Dalton instead of valleydale
Model 4	It would be the most uniform. Regardless of where a student lives and/or moves, they would still advance to the high school at the same time	Nothing

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 3	I chose this model because I do not think it is appropriate to have twelve year old seventh graders in high school, with students who are seventeen and sometimes eighteen years of age. I also support the idea of having ninth through twelfth graders in one high school which is more appropriate with regards to age difference.	I would possibly separate the seventh and eighth graders from the TK through sixth graders at Valleydale for model 3.
Model 4		
Model 4	Ellington will remain open. Closest school for us	Not mix middle school with High School, won't be fair for middle schoolers
Model 4	Not closing the school located in covina which is Ellington. Great area location for that school.	Keep Ellington to 8th grade as it is now, which is reason I chose that school for that reason.
Model 3	7th and 8th graders not been with almost adults	A new high school
Model 3	6 years in high school is too much. The 7th grade kids should not be in the same school with juniors and seniors.	
Model 3	Why were these grades separated in the beginning? Kids should have their elementary, junior and High school time. I worry about my kids getting bullied in elementary now imagine having them in high school when they're in 7th grade. I just prefer model 3	Changing the middle school name as well.
Model 3	Developmental reasons between middle pre teens and teens	Leave another middle school option
Model 4	Keep Ellington school open	Keep at least 2 middle schools open
Model 3	Middle and HS students need to be separated.	Change districts I'm very tired of all the cuts and suboptimal education.
Model 3	I think junior high kids are too young to be included with high schoolers.	Possibly include 6th graders with junior high.
Model 3	I do not believe 7&8 th graders should be with high schoolers.	I would leave both high schools open
Model 3	I believe that the level of maturity differs greatly from middle schoolers and high schoolers. Middle school children may be put in situations they are not ready to face.	For model 3 there should be choices for middle school and high school. Many students walk to school and the only choice available could be inconveniently located.
Model 3	High school should not be 7 to 12	
Model 3	I don't like the idea of 7-12 grade at the same campus.	Nothing

<b>Which of the two models do you prefer?</b>	<b>What is the main reason you chose this model?</b>	<b>If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?</b>
Model 3	I feel is more reasonable to go that way.	I would have elementary go from TK to 8th grade. Then high school 9 to12 like the usual.
Model 4	There's less change of schools with model 4.	Decreasing the capacity of the two high school locations by implementing more classrooms if possible.
Model 4	I like having two high schools and putting middle and high school together on one campus.	Nothing
Model 3	I absolutely hate the idea of having younger children starting high school in 7th grade that is going to make these kids grow up sooner and get influenced much quicker. I will def be trying to move them out of the district this is crazy.	Keep things as is this is crazy
Model 4	Valleydale and lee are more run down and a lot of money has already been spent on other campuses. It would cost the district more money to maintain and keep those schools. Closing a school like Ellington after going through the process of making it a K-8 will be counter productive and all that money spent will be wasted towards nothing.	I would keep a middle school open or make a few schools K-8
Model 4	Better school choices are open	Keep Ellington to 8th grade. Many parents select that school for kids to stay in one place until high school.
Model 4	Feel like it will be pointless to have one middle school from 7-8.	Not to close valleydale
Model 3		
Model 3	7-12 seems like a bad idea, makes my daughter uncomfortable. Also schools would be running at higher capacity in model 3 and should be more efficient.	Nothing
Model 3	Consolidating the HS is good, to make it in the Azusa HS location, coz it's within the city. And keeping middle school close by the HS still makes it a separate facility, but close enough to the HS.	
Model 3	I don't see how children age 12 in 7th grade can be on the same campus as 17-18 yr olds. It will only be a matter of time until something bad happens.	I think Model 3 is fine the way it is.
Model 3	There was no other choice, truly not happy with anything any of it. Change your entire azusa unified school district employee and staff.	Make all Elementary from TK to 8th grade. Build a new high school as well up to date with technology.
Model 3	It seems as it's evenly spread out.	N/a



<b>Which of the two models do you prefer?</b>	<b>What is the main reason you chose this model?</b>	<b>If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?</b>
Model 3	7th. grade is to early to start high school	Keep one more middle school.
Model 3		
Model 3		
Model 3	I don't approve of the combo middle and highschool.	ldk
Model 3	I do not like either I think both are nonsense but if I had to pick one I would pick 3. I TOTALLY and COMPLETELY disagree with 12 and 13 year olds going to school with high school kids which are up to 18 years old! That is not smart at all! Do better Azusa	I wouldn't do either! Both are completely dumb
Model 3	No real option to keep students from TK-8th grade. This option is best for my family due to keeping a better controlled environment and prolonging my children's innocents.	Adding TK - 8th grade for all elementary schools and eliminating middle schools.
Model 4	I think we need to have middle and high school options on both sides of the city to make it easier for kids to get to school and so that we don't lose even more kids to other districts who don't want to travel all the way to AHS. This also preserves school spirit, and means we didn't waste our money financially investing in these school campuses. I also like the fact that we would only be at 75 % capacity because it means we do have room for growth if that ever happens. Further, I think this will give our middle schoolers greater sports, arts, etc. opportunities.	I think it would be best to have at least one K-8 school to give that option to those children who would do best in that school model.
Model 3	Their both dumb and are non sense but I wouldn't want the middle schools closed	I would change both of them they both are every dumb! Keep high schoolers always from middle school child hello!
Model 4		
Model 3	I like this one because it separates the middle school kids from high school kids.	Slays on would be open

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 3	Social adjustment of youth transitional ages best fit this model. 6th graders remaining within the elementary level with separation during recess for 4th-6th lunch and breaks ensures campus safety. Similarly, 9th-12th at the high school is a good fit, I would recommend to amend to 10th-12th as the freshman also have a difficult adjustment period. Making the optimum Junior high grade levels 7th-9th. None the less model 3 is the best option of the two during social and academic growth periods.	Recommend to amend to 10th-12th as the freshman also have a difficult adjustment period. Studies have shown increased teen pregnancy with the addition of 9th graders, vulnerability of the lower classmates and social difficulties including greater susceptibility to peer pressure, lower impulse control.
Model 3	I do not want my 12/13 year old 7th grader on campus with 18 year old students.	Nothing
Model 3	I like that we will have one middle school and one high school. We can focus on getting as many programs in one school instead of having to divide them between two high school. I feel like the arts department and athletic department will benefit from only having one school. We would be able to have complete programs and be able to compete with higher divisions which will bring more eyes to our athletes for scholarships. One high school will bring the whole community together instead of being split in half because we have two high schools.	I would consider closing Powell. Just because it's max capacity is low.
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 3	My daughter will be attending Foothill Middle School	
Model 3	My child is currently at valleydale and model 3 will provide some consistency. My child has moved from mountain view to valleydale and I would find it stressful for my child to once again move to another school. This provides my child a sense of safety and security which is needed for my childs overall social and emotional development.	None

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 3	Kids age is the reason why I would not have middle and high school together. Younger kids can get exposed to older teens who are in gangs. Azusa has an issue with young kids in gangs. Having a gap between kids and teens allows for more time to mature and gain a sense of self. Kids get more time to work on critical education which will help them in college. I have a sister who was in a combined middle and high school, she had older friends and she skipped on 7 and 8th grades. This led to her modest grades when she graduated and she missed out on a university program because she couldn't meet the 2.5 GPA minimum.	Separate the middle and highschool kids. Provide more in person experience and exposure to trade jobs for coding and tech, healthcare, police, firefighting, military and civil services. Jobs that are in high demand, but require lots of education.
Model 4	Two options for high school	
Model 3	I do not believe 7th graders are ready to be in a school with bigger kids I believe there would be more bullying or sexual abuse	Not as many kids at one school
Model 3		
Model 3	Because high school students shouldn't be mixed with middle school students.	I would add Slauson 7-8 so foothill wouldn't be so congested with students especially now with this pandemic. Also so the people who live in the Slauson neighborhood wouldn't have to walk so far to go to school.
Model 4	Ellington can hold more students then Valleydale since it's a K-8	Not close any elementary schools and leave high schools to grades 9-12. Make the Elementary schools k-8
Model 3	Because I don't want my middle school child should be going to high school yet she wouldn't know how to deal with the differences and the kids attitudes	I think just have the kids wear their masks while they're in class I thought that the program that we were going to start was right on
Model 4		
Model 4	I personally don't like either models but I strongly believe closing one HS and combining them would be a huge mistake.	Keep 2 of the middle schools and just close 1
Model 3	I would prefer a middle school instead of bunching 7th and 8th graders with high schoolers to help reduce bullying.	
Model 4	The grade levels being put together.	None.
Model 4	I want Ellington School to remain open	
Model 3	Middle school and high school are separated	TK-5 grade, 6-8 and 9-12 grade

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 4	Proximity to the schools. Model 3 would cause my children's elementary and high schools (Ellington and gladstone) to close and they would be assigned to schools further from home.	N/A
Model 3		
Model 4		
Model 4	I feel we are not out of this pandemic especially Delta on the rise for the unvaccinated my child's health comes first above all.	Close Highschools as well
Model 3	Not sure if I like one school for grades 7-12.	Keep Lee elementary school. I am not sure why this was chosen in both models and what a potential alternative may be.
Model 4	Ellington is GREAT school I don't want to see it closed	I don't like the idea of high school 7-12
Model 3	I would not want my middle school children attending school with high school students because older teens are experiencing things I would not want my 13 year old seeing.	I am content with my decision
Model 3	K-6, 7-8, 9-12. More schools, less population in each class.	I would change it to reflect all schools open and change the format of k-6, 7-9 and 10-12.
Model 4	Gladstone and Azusa High are generations of families history.	Not sure
Model 3		
Model 4	Less kids	Dont have an answer
Model 4	2 high schools avoids over crowding	
Model 3	New HS	N/A

<b>Which of the two models do you prefer?</b>	<b>What is the main reason you chose this model?</b>	<b>If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?</b>
Model 4	Students will hopefully get the opportunity to get elective classes. My daughter is currently at Ellington and will soon be an 8th grader. Her elective choices in 6th grade were very limited. Now she is an 8th grader and she does not have an elective but rather an intervention class that all students have. Ellington is also missing a music program, she went from having band 2x's a week in Glendora schools to having band for 30 minutes once a week at Ellington. If the High schools become 7-12 hopefully all AUSD secondary students get treated as such. The opportunity to take elective classes that are enriching and also teach them life long skills.	I would recommend that Powell closes and keep one of the 2 other elementary schools that have the capacity to service a larger number of students opened.
Model 3	I think 7th and 8th graders are to young to be mixed with high schoolers	Not to close center middle school
Model 4		
Model 3	I think 7th and 8th graders should be separate from older high schoolers as these are prime years and they have a younger mind frame.	
Model 3	I think they would be to manny kids all in high school, not good	Open another middle school
Model 4	2 high schools	6 grade levels in high school would be so hard on the lower grades 7th and 8th grade
Model 3	New HS	
Model 3		
Model 4	If children are going to T-8 schools you in essence are forcing less enrollment in middle schools due to comfort alone.	
Model 4	Less bullying, children from TK-6th grade will have more time to mature and then start. Also 1 new HS seems like we are pushing and stuffing too ma y kids on one campus	Elementary school be from TK -7th grade and high school be 8th -12th grade
Model 3	Middle school is important to so that children have time to adjust to nearing high school age and 12 year olds should not be around 18 year olds.	

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 3	Students in middle school are going through a change in their life where they are going from young kids (Elementary school age) and transitioning in to young adults (high school age) middle school is a safe space for them to make this transition without being around high school kids. Also there is no reason to have (2) 7-12th grade schools and continue to split the middle school and high school resources when we can consolidate to one middle school and one high school and give each of them the best we can offer. And lastly the cost difference between the two models is so large that model 3 seems to be the more financially responsible option. There is approximately \$10 million difference between the two models that could be put towards our students and resources as opposed to restructuring the schools in to (2) 7-12th grade campus.	
Model 3	I don't think 7th graders should be with 12th graders-even if they will be separated	
Model 4	Keep my kids together as much as possible due to being 2 kids apart	Separate 7 & 8 graders from high schoolers within school campus
Model 3	7 & 8 grades are still too young to mix with high school.	N/A
Model 3	Junior HS remains instead of combining JH and HS.	Keep the best Junior High campus
Model 3	I believe the age should stay as is. Separate elementary, middle schools, and high schools.	It definitely is sad to see that there will only be one middle school and high school and hopefully teachers and staff still have a job after. There are some great teachers in Azusa school district.
Model 3	Smaller capacity	Nothing
Model 3	Because 7th graders are too young to mix in high school this will cause many issues mentally for children and other problems	There should be 2 high schools that's too many children in one high school
Model 3	Makes the most sense	2 Jr high schools maybe
Model 4	Too many students for just one middle school and one high school	
Model 3	The 7-8 graders should not be with the 9-12 graders.	
Model 3	It's spread out and into its own category by levels of schooling. It makes sense	Maybe show the max number of students

<b>Which of the two models do you prefer?</b>	<b>What is the main reason you chose this model?</b>	<b>If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?</b>
Model 3	My husband and I prefer the middle schools and high school to stay separated.	
Model 4	Close access to school Gladstone high	Nothing
Model 4		
Model 3		To not close the middle schools
Model 4	The 2 high schools would be more beneficial in the long run.	N/A
Model 3	Because foothill isnt closed	To keep Lee open
Model 3	Schools would be to far I can always move to charter oak district	Keep Middle schools open
Model 3	Feel 7th graders are still too young to mix with high schoolers.	Have one more middle school open, believe if not families will move or go to private schools.
Model 4	I would like for both high schools to stay open	I think this model is perfect
Model 3	I don't believe 7th and 8th graders should be with high school age students.	Renaming the High School, we are in the city of Azusa and the name should not have to change.
Model 3	High school is really when kids start to find themselves so the less kids there their better....middle school is a different maturity level as well	Try and have 2 high schools for the fun rivalry games
Model 4	I never agreed with the idea of combining middle school with Elementary schools and its makes more sense for Middle schools to merge with High School. Middle School is when Teens start changing and developing and it is beneficial to be around older kids when that change is happening.	nothing
Model 4	With Model 4, the two high schools will only be filled 75% which enables you to have more students in the coming years incase of an increase in enrollment	Maybe keep one middle school and one high school
Model 4	Having two high schools you provide more jobs for teachers.	you can also close Powell Elementary school
Model 3	I believe that age difference with middle School and elementary school should not be on the same school grounds. Middle school is an experience that needs to be had in order to succeed in high school	I believe there should be at least 2 middle schools open to make sure all the kids get enough it enough attention and can be more successful in their education
Model 4	makes more sense to combine middle schoolers with high schoolers rather than elementary school kids	nothing

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 3	Keep kids in similar age group once they are in high school to prevent bullying.	
Model 3	I do not like the idea of 7th grade with high school. One central high school would allow for resources to be centralized.	
Model 3	To keep at least 1 middle school open for my elementary enrolled child	Change Valleydale to TK-6 and have 2 middle schools 7-8
Model 3	I like the plan for one high school (9-12) and one middle school with grades 7-8	I would like to see the middle school (grades 7-8) at the other high school campus so that the students will have nice brand new field to play games on, and a bigger campus. I would also like the school to be renamed for a fresh start.
Model 3	The age gap between a 7th grader and 12th grader is to large.	Make all elementary schools TK-6 and send all kids to one larger middle school.
Model 3	It appear to be the most educated approach to allow students to fully grown into their age range of school.	I would personally make it (1).TK-4. (2) 5-8 Grade.(3) 9-12 Grade.To Allow them to mentally prepare for their next Grade milestones.
Model 3	I am against having grade 7-12 in same school and also think 1 high school is the most efficient and best option.	Keep Azusa High School as Azusa High and close Gladstone. Gladstone is not in the actual city and it is costly to change everything to new school format.
Model 3	My son attends and I work At one of the schools to close in model 4 also 7th graders and 12th graders on the same campus is concerning	Dalton could go to 8th grade instead of Valleydale
Model 4		
Model 4	I don't agree with school closures because that means room impact and WE DO NOT need that right now, I am hoping there will be teacher relocation to follow the middle school kids at the high schools and not over crowded without proper assistance in class. These are the only options you are giving- you are now chancing youngsters to grow even faster and selling school or "relocating" offices to the closed schools which means you are now taking FROM THE PUBLIC SCHOOLS- THIS IS UNFAIR TO PARENTS, STUDENTS, PUBLIC COMMUNITIES. KEEP OPEN PUBLIC SCHOOLS.	Increase teachers to less student ratio, increase how they give life to teaching - to much fun with knowledge is taken when you focus on a agenda with not proper attention getting life because of the new task the instructors are given to meet. Keep Public Schools Open.



Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 3	I like that there still a separation from a transition from elementary to high school. Also I dislike model 4 because the capacity of closing all middle schools isn't favorable to have such large numbers in one high school. I feel like the students will be exposed to less teacher time due to a large capacity of students in one school.	I think model #3 is the best option! I wouldn't amend anything about it
Model 3	The main reason is because this middle school is in the center of the city of Azusa and very close to the high school already. A very favorable model!!	Think model 3 is the best and I wouldn't change anything
Model 3	The separation of student grades from transition from elementary to high school is important.	Nothing to be changed on model 3
Model 3	The capacity is equivalent for all schools that should remain open	I wouldn't make any changes to model 3
Model 3	Favorable to keep one middle school for transitioning students from a secondary education to the higher lever of secondary education offered at a high school	No change to the model selected
Model 3		
Model 3	Because middle schools is separated from high school , Not a good idea to have middle school students in same school with high school students	I would absolutely to have the current Elementary schools to be middle and elementary same as valleydale
Model 3	I don't like the idea of my child being in high school in 7 th grade I would prefer for them to stay in middle school until they're a bit more mature.	I would like to keep lee open my kids went to lee and they have really good teachers.I loved it there unfortunately kinder was removed from lee. I enrolled one of my kids in a different school and I saw the difference between the schools. Know they offer kinder again and I'm enrolling my kinder child there and even considering switching my other child.
Model 3	I don't like either one but if we had to choose it would be model 3 . I don't like the fact that my child would have to be with high school kids at such an early age. I personally think it's hard enough to adjust in middle school . I can only imagine how hard it would be going to school especially with older kids. If as freshman you get picked on it wouldn't be any easier on 7 th graders.	To Keep lee And slauson open
Model 3	I feel that children would do best and feel more comfortable around children in their own age group.	There should be schools accommodating the children's neighborhoods so they are studying close to home.

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 3		I am not for 7-12 schools where 12/13 year olds are with 17/18 year olds. Even on a separate end of campus
Model 3	It fits	No
Model 3	A child needs a transitional step from elementary to middle school to high school.	I would say keep 2 middle school
Model 3		
Model 3	I feel like 7th graders are too young to be with high school kids	
Model 4	Model 3 has a high school at 95% capacity which leaves no room for growth. I also prefer 7-12 vs k-8.	I would leave one middle school as an option for families.
Model 3	Middle school separation	
Model 3		
Model 4	Keeps two high schools	
Model 3	Keep at least 1 middle school before high school transition. I think it's still a good way to prepare for high school.	Keep 2 middle schools open. Slauson and foothill.
Model 4	I went to eagle rock high school where it was from 7-12. It was easier to transition from middle school to high school.	Leave all the elementary schools open.
Model 4	We would like to stay at Gladstone high	close Elington Elementary instead of Valleydale Elementary
Model 3	I don't think combining middle and high schools together is a good idea	Na
Model 4	Kids will have the opportunity to stay in one school from K-6 and finish in another 7-12 I think will work better	Nothing just hope the rooms will be not be overcrowded and kids get great education
Model 3	I'm not comfortable having my 7th grader going to school with 12th graders it doesn't make any sense. There will be more bullying and teen pregnancies	Take 6th grade out of elementary so the schools aren't over crowded. Its very hard to deal with just the parking to pickup/drop-off. Have at least 2 middle schools open
Model 4	Instead of creating a new hs we should keep the ones we all grew up with.	
Model 3	i dont agree with the 7-12 graders to be in the same school i feel some kids are too advanced to be all together	you guys already closed 3 schools i think that should be enough
Model 3	Just seems a cleaner model. I can't explain better than that.	

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 3	New high school	
Model 4	It makes sense to consolidate middle and high school and not to build another high.	
Model 3	New high school so classes are not over crowded	Close all middle schools change all 3 high schools to 7 to 12 so there's no over crowding th
Model 4		
Model 3	I feel that kids in 6th and 7th grade should not be within High school kids.	None
Model 3	7th grade students are too young for HS	Location of middle school
Model 3	I don't think it's appropriate to mix 7th graders with high school students.	I would keep additional elementary schools open in order to provide students a better learning environment and a stronger educational foundation.
Model 3	Neither, to close the middle schools and put the 7th through 12th and close 2 more Elementary schools is ridiculous. We voted to pay extra property taxes to enhance and upgrade our schools not close them and cram them in. I watched what happened to Gladstone Street Elementary, the waste of money spent and the money dumped down the drain in dumpsters losing my job there, not being placed anywhere else. It's not right. All the stuff tossed out for what only to close more schools and throw 10's of thousands of material away again at taxpayers cost. Wrong.	I wouldn't change them leave the schools alone. That what prop K was for. The psychological and emotional damage to the little kids being put out of their schools is irreversible. Don't you get that part. Leave the kids. Stop shuffling. My granddaughter is still traumatized. She never got over being moved from her school 3 yrs. ago. No one has asked these children what they want don't they have a voice?
Model 4	More options	
Model 4	Middle school worked well in summer school	I like the model were middle schools are on the high school campus , it worked well during summer school
Model 3	I would not like my 7th grade daughter attending school with 12th graders.	
Model 4	Both my kids can attend same school they are enrolled in .	I wish all schools can stay open.
Model 3	I would not want my 7th grade kid yet exposed to the older kids mentality or influence .	the schools closing down to be used for something other than housing and more community friendly things like a place for sports or kid activities.
Model 4	both are good, but, I like the idea of not closing one of the high schools	model 3, leave the name of the one HS as Azusa High
Model 4	I think middle schools aren't really needed this is great to combine with HS	No

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 4	Allows a chance for kids to grow and learn from older kids	Nothing
Model 3	As someone who works with children I have seen the benefits of allowing children to be children for as long as possible, gives them a chance to really mature. The 4th model of 7-12 goes against this.	
Model 4	Not over crowded	Nothing
Model 4	Not over crowded	Nothing
Model 4	It makes more sense and helps promote the best usage of the facilities.	I would leave at least one middle school open.
Model 3	My child's age when entering HS is considered	
Model 4	What do we need a new high school for ? We are fine with Azusa and Gladstone. Also Valleydale is so close to Ellington why not just keep Ellington when it's also a middle school one less school to worry about that is two in one.	That you keep at least two middle schools except center
Model 3	Too much of an age difference in HS aged kids in Model 4. Shouldn't mix young kids (12/13 year old kids) with older kids (18 year old kids) as they'll be exposed to older types of experience/conversations that are not be appropriate for them.	
Model 4	my student will be in the same school from grade 7-12	combine all middle school students in one of the High school .
Model 3	Middle school should not be at the high school	Have more than one high school
Model 4	Better preference	
Model 3	Seems more effective	The amount of kids
Model 4	To not over crowd schools.	
Model 4	Closer age group	
Model 3	I vote for model 3 because students from grade 7-12 shouldn't be all cramped into 2 high schools and the students should be able to experience the three different levels of education, which are elementary, Middle school, and high school; each with their own experiences.	I would only close Center middle school and and not open another high school instead invest the money to upgrade the other schools.

<b>Which of the two models do you prefer?</b>	<b>What is the main reason you chose this model?</b>	<b>If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?</b>
Model 4	My daughter is the last of my family in Azusa. We've been here here since the 70's and all have attended GHS. She is starting out as a freshmen this year and she will potentially not finish as a Gladiator. If the school is going to be integrated mid high school I'd rather take her out of the district now.	Keep GHS open. Even if its 7-12, keep it open.
Model 4	We prefer smaller class sizes, combining the two high schools will increase the number of students.	I would not change anything as long as the high schools remain open.
Model 3		
Model 4	To not over crowd schools. better class sizes and student to teacher ratios.	
Model 3	Foothill has good reviews	

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 4	<p>The main reason I chose Model 4 is Ellington Elementary. All three of my children have, and are, attending Ellington Elementary . Our oldest son went to Ellington when he was in 3rd grade. During his tenure at Ellington he won multiple honors from being top in his class, awarded multiple times in the Honor Roll program, to winning 3rd place on the Spelling Bee. We are very proud of our son's accomplishments. He is now studying to become an Architectural Engineer at Cal Polytechnic State University, all thanks to the years in preparation at Ellington Elementary. My two daughters, the oldest is 9 yrs. old and my youngest is 5 years old, both are currently attending Ellington. Both love their teachers and are excited to return to school. Ellington teachers have helped both our daughters who have "nystagmus". This is a vision condition in which the eyes make repetitive, uncontrolled movements. Knowing this, Ellington teachers have helped accommodate our girls to ensure they get the best education possible without allowing their disabilities to affect them.</p> <p>The continuity that Ellington Elementary provides, having TK through 8th grade is invaluable for families like ours. The teachers know our kids by their names and treat them with the utmost respect. Ellington has shown us they have protocols in place to protect and secure our kids in the event of a disaster while they are at school. Closing Ellington Elementary will bring hardship for our family and everyone in the community. The change in schools will have an impact on our kid's education. We ask not to close Ellington Elementary.</p>	I would leave TK - 8th grade.
Model 4	Less traffic and driving around	Nothing
Model 4	It has 2 high schools	Add a high school so it's not so crowded
Model 3		
Model 3		
Model 3		
Model 3		
Model 3	Model three is much more sensible for our children	I would keep both high schools open

<b>Which of the two models do you prefer?</b>	<b>What is the main reason you chose this model?</b>	<b>If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?</b>
Model 3	I don't want Valleydale to close it is a wonderful school.	To keep both high schools open
Model 3	Ellington and we are much smaller schools it makes more sense to close these.	To keep both high schools one location would just be too difficult for students in Azusa
Model 3	Model three makes much more sense	To keep both high schools open
Model 3	Mountain View has already close if you close Valleydale We won't have a school in walking distance	To keep both high schools open
Model 3	I would love for my child to attend one elementary and one high school	keep both high schools open
Model 3	I don't want Valleydale school to close	Both high schools remain open
Model 3	I don't want my child's school to close	Keep Gladstone high school open because they just fixed it
Model 3	Keep Valleydale open	Keep both high schools open
Model 3	I don't think seventh graders should be attending school with high school kids	Keep both high schools open
Model 3	I don't Thank you should close in elementary school that holds a large amount of students like Valleydale does	Close all middle schools and keep both high schools open
Model 3	Feel that 7-8 should be separate from 9-12	
Model 3	New high school seems ideal.	Keep Slauson open.
Model 3	I don't like the idea of my 12 year old in the same school as an 18 year old.	Don't combine middle school and high school
Model 3	I don't want my 7th grader going to school with 12th graders.	Open a 2nd middle school
Model 3	Who's bright idea was to put 7-12 grades together?	Make more schools a k-8 model
Model 3	I choose #3 because I would want to mix 7&8th grade with high school students	
Model 3	The selected age ranges for each school feel more appropriate and the associated costs make more sense.	I wouldn't. I think the model makes sense.
Model 4	Ellington is a great opportunity to build on and a safer environment in regards to the housing around the campus. No liquor store nearby calling unnecessary attention.	Not close any middle school.

<b>Which of the two models do you prefer?</b>	<b>What is the main reason you chose this model?</b>	<b>If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?</b>
Model 3	It makes more sense to keep large schools like valleydale open	Keep both high schools open
Model 3	I don't want valleydale to close	Keep both high schools open
Model 3		
Model 4	Less capacity	
Model 3	Age difference on second module between 7 graders and 12 graders	
Model 3	I am very concerned about the options that Valleydale students will have if Valleydale closes, paramount is not remodeled and fairly small, Ellington is quite a distance considering many of those kids already travel from the Mountain View area. Furthermore, the Dual language program will impacted tremendously with another move, the only positive thing that can come out of this is if a language academy is established. As a parent in the DI program, I am very concerned about the integrity of the program with this instability.	Both high schools should be kept
Model 3		
Model 4	Junior highs are gone.	N/A
Model 4	Junior highs are gone.	N/A
Model 4	2 options for middle school and high school	Close Ellington instead of Valleydale
Model 4	Like having 2 high schools.	To add a middle school.
Model 3	I don't feel comfortable having my child attend high school with much older kids. There is too much of an age difference and I would not want my child exposed to things that are not age appropriate. High school students tend to behave in a different manner.	The max capacity of the new high school does not add up to the amount of pupils attending the other schools.
Model 4	Wouldn't like our neighborhood school closed	Keep a middle school. Wouldn't like having 7-12 graders in same school.
Model 3	Middle school experience for the children	Nothing
Model 4		
Model 3	There is more capacity per open school.	I would not change anything.
Model 4	Why build a new high school? When you can put that money into the rest of the schools.	Keep more than one middle school open.
Model 3	Less students	



Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 3	I would like the 7th and 8th graders separated from the high schoolers. I feel like it's safer for the younger ones to be like that way.	I like the idea of TK to 8th grade in each elementary school then they transfer to high school 9-12th grade in a different campus. I feel like that they develop their relationships better in a TK -8th grade model, the sense of community is better because they grow and have known each other for a longer period of time and if the social aspect is better it fosters more learning. . I can speak for experience because that's was the model of school that I went to.
Model 4	Two tier elementary them straight to middle/high school. Always found middle school unnecessary.	
Model 4	There really good schools	Nothing
Model 3	Because neither wasn't an option	You're middle school options are really dumb why would you put 7th graders with 12th graders when your school counselors do not even help our 9th-12th graders enough! Imagine an 18year old (12thgrader) falling for a 13 year old because they go to the same school?! Terrible idea and only one middle school? Our students need the help less kids the better. It's always political think about our students for once snd you won't be losing so many students transferring out!
Model 3	I wouldn't want my future 7th grader going to school with so much older students	Maintain the two high schools
Model 4	Need two high schools	
Model 3	Middle school is a great learning experience a child needs to go to high school without it high school will be hard.	Nothing
Model 4	Clear and consistent, no TK - 8	N/A
Model 4	Middle school is not good for kids. That age is hard enough, adding two school transitions to it makes it even harder. And there's a Harvard study that shows that kids who go through middle school don't do as well as kids who don't and have a higher high school drop out rate.	TK-8 schools.
Model 3	I think this model is in the best interest of students, their academic, social-emotional needs in particular.	nothing
Model 3		

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 3	Middle school students need to be separate from high school.	You need to maintain at least Slauson middle school for that area of Azusa. Why did measure K resources go to GHS and Ellington only to close the schools? Such a horrible decision and loss of taxpayer dollars.
Model 3	Model #3 makes more sense to me. I also do not want 7th-12th together.	Nothing. I like it best.
Model 3	Lower capacity	Not sure
Model 3	We need a middle school	Add additional high school
Model 3	Why would you mix 7th and 8th graders with high schoolers? 7th and 8th graders are at a age that are easily persuaded by older kids and they need to be separated. If not, gang involvement, drug use and early teen pregnancies will increase for 7th and 8th graders.	Keep middle school and high school separate. Always.
Model 3	I actually do not like either of the models but picked Model 3 over Model 4 because I think middle school & high school should not be combined. Regardless, please consider student-teacher ratio as well.	Model 3 & Model 4 elementary school projects same number of students; however, Model 3 has one TK-8... shouldn't it have more number of students projected? I would change all elementary to TK-6 and then have two middle school opened. Thank you.
Model 3	I like one high school and one middle school for the students. Also like keeping the high school 9-12 grade.	I'd make Gladstone the one middle school. Students could benefit for the already updated campus and could have better sports programs and better electives. Also doesn't let the site sit empty after so much money was invested in updating it.
Model 3	High school has 9-12.	
Model 3	Mentally and emotionally, I don't think 8th and 7th grade are ready to commingle with high schoolers. Middle school is an extremely important stepping stone for kids to help them prepare academically and socially.	I think model 3 is a great compromise.

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 3	I would like for Valleydale to be open, my kid goes there and it's more convenient than having to send her somewhere else. It's near our home and where her babysitter lives so she has somewhere to go and be taken care of while I'm at work.	I think Valleydale shouldn't have so many grades in one school. I would like if grades 6-8 were removed. Bullying now is bad as it is, what happens when middle schoolers who for being older like to believe they're better are placed with elementary students. I'm afraid not only my child who will be in first or second grade when changes happen but other students as well will be bullied simply for being younger and being afraid to stand up for themselves. Not only are they afraid but if they do stand up for themselves, most times they are the ones who get worse punishment for 'engaging' when they just tried to stop it. Let's keep in mind that if there are that many students in a school, cases of COVID could increase and the declining of enrollment can worsen.
Model 4	would like to continue same high school	nothing
Model 4	I want Gladstone and Azusa High school to stay open. It would be a hardship for some students to travel a further distance. Middle schoolers should be on campus.	Have at least two middle schools.
Model 4		
Model 4	I want to keep Gladstone open	
Model 4	I don't like the one junior high school and one high school model.	Keep Middle schools open.
Model 3	I would like my child to have a separate middle school and high school.	At this time, nothing... It's the best of all the plans.
Model 4	Best choice	Not sure

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 4	<p>This should only be temporary fix. There should be no major changes and wasteful spending. The middle school students should keep their alliance to their middle schools and activities should continue occur for them. After school sports should continue even at their location as well as other activities. This might also help them build a bond with the high school. The district has wasted plenty of measure K money making permanent changes and then figuring it doesn't work. Measure K money is supposed to be use for all Azusa children, not just for one's living in a specific area of Azusa. Azusa means A-Z in the USA and the district needs to value all children. They should know that the children living in the outskirts of Azusa will be the ones most affected, and they are the ones that face the most challenges. The district needs to make a better job of reaching out all families, in other ways than electronically. The district will definitely loose more students to neighboring districts. It's should be stated as trial.</p>	<p>I Would leave Slauson Middle School open, and re visit options at later time. This would cause the list disruptions to our families and our children. Mental health is in a fragile state for everyone. This children would normally be less apprehensive of this changes, for they would eventually attend this school. And there's no mention of Sierra Students. Going forward the district needs to learn how other district's keep their children engaged so they don't fall so far down and need an alternative site. Enrollment should be better. This can allow district to see if it works or not. And if it doesn't work at least we were thought off!</p>
Model 4	<p>Keep both High Schools. Utilize the existing buildings. Makes no sense to build a completely new facility. Wasted tax paying dollars on the existing school facility recent updates.</p>	<p>The high schools should be from 9-12 grade. Make Elementary tk - 8.</p>
Model 4	<p>To save GHS</p>	
Model 4		
Model 4	<p>Larger campuses</p>	<p>One middle school</p>
Model 4	<p>Would rather there be 2 high schools</p>	<p>Nothing</p>
Model 3	<p>It makes more sense duh</p>	
Model 4	<p>To save jobs</p>	
Model 4	<p>To protect Gladstone high school jobs</p>	<p>N/a</p>
Model 3	<p>I do not want my kids sharing a school with older students.</p>	<p>Open up more middle schools.</p>
Model 3	<p>Young children shouldn't go to school with young adults.</p>	<p>It's fine the way it is.</p>
Model 3	<p>Model 3 will keep the Jr HS program.</p>	<p>Ensure that our High Schools are not closed/consolidated.</p>

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 3	I feel it is better for for Azusa to have 1 middle school and 1 high school instead of mixing the middle school students with high school students. Also it is more affordable than model 4	
Model 4	My child goes to Ellington. It's a great school. She has been attending there since T-k. This year she will be in 6th grade. She knows all the teachers and all her friends go there. It would be a shame to take her away from her education and her socialization from this great school! I love that it's a small school. She has grown so much at Ellington. If you close down Ellington, I will have to change School Districts. I do not like the other options for Middle School through the Azusa District. PLEASE don't close ELLINGTON!	KEEP ELLINGTON OPEN!
Model 3	7th graders should not be in the same school as high school students! It's scary enough to be starting a new school let alone a school with practically full grown adults as students.	Place the 6th graders back into middle school. I just saw the classroom lists for this school year & I don't agree with them. I don't understand why the school has combo classes! There's only 1 5th grade class, 1 4th/5th class & 1 5th/6th class it's ridiculous. Just keep all the classes one grade. These classes have too many students in them, there's about 35 students in each that's too many especially now that COVID is still around
Model 3	makes better sense financially	
Model 4	I want Ellington to stay open because it's in a safe place. In a residential area, not next to a main street.	
Model 4	It's within walking distance to our house and the kids do not have to cross the main streets.	
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4	My kids attend schools in model 4	Keep Valleydale school open
Model 4	Makes more sense	NA
Model 4	7th and 8th grade doesn't make sense to be part of an elementary school setting	
Model 4		

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4	7th & 8th makes sense to be part of High School. Gives kids an opportunity to grow up and become responsible.	NA
Model 4	I prefer having 2 different high schools so our high schools aren't crammed with all the kids in Azusa district. Middle schools are a wasted space.	NA
Model 4	Middle Schools are only 2 grades, so wasted space	None
Model 4	Organization in model 4 seems to be more efficient	
Model 4	Schools on model 4 are more kept up	
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4	Better are for smaller kids to be in school	Nothing
Model 4	Lower traffic safer for kids to walk to school	Nothing
Model 4	Safer for kids to walk to school	Nothing

<b>Which of the two models do you prefer?</b>	<b>What is the main reason you chose this model?</b>	<b>If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?</b>
Model 4	Not on a Main Street	Nothing
Model 3	I don't want my 7 th grade child in high school too soon.	Keep Ellington open
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4	Well it keeps Ellington open.	7th graders should not be in school with 11 or 12 graders. Keeping Ellington to 8th grade is best as I chose that school for that reason
Model 4	I think having two locations for middle school and high school would make it an easier commute for parents.	The only thing I suggest is providing transportation after closing Lee and Valleydale.
Model 3	Middle school and high school need to be separate. This will help minimize the spread of COVID.	
Model 3	Having a Middle and High school	It would be nice to have Kinder to 8th Grade in one school and then high school separate
Model 3	7th graders shouldn't be with high school kids	
Model 3	I prefer the trend (keeping 6th grade in elementary) and keeping our middle school. It is not good for elementary students to go directly to high school.	I can't identify anything that I would change.
Model 4	There should be two separate high schools.	I would leave the high schools the way they are and make one or two elementary schools TK-8th.
Model 3	Maintaining a middle school option grades 7-8.	Both High schools for 9-12 grades
Model 3	Model 3 has a greater % capacity than the high school.	I would move the middle school to the other high school (Gladstone) since a substantial amount of money has been spent on the fields, and this could attract more students. I would have the Foothill campus be an adjunct campus to AHS, or whatever the campus is rebranded, so that there would be an area for music, theater, and or modern science labs for the high school.
Model 3	I don't want my daughter going to a high school when she enters 7th grade.	No changes. I understand why changes need to be made.
Model 4	I think it is important to keep our two high schools.	I would still have 1 or 2 of the elementary schools be k-8.

<b>Which of the two models do you prefer?</b>	<b>What is the main reason you chose this model?</b>	<b>If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?</b>
Model 3	7-8 graders should not be with high school age	Keep Lee
Model 4	Proximity from school to home and both longtime high schools would close. Just upgrade the current schools!	Keep schools open. 34 students to one teacher right now is already bad.
Model 3	I don't want none if the are closing Lee. They are both ridiculous. Those children are to young to be with high schoolers.	Don't cut Lee. I just transferred my children there from Powell because Powell failed to notify me about my child's learning problems in which she is now in desperate need of tutoring.
Model 3	I feel that a School District needs to have a Middle School. I think it is very beneficial for children to go to Middle School before they go off to High School. I've never even heard of a School District that didn't have a Middle School. I would not feel comfortable with my 7th grader going to school with Juniors and Seniors. Also, the Model 4 is so much more expensive that it doesn't even make sense that it's an option. If Model 4 passes, I will definitely take my kids out of this school district.	
Model 4	I love the idea of the electives and possibilities for our middle school students. The music program could blossom! We can focus on the programs each High School currently offers; build on those and add a few more. I love the idea of keeping the two high schools. Also, the K-8 Model doesn't work in Model 3.	Lee is a walking school, I worry about closing Lee.
Model 4	I prefer the 7-12 grades together. It gives the middle school kids more resources and opportunities.	Close more elementary schools.
Model 3	I don't feel comfortable with my child (7th ) in high school. I know they will kept separate but things can still accrued. I want my child to experience middle school. Also, if all middle schools are eliminated teachers and other staff will loss their jobs.	
Model 4	Do not like only 1 middle school for that many kids .	Have 2 middle schools or have a few K-8 schools.
Model 3	More capacities.	Keep azusa & gladstone separate
Model 3	Middle school should be separate from high school. The middle schoolers are too young to be added to the high schools.	Keep Azusa high school, it's name and heritage. It's the original high school for Azusa.
Model 4		
Model 4	I think its a better idea to combine middle school with high schools.	nothing



<b>Which of the two models do you prefer?</b>	<b>What is the main reason you chose this model?</b>	<b>If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?</b>
Model 4	Middle schools are wasted space	nothing
Model 4	Never like the idea of combining elementary kids with middle school kids. Having a child in 7th grade now she is not getting the experience of switching periods for different subjects. she still has the same teacher for all the subjects. That's not really preparing her for high school.	nothing, love the idea of model 4
Model 4	makes better sense then model 3	nothing
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		







<b>Which of the two models do you prefer?</b>	<b>What is the main reason you chose this model?</b>	<b>If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?</b>
Model 4	I don't think closing schools is the answer to the problem	7 & 8 grade should not be in high school
Model 3	I don't like either of them	I wouldn't go with any of these.
Model 3	We need middle schools	Open up more middle schools, do not close schools!!!
Model 4	Not on a major street safer for kids	Nothing
Model 4		
Model 4	City needs 2 high schools	Sierra high needs to be restructured
Model 4	Valleydale and Lee are very outdated and it will cost the district more money to keep them up to par.	Keep Ellington a K-8 to help retain students atleast until they get into high school
Model 4	Keep the high school separate	Nothing
Model 4	I don't like either model but chose one because I think middle school and high school should be separate.	Less populated middle schools and separate middle school and high school.
Model 3	Transition from elementary to higher school is not acceptable!!!!	Nothing
Model 3	12/13 year olds (7th graders) should not be in the same school as high school students! They need there own space as it is it's a big change.	Place the 6th graders back in junior high school.
Model 4	I do not want my child to attend any other Azusa district school.	
Model 3	I like the idea of Middle school staying I think it's better for the kids to transition before high school. Also not fond of having my 7th grader with older kids to be influenced at a young age.	To have another High school option to chose from
Model 3	More schools are open	Grades are more separated
Model 4	One high school has the potential of being over crowded	
Model 3	Horrible idea to have Hs 7-12 are u guys kidding me! No better option but model 3. & even that's not a good idea	Change only 1 HS!!! They should have 2 High schools not just one! Wasteful Money spent on the football fields if u close down one! Middle school 7-8 good! HS 9-12. Don't put young kids with almost adult kids! That's so wrong!!!
Model 4	I prefer to keep Ellington and Gladstone!!	To add a middle school option!!
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 3	My kids attend Hodge and Foothill	Not sure

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4	More High Schools in a lower capacity so students can more easily get educational help if needed.	More Middle Schools
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4	None . You can't have kids from 7th grade go to a high school . They are too young. Keep either slauson or center open as well as foothill and just close one middle school. Do not combine Azusa with Gladstone.	Keep both high schools open and keep 2 middle schools open . If unable to keep 2 middle school open add 7 th grade to elementary but keep it separated and add a gate.
Model 4		
Model 4		Keep middle school students at separate campus away from high school students.
Model 3	main reason is 7th graders joining a high school at such an early age.	capacity of the high school students.
Model 3	I would not want to take middle school away for students. It's already scary for students to enter high school, now they will have to share it with 17-18 year olds!	
Model 3	Dont agree with combo of Jr High / High School	None

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 4	I do not like the idea of only one high school especially with the current situation	
Model 3	Kids as 7th graders are too young to be in high school at that age	Nothing I believe the city should have one high school there wouldn't be a divide and it would bring the community together more
Model 4	Both HS stay open	
Model 4	Yes	
Model 3	To become competitive academically as well as in terms of extracurricular activities, there is strength in consolidating student bodies in the middle school and high school levels. By keeping elementary schools relatively community area based, students can develop strong early education skills preparing them for being part of increasingly larger and competitive environments as they progress through the years.	
Model 4	There's more than 1 choice for middle school and high school. It allows for alternatives for students without being forced out of the district. The way the current models stand you are only having 1 middle school with 2 grades. It should be 3 at least but do 5-7th grades instead. You relieve the Elementary from the 5 and 6th graders. So turn an elementary or two into middle schools.	Change some of the Elementary schools into 5-7th grade. This will relieve from both the Elementary and High School. The district needs more than 1 transitional school from Elementary to High School and same with High Schools.
Model 4	Keep Ellington open	Foothill middle school open
Model 3	I choose this one , because we will still have middle schools. I don't like the idea of 7-12 grades in the high schools.	I will prefer to keep Slauson middle school, because having just Foothill right next to the high school is going to create a big traffic problem for all parents dropping kids.
Model 4		
Model 3	7th and 8th should not be mixed with high schoolers. Horrible idea.	
Model 4	The reason I decided with model 4 is because I think all elementary should be from TK to 6 grade. Also I think is fine to keep two high school from 7th to 12th graders as long as the 7th and 8th are separated from the 9th, 10th, 11th, and 12th graders.	In my opinion I would change model 4 with leaving the elementary's grades from TK to 8 grade.

<b>Which of the two models do you prefer?</b>	<b>What is the main reason you chose this model?</b>	<b>If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?</b>
Model 3	I don't like either but I don't want my little son with high school kids. Especially since AUSD does nothing when it comes to bullying.	I just feel the schools are going to be way over crowded, the kids that need extra help like my child are already pushed to the side, now he's never going to get the help he needs.
Model 3	The children age groups	Keep 1 high school open
Model 3	7 and 8th graders shouldn't be in a high school	Keeping the other middle schools opened
Model 3	Less possibility for middle school students to be bullied by high school students.	
Model 3	I am a teacher at another district which houses k-6 students. The students leave 6 grade at a social level that is not yet mature enough to be at the same campus as 16 years 17 year or 18 year olds.	
Model 3	I went to a school that was from Tk-8 and I really felt it was a great experience and it prepared me to go to high school, the transition from TK-8 to high school was a good.	Make more schools TK-8
Model 4	Less schools means more funding for more programs to be incorporated to the schools that will still be open.	Add two more middle and high schools to make commuting easier for other parents as well.
Model 4	I would like both high schools to remain open.	
Model 4	My 2 children attend Ellington which is around the corner from our home.	None
Model 3	Valleydale dual language program	
Model 3		
Model 3	There is a transition between such differential grades.	
Model 3	My husband and I believe middle school age children are too young to be mixed in with the high schoolers at this point.	
Model 3	Because students shouldn't go from elementary school straight to high school, it is too much of a change	Leave center and Gladstone open
Model 4	Structure makes more sense	
Model 4		
Model 4	My son needs special Ed so neither one	Not to close slauson it has special ed



Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 4	Ellington is a huge border to school to Covina-this would be a big loss if we closed this site. Valleydale can be pushed to Ellington and Paramount. These 2 sites are both wonderful and could be accomdating to gaining students from Valleydale.	Not to close all the MS and make 2 huge HS. Why not keep one MS and make one HS???
Model 4	To close the high schools and make one, you're asking for trouble. These two schools are rivals in sports. It would never work to try and make them one. The violence it would cause; fights on campus all the time. Plus two sides of town coming together. Gangs claiming territories. School would be unsafe	I don't like either model. 7&8 grade in high school. Is the district ready for sexual assaults? These kids are to young to be In High school. EX:can you see a 7 th grade girl at school and here comes a sophomore trying to hit on her. Sexual assault. We must make the schools safe before you can think of their education. You're worried about enrollment dropping well if either of these models are picked, I will transfer my kids to another district. These two models affect my 5th grader(girl) and my sophmore(boy) who plays sports. Reconsider and start over!
Model 4	Seems like the better choice	As long as each student gets the attention they need to succeed is fine.
Model 4	Particular schools stay open such as Ellington for the area of houses. It should go to 8th grade as it does not.	Have schools go to 8th grade and why make high school at 7th grade? Does not make any logical sense
Model 3	New HS	N/A
Model 3	I don't think we should remove middle school. It's an important stage of development for that age group in order to prepare for High school and older students.	I would change the elementary schools being closed to Lee & Ellington
Model 4		
Model 3	It makes more sense. I don't think you should mix 7th and 12th graders in the same school.	
Model 4	Ellington campus provides a tk-8 option which seems to give more opportunity for families in that area.	
Model 3	I do not believe the 7th & 8th graders should be with the older kids yet	You should consider making a new middle school none of the ones we have are good and have a lot of bad reputation of fighting and bullying
Model 3	I do not believe that 7th graders should intermingle regularly with 12th graders as it would expose them to more environmental and communal risks such as younger drug use and younger sexual exploration.	Nothing
Model 3	This was the model of school whenever I was attending	Everything looks good to me

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 3	Grade levels should be kept as it is Elementary, Middle and HS because the school will be over populated if they decide to go with module 4. And my main concern is younger kids being exposed to other things that are happening in high school like drugs and bullying issues some to mention. Another concern is that I would expect for the new combination and schools to expand construction wise and have the right space and room for all students to learn. With over populated schools is easier for the virus to expand while the contact is closer and overcrowded classes. As well as finding better ways for younger and older teenagers to stay safe all mixed together.	Capacity in school and or classrooms. Our children deserve more one on one with the teachers so more students have the opportunity to learn and grow as they go.
Model 3	New high school only every high school in azusa sucks	Invest in every school stop being so cheap
Model 4	I understand better	Nothing
Model 3	I chose model 3 because I would never allow my kids to go to a 7-12 grade high school. That's a ridiculous concept. If you can't stop your high school students from ditching school and jumping the fence now then how should we expect the school to keep them away from impressionable seventh and eighth graders within the same campus. This concept may work for small private schools but this is a full scale public school and the board needs to learn how to manage it correctly.	I understand that there is low enrollment and closing down facilities is necessary but I hope this means that bussing and other reliable transportation services will be available to our middle schoolers and high schoolers. Expecting children to walk across the city to get to school is not ok. Do better Azusa.
Model 4	Schools are closer to our home	Leave school as is
Model 3	I chose this model because there would be too many problems with that many students in one school. If model 4 does end up being carried out I will be taking my kids out of this district and moving to a more tradition school system.	I would change Valleydale being TK-8 and leave every elementary TK-6 for simplicity sake. Not only that, but the age gap between TK and 8th graders is too large for them to be associated with each other. Overall TK-6 just makes the most sense for an elementary school setting.
Model 3	More convenient for me	NA
Model 3	I am a teacher at another district which houses k-6 students. The students leave 6 grade at a social level that is not yet mature enough to be at the same campus as 16 years 17 year or 18 year olds.	
Model 4	Both my kids schools will remain open and can continue with Independent study until if and when it will be safe enough to maybe return back to in person studies.	I wish ALL SCHOOLS COULD REMAIN OPEN. You need to hire as many teachers needed so that all schools stay open because we need our youth to have all the resources possible and available at all times.

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 3	I want middle school	Have 2 middle school
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4	I would rather have a choice of which high school my child will go to. I also think it would be best for the kids to just transition once from elementary and the next school they go to would be the one they grow with till graduation.	I would make it 8-12 high schools. I feel like that would be a more appropriate grade level to start high school.
Model 4	I have a 7th grader at Slauson this year. I don't want to move him to Foothill for one year and then to another new school for high school. I have a daughter who is a Freshman at Gladstone this year. Model 4 works so much better for me because I'd love for both my kids to be at Gladstone next year. This would mean I only need to do one drop-off and pick-up, plus that's less transition for both of them. My daughter stays where she's at, and my son moves schools only once. I humbly request you to choose Model 4. Thank you.	Nothing. I love Model 4.
Model 4		I forgot to add on the survey I just submitted that I would like Model 4, but one thing I should have mentioned is that it would be awesome if the 7th through 9th graders could be on a separate half or section of campus from the 10th through 12th graders. This would make me feel much better and have more peace about my 12-year-old being on the same campus as 18- and 19-year-olds. Thank you for listening and considering my feedback. I really appreciate it.
Model 3	Because middle school and high are separated.	Don't over packed classrooms
Model 3		
Model 3	Kids going to high schools with adults	Keeping the high schools
Model 3	Because it gives the option to still have middle school	Keep Azusa high school

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 3	None of the above. Leave the schools alone. Worry about now 2021-2022. My kids are in classes with 45 students. Concentrate on the current problem. Opening a new high doesn't solve the problem because my kids go to GHS a new one won't benefit us just the upper crust in city limits. It's B.S.	Not doing it at all. It's ridiculous
Model 3	Safety of adolescents, innocence	Elementary School TK-8, No middle school, High School 9-12.
Model 3	I feel that transitions are important for children. They need the "middle school" transition as it is my opinion that middle school children should not be placed together with high school children.	Nothing
Model 4	It's helping a middle school student to adapting with high school level faster	Nothing
Model 4	Combining 2 HS, takes away a lot opportunities for the kids in sports. Bringing 2 teams together would obviously mean cuts for some. Taking these extra activities away, leaves the kids with nothing else to go to but the streets. Which should have been greatly considered. Either model hurts these kids and over crowds school classrooms. Especially with Covid, why are you adding more more children to a school creating a bigger risk?	Keep Jr high schools separate from HS. I don't believe placing a 7th grader into such a mature/ older atmosphere is a smart idea. There can be so many potential issues there. 17/18yr old boys with 12yr old girls? Come on, seriously?!
Model 4	Having all of the city of Azusa go to ONE high school would be too many kids in one classroom and with Covid that is very dangerous. Last meeting my kids school was closed. These 2 options aren't very good. There should be a 3rd option.	I would close the 2 elementary school. Close just one middle school and leave both high schools open. Best to separate middle from high school. And best to not have EVERYONE go to ONE high school.
Model 3		
Model 3	I want middle schoolers to be separated from the negative influences high school can bring.	I don't really care if there is a new high school or if you keep Azusa High and close Gladstone. That part can be revisited if people want to keep one of the original high schools.
Model 4	Keeping our rival HS is important for our community, it brings our cities together.	
Model 3	Keep middle schools	
Model 3	Less students	
Model 4		Nothing

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 3	Safety	The reason enrollment is declining is because of its terrible reputation for poor teaching, mismanagement of funds, and leadership. It's poor communication with parents and lack of transparency has driven us away from district schools and to charter schools. It has nothing to do with gentrification or low birth rates. I have children in the district and refuse to send them to any school in AUSD.
Model 4		Nothing
Model 3	I like the idea of having one high school. I believe it will bring the community closer together. Plus we can have one high school with the programs that the current high schools offer.	If we go to one high school, I hope we can update, modernize and make it a beautiful school for our students. State of the art science labs, state of the art theater, state of the art video production studio, state of the art computer science program, various music programs, build another gym to support the many sports programs we have to offer, build a new pool, upgrade baseball and softball fields, scoreboard, lights and bleachers for new football field, etc. If Azusa High is chosen as the one high school, the center of campus needs to be resurfaced with concrete. The current blacktop is all cracked, uneven, and is an eye sore. Gladstone's center campus is beautiful. These are just some of my thoughts.
Model 4	2 high schools	Add a middle school
Model 4	I like the schools at a lower capacity - so there's actually room for more should projected numbers be off or things change.	
Model 4		
Model 4		Nothing

<b>Which of the two models do you prefer?</b>	<b>What is the main reason you chose this model?</b>	<b>If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?</b>
Model 4	I chose model 4 because I have no choice. I've been through this before when Mt. View closed. We Mt.View staff and our Mt.View families) fought hard for what? Also, I prefer 2 high schools. There has been a lot of Azusa residents tax money already spent to remodel them. Why start over and let that money go down the drain. Mt.View had been remodel and is just their rotting away. I heard a mother say that Azusa High should remain because of its name but I'm thinking beyond the name. I think and feel that their would be a lot of anger, animosity between students if that's the school even if it gets revamped. You would be moving an entire community to the other side of town. Yes they are all from Azusa but each school has a different community. I also think that in deciding to close Gladstone High you are and will push our families to move their children our students to North View High school and even San Dimas High.	I would change the elementary schools to the two schools that have the least student capacity. Powell and either Ellington or Dalton. Infest money into Charles Lee Elementary ( they have a switch board, they cannot call the classrooms directly, phone calls drop, office air conditioner does not work properly etc.) I hear our student count continually is dropping, yes right now. But there is/are younger generations that are migrating to Azusa with their growing families or choosing to remain in family homes and raise their families where they were raised. Let's not fail them and be prepared for a our forth coming generations.
Model 4		
Model 3	7th grade should not be with hs	
Model 4	Because there's going to be more violence in model 3. Kids are going to get beat up and who knows if they're going to end up badly because kids these days are problematic. If the kid ends up badly, the school or the district might get sued.	You guys should ask the kids to vote because the parents aren't the ones who's attending school. The kids are. Ask the kids for their opinions not the parents. There's no point of closing down center because center got fixed sort of and y'all deciding on just leaving foothill open?
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 3	None you should not close Lee school or Foothill	Do not close Lee School or Foothill School
Model 4		

<b>Which of the two models do you prefer?</b>	<b>What is the main reason you chose this model?</b>	<b>If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?</b>
Model 4	Ellington is a great school	
Model 3	7th and 8th graders have no business being with high schoolers. Keep that influence away as long as possible.	I don't like a tk- 8 school. I think 6-8 is a better choice if needed.
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4	I see that with model 4, there is more consistency in the environment for the children. Teenage years are difficult. Being in a school for six years in elementary and six years in high school will give the teenager a better feeling of belonging. And the other reason is that two high schools that have a great history should keep being open.	It is not easy for me to accept Model 4 because Valleydale is a great school. However, I like the idea of consistency during the teenage years.
Model 4	Although I was hesitant to have grades 7th-12th on one campus, it is the model that makes most sense because it provides a middle and high school location on opposite ends of our community. Thus making transportation easier for families.	I would trade Ellington for Valleydale. Valleydale is more centrally located and visible to that area of Azusa.
Model 4	My children attend Ellington.	
Model 3	Don't like the idea of mixing middle school and high school together.	Not change anything, this will drive more students out of the district.
Model 3	I don't feel it is appropriate to have 7&8th grade students with high school we are rushing their development	Add a K-8 options
Model 3		
Model 3	Students needs a middle school for transitional. Cant just merge elementary straight to high school	Keep 2 middle schools
Model 3	Middle school needs to be separate	Make it 6th-8th grade
Model 4		
Model 3	I think having 7th graders in high school is to young and not a good idea.	Keep Elementary schools until 8th grade and then start Highschool at 9th

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 3	I don't believe children that are under 14 should be starting in a high school environment. Let children be children and not make them grow up fast, specially in a high school environment. High school student have a different mentally growing into young adults and there's no need to rush children into growing up too fast.	
Model 3	I wouldn't want my child at 12 years old in high school with way older kids up to 18.	Keep azusa high school as only high school
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 3	One high school so all AUSD students are given the same opportunities	Close the middle school and make the elementary sites TK-8
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 3	There should be 1 transition school before high school.	Keep 2 middle school instead of 1
Model 4	Seems better	
Model 3	My daughters attend this school and it's a good model	You guys should use one of the already existing high school
Model 3	I had to it was required to do survey I am against closing schools class rooms are to crowed	Do not close middle schools or any schools
Model 3	New high school	Do away with all middle school bring in a new high school make all 3 high schools 6-12 grades
Model 3	Don't agree	Choose none I wouldn't want my son attending school with high schoolers
Model 3		That's why kids get bullied and picked on. Why does slauson have to close
Model 3	Middle school with high school students will result in kids growing up too fast being exposed to older kids and or being bullied.	Leave one high school open and not waist districts money.
Model 4	The high school is not near the max capacity.	I am not sure why my sons 4th grade class has 35 students if there is a low enrollment. The class sizes seem to still be overwhelmingly full. How does the district plan on improving the lower performing schools? I would change smaller class sizes of 28 students max and keep Lee open.
Model 3		



Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 3	I don't agree on seventh graders going to school with 12th graders. You will see a lot more teen pregnancies.	If I could change some thing about the model I selected it would be for Lee school to stay open it is one block away from me. We do not have transportation. I live in the lower income apartments close by. It's a great school I went to that school my mother went to that school and now my children are attending Lee School. I believe you guys will be spending more money on transportation for these kids and bussing them to the other schools. It will not be my choice to send them to another school. Which means that makes the school district responsible for transportation at this point.
Model 4	I really don't like either because my child goes to lee and he loves it there	Not to close lee elementary and not to combine middle or high school together
Model 3		
Model 3		
Model 3		
Model 3		
Model 3	7-8 graders are too young to mix in with high schoolers	Even out the number of students in the elementary schools so not so many kids in one
Model 3		
Model 3	Separate middle and high schools	
Model 3	Breaks up middle and high school. Also in favor if a new high school, as Azusa High is sorely dated.	
Model 3	High school grades 9-12	
Model 4	Because Azusa and Gladstone are still separated makes them still rivals and more fun for game days.	Not to close down all the middle schools
Model 4		
Model 3	Don't want 7th graders on the high school campus.	Swap the closing of Ellington to Valleydale. Keep the Covina students we already have.
Model 3	I like this model because it has one high school and one middle school which will allow the schools to have larger programs such as band, art, sports, and there is no division	I would like to see the middle school on the opposite high school campus that will allow for facilities to be available for sports, etc
Model 3	Better middle school and high school option and better elementary option	nothing

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 3	I chose 3 for the reason that I don't agree with 4 I don't think it's ok to have middle school students with high school students	Don't close LEE
Model 4	No need for a new high school	Nothing
Model 4		
Model 3		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4	Easier to understand	Nothing
Model 4		
Model 4	Na	Na
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 4	Elementary and middle and high school are close together to each other. Easy for the parents and children	Nothing much
Model 4		
Model 3		
Model 3		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 3	None .I honestly hate both options	I don't like either option and honestly would take my kids out of the District if this happens.
Model 4	To keep two high school	Separate middle school and high school kids on the same campus
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4	So it's not overly populated at the schools. So my student won't get lost in the huge classroom sizes	
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 3	I don't want my 7th grader (12-13year old) attending a school with 18year olds.	
Model 4	Keeping both high schools open. We will lose students to surrounding districts if we only go to 1 high school.	
Model 4		
Model 3	Too big of an age gap. So many things can go wrong. It'll also increase bullying. Kids are having a hard time not eating lunch because the lines are so long.	Clarify where Azusa High stands with module 3.
Model 3	We need middle school	2nd high school
Model 4	Keep the high schools	
Model 4	Model 4 is better because it has both high schools	
Model 3	More Schools open	I really do not like this changes
Model 3	None my kids go to Charles lee and wouldn't be fair for us to have to travel further or cause them to miss school.	Leave lee open.

<b>Which of the two models do you prefer?</b>	<b>What is the main reason you chose this model?</b>	<b>If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?</b>
Model 4	I can see more organization	
Model 3		
Model 3	My child goes to Valleydale	Nothing
Model 4	It's more realistic instead of making a whole new highschool	Switch lee with powell
Model 3		
Model 4	My son goes to one of schools that will stay open with this choice.	
Model 3	Makes the most sense	Keep 2 Jr highs open
Model 3	Middle school and high school should be separate.	Adding another high school to model 3
Model 3	I believe there needs to be a middle school or intermediate school. 7-12 doesn't give our kids a chance to progress at a pace for there age.	To have at least one other high school. With behavioral issues that our youth face today your basically telling them we don't want you.
Model 4		
Model 4	Because the school should be only through 6th grade	Education learning teacher more involved in students.. and a set principal
Model 4		
Model 3	Keep Valleydale and foothill open	
Model 4	The schools are closer to our home	Don't change anything
Model 3	Don't want my 13 year old going to school with older young adults experiencing more then my child	Nothing
Model 3		
Model 4		
Model 3	7 and 8 grade should still be middle school	
Model 4	Because my kids go there and is convenient for parents due to the current circumstances.	Keeps all schools open that's going to let you have less students in a particular class for covid restrictions. We love Ellington
Model 4	Not sure	NA
Model 3	From a developmental perspective not having a transition between elementary and high school can be detrimental	Nothing
Model 4	The option of having 2 high schools.	Keep one middle school open and two high schools open with one of them being 7-12

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 4	Keeps the old high schools that have been in Azusa compared to a new one	Keep the middle schools
Model 3	Neither I believe 2 junior highs are needed. Keep Slauson open along with Foothill. Do not include 7th and 8th graders with high school	Slauson is more centrally located to accommodate students from the west and northern Azusa
Model 4	Keeping g less schools open and offering remot	The amount of students too many especially high schools
Model 4		
Model 3	Middle school	No change
Model 4		
Model 4	More choices for middle school	
Model 4	My daughter in foothill	I pick 4 cause that's to much kids
Model 3	Protecting our children's youth and adolescents.	Removing middle school from the equation having students finish from TK-8th grade. High school from 9th grade to 12th grade.
Model 4	Please see comment.	Schools should remain open. Class size should be at a minimum, giving students the best education possible. With either option, please keep class sizes low. Due to Covid there should also be less students in each class. The ratio in class size is important for more individualized attention for students.
Model 4	As a parent Model 4 is best and convenient. We live in arrow highway much better if our daughter can go to school in Gladstone because me and my husband are both working. Gladstone is very near in our home.	Not all the middle school will be closed
Model 3	7th/8th grade is too young to be with high schoolers.	Do not eliminate middle school
Model 3		
Model 4	I rather have two middle & high schools than have one high school over crowded with lots of children in a small classroom setting. We need to better the campus for our children. Let's not forget COVID-19 is still a big problem.	I would close another elementary school and add just one middle school. Keeping the two middle & high schools .
Model 3	I do not like a high school starting at 7th grade	
Model 3	Porque no me gustaría que cerraran valleydale	Me gustaría que usaran uniforme
Model 3	Keep middle and high schools seperate	No new school. Keep AZUSA HS!

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 3	I think Valleydale is an amazing school, and has the incredible dual-immersion program. More importantly - the separation and nurturing of the middle school aged children is important and necessary. Having twelve and thirteen year olds in high school with older teenagers is dangerous. The beginning of puberty in 12-13 year olds, and the explosion of hormones and future life decisions of 16-18 year olds should not be mixed. They are still babies and have too much to prove to older kids in the middle school age. Middle school gives them the gateway these children need to move past the elementary school way, and into high school. It should not be taken away.	In the same way that I mentioned the importance of middle school - I am going to stress i would not be comfortable with sending my 5 year old to begin her elementary school experimce with seventh and eighth graders. In my same advocacy for middle school, I would say that there is already a huge gap between the kids from TK to 6th grade now, but the system to separate these kids completely - with little to no crossover has been helpful, but when you fill the school with even more older kids, who should be able to comfortably prepare for high school in middle school, as they will be in pre-pubescence and entering it... the idea of the little ones being in the same learning environment does not sit well.
Model 4	I THINK ITS A 100 percent much better choice on the closer of elementary school s and the making of the two high school 7th through 12 .	I WOULD CHANGE THE MAKING ALL THE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL TK THROUGH 8TH INSTEAD IT MAKES MORE SENSE PLUS WE ALREADY HAVE SUCCESSFULLY DONE GOOD WITH ELLINGTON ELEMENTARY TK THROUGH 8TH
Model 3	school close to home	Atleast two middle and two highschool
Model 3	The HS grades remained somewhat appropriate	I would change MS grades to 6-8
Model 4	My child goes to Gladstone high and want to keep it that way	
Model 3	New high school	Less elementary schools
Model 4	Ellington k -8 is a great school all my children went there my daughter is the 6 th grade I refuse to send her to center or Slayton or foothill my other daughters got bullied at those other school and they were special needs no one help my children or me makes things better if they close Ellington I will pull my children out of the azusa district completely	Keep Ellington is a fabulous school
Model 4	Keeping both high schools open and less transitions for students in general (new school 7th grade and get to remain there til HS graduation).	N/A
Model 3	Middle Schools are separated from High Schools	I would keep two middle schools opened
Model 3	Wouldn't like middle school students with high school students	Leave Gladstone high open
Model 3	Cause kids should be separated	
Model 4		

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 3	Yes	
Model 3	High remains 9-12	Keep two middle schools opened
Model 3	i believe high school should be experienced without the distraction of younger kids. younger meaning below 8th grade.	is 7th and 8th grade really necessary? in my time we only had 6th grade then high school. i feel the 2 yrs are unnecessary and costly. i dont know the reason why it was enacted.
Model 4		
Model 3	I prefer separation of middle school and high school rather than merging them together	
Model 3	I don't want my 7th and 8th graders with older children.	No masks.
Model 3	High school 9-12	Let them breath
Model 4	Because at least my two kids can go together. I feel more safe..	I wish that there was still Jr high school but it is what it is
Model 3	I think keeping one middle school and one high school would be best for the community.	I'd like to see Gladstone high school used as the one middle school. This would give the district a strong middle schoolers with a lot to offer our students.
Model 3	Keeping High school 9-12	Nothing
Model 3	7-8th graders are too young to be in a school with 12th graders	Keep an existing High school.
Model 3	Prevent over crowding. It allows a transition from age groups.	
Model 3		
Model 3	I wouldn't want my seventh grader mixed with much older kids, I believe it would be more bullying.	Keep Center Middle school open.
Model 4	My children attend Ellington.	
Model 3		
Model 3		
Model 4		
Model 4		

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 3	My child attends valleydale and the idea of him having to stay in one school all together for 7 and 8 sounds like an amazing idea and then just having to move on to HS instead of having to jump into 3 schools and one of them being for only 2 years makes no sense.	If i could change something about the model it would be having AHS and GHS still available as options.
Model 3	Like the idea of having one of the school go up to 8th grade	Would change the HS part and have AHS and GHS still available
Model 4	Model 4 keeps Gladstone open which is where I hope to send my son	I would have it start at 8th grade.
Model 4	Es mejor para los niños que solo pasen por 2 escuelas	
Model 4	Es mejor para los niños, solo pasar por dos escuelas y no por tres.	It's ok
Model 3	I had to choose an answer no add my comment.	I don't like either model. I think it is important for their to be a middle school so that the younger and older kids are separated but why build a whole new High school and spend more money when there's already 2.
Model 3	7th graders should not share a campus with 12th graders.	Do not close Lee School.
Model 3	I believe their is a huge maturity gap between high school kids 9-12 and 7-8 graders. Their needs to be a clear separation between those two groups.	I would have two high schools. There seems to be too many students for one high school. Allowing there to be two different High schools will also allow that cross city "rivalry" which is a big part of the high school experience. Im not sure if I feel comfortable having so many students in one school I worry about the opportunities students would have for extra curricular activities (sports). I feel high school is about the experience but having so many students and not enough position causes an imbalance in opportunities.
Model 4	I had to choose one. I think both high schools should stay open and add 7th grade to elementary but keep it separated. Add 8-12 grades instead.	Add 7th grade to elementary but keep it separated. Keep both high schools open.
Model 4	I have a child in junior high and one in high school	Nothing
Model 3	Didn't like either one but I guess out of those 2 choices that one is ok	make all Elementary schools that are staying open be Tk-8th
Model 3	None of these models work.You should be embarrassed to even promote this.	Everything.Ausd is a joke.



<b>Which of the two models do you prefer?</b>	<b>What is the main reason you chose this model?</b>	<b>If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?</b>
Model 3	I do not like the idea of 7th graders in a school with 12th graders	keep more schools open and rotate non essential staff like maintenance, nurses, office people, lunch staff and principals within those smaller enrolled schools.
Model 3	We should not mix middle school age and high school age student students. Older kids' bad influence on the younger kids will be an issue, bullying would likely increase, and middle school is typically a good place for kids while transitioning while experiencing going through puberty/physical/emotional changes before entering high school.	Nothing at this point
Model 4	I don't like the idea of losing more kids to surrounding districts	
Model 3	Jr High Students should NEVER be with high school students that would be really sad and definitely uncomfortable especially for 7th graders. Why a HORRIBLE option.	Open one more middle school (what will it hurt to have smaller class rooms and more help for kids to learn?) In both scenarios it seems like middle school loses and this is actually a crucial time in a child's education & growth.
Model 4	The reason I chose this ask because it gives students options for different high schools in the area.	The breakdown of grades. The children need to learn how to transition from elementary to high school
Model 4	North Azusa is less dangerous in crime, and most schools is model 4 are in that area.	Maybe add more private schools to the list .
Model 4	My biggest concern with model 3 is the new high school. I personally disagree that a new high school should be built when the existing high schools have been renovated and are showing academic improvement. Also, geographically, having a north and south high school makes it easier for community members that do not have access to consistent transportation to attend class. I do not like that 7th and 8th graders are lumped with high schoolers but there may be a few benefits to having them merged. Namely, academically advanced students can take higher level courses without a change in environment. Also, it's possible that students may be more confident by the time they are freshman and sophomore level because they are acclimated to the school. This might benefit them for college-readiness.	Keep at least two middle schools and two high schools. However, we understand that undermines the goal of increasing capacity in the actual buildings.
Model 4	Better schools	
Model 4	Having 2 High School is better then just having only 1 with some many students	My opinion I would be kept middle school open also

<b>Which of the two models do you prefer?</b>	<b>What is the main reason you chose this model?</b>	<b>If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?</b>
Model 3	High school is tough already in students and I think 4 years is better	Keep lee as an Elementary
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 3	I wouldn't want my daughter to go to high school with bigger kids when she's only in 7th grade	
Model 4	I like that the elementary's are from TK to 6 grade.	The only thing I'm not to sure is having the 7th and 8th graders with the 9, 10,11,and 12 graders. How would that work?
Model 3		
Model 3		
Model 3		
Model 3		
Model 3	It's too large of an age gap to have 7th graders mixed with 12th grade. Also the population will be massive. Less safety. More crowding. No thank you	Keep foothill and slauson as middle school options. Why only have one? Are we losing that many students?
Model 3		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 3	I don't like the idea of 7-12th for model 4.	
Model 3	There is no logic in having a 7-12. Maturity is not there for an 11 year old to be around a 17-18 year old	None
Model 3	Would like to keep middle school children 12y/o separate from high school aged teens	Keeping both High schools, so kids can have smaller class sizes for Graduate Credit Earning
Model 4	To protect our younger children	
Model 3	Middle and high school students aren't together	Fine as is
Model 3	Well I kind of pick it because I need my student go to Foothill if Slauson going to be closed	I will choose Lee and Slauson to be open and close Foothill and Gladstone down
Model 4	Keep Ellington open	Leave all elementary schools open it is important especially for the youngest. High school kids are more young adults and can work from home. It a tough choice.
Model 3		
Model 4		Keep 7-8 separated from 9-12 on high school campus

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 3	I don't like the idea of middle schoolers mixed with high schoolers. That's just too much and I don't need my kid to get bullied which may happen. Older kids can be cruel and my kid has a learning disability.	Open up/replace Foothill with Slauson middle school!
Model 3		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 3		
Model 3		
Model 3	I don't think it's a good idea to mix 7th and 8th with older high school kids.	
Model 3	Middle school is still separated.	
Model 4		
Model 4	Don't shut down Ellington	
Model 4	I think that it is worth trying to combine the middle and high school together instead of just having one high. It would mean the parents having to drive to get to that one school which is hard.	I would wish that they offer more extracurricular activities and classes such as driver's education.
Model 4		
Model 3		
Model 4		
Model 3	Hate the idea of a 7-12 school!	Keep Azusa HS's name.
Model 4	Keeps two high school options and a k-8	
Model 3	I want a Middle School for my Child	I would close Powell has lower students and keep open Ellington because it's a K-8 grade

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 3	Because my child is a 7th grader she is just starting middle school and she has nervousness and fear of being around the older kids and I don't want her going to a high school where there's older kids and their attitudes towards the younger kids I want her to be safe and to feel safe	That the 7th and 8th graders just stay in the Middle School you know because they still need to mature and I don't believe I don't believe that they should go to the high school not just yet
Model 4		
Model 4	I like that this model has two high schools	If I could change something, I would have a traditional MS available as a choice
Model 3		
Model 3	I don't think the 7 th grade should be with the high school students at all !	Have 2 middle schools and only the 3 high schools we currently have azusa Gladstone and Sierra
Model 3	I think 7-12 school models are too much of shift. As far as I know, there are not local districts doing this -- I appreciate the creative/outside the box thinking but wonder if we are solving one problem (closing a high school) to create another (tranistiong all school experiences for 7th-12th graders to one school).	Not a change; but I'd love to learn more about the one TK-8 model functions in real time. Thinking that could be an interesting option that more families could choose over time. Would AUSD look at opening up another TK-8?
Model 4		
Model 4	More developmentally appropriate to have junior high separate from elementary (rather than (Tk-8).	N/A
Model 4		
Model 4	I feel that would be a better balance of the two. The kids are in very stressful time for pupurity. I feel like it would be better for adapting and there learning.	Have elementary go to 7th and high schools start at 8th.
Model 4	Middle school puts extra stress on the kids at the most difficult time of their lives. They do better in a TK-7 or TK-8 model	Making elementary school through 7th and high school 8-12.

<b>Which of the two models do you prefer?</b>	<b>What is the main reason you chose this model?</b>	<b>If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?</b>
Model 3	I choose model 3 because I think students need a smooth transition leaving elementary and being among high school age students right after elementary seems like a shock and may lead to intimidation and bullying from older high school aged students. I would feel more comfortable knowing that after elementary my child will go into middle school and be among other students of the same age and maturity level. I think its best to keep elementary, middle school, and high school as separate schools to ease transitions for students and create healthier mental adjustments for them. I am concerned that students will experience further mental health disorders if they go from elementary directly to a school with older students who can bully them.	If I could change one thing it would be to keep an additional middle school open and an additional high school open; in other words keep at least two open.
Model 3	Kids need transitioning into different grades. Middle school should be 6-8 . High school 9-12	That middle school starts at grade 6 and high school begins at grade 9.
Model 3	I choose model 3 because I'm afraid students going into a school for 7-12 grade exposes them to increased stress, bullying, and intimidation. I think kids need a smooth transition and need to be among other students their same age. Please do not combine grades 7-12.	Keep a second school open for middle school and high school.
Model 3	Model 3 seems like the healthiest transition for our students. I think children need to go to a middle school before going into high school and being among older students. I'm afraid that elementary kids will be bullied and experience a negative experience if they immediately are placed among with such older high school students. There is a major age gap in 7 grade and high school age. For the well being of students I ask that middle school (7-8 grade) be kept as a separate school.	Add another school option while keeping middle school and high school separate.
Model 3	Separation between middle and high school students.	Have another high school open.
Model 4	Schools are closer	School grade levels to leave as they are
Model 4	Having the 2 high schools is better for the students. Too much students equals too much problems.	Looks good for the students.

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 3	I don't think middle school students should intermingle with high school students. Very upsetting to find out Students at Center Middle School are being beat and bullied in the restrooms by older students. Also, I've been told by several students and their parents CENTER MIDDLE SCHOOL HAS STUDENTS WITH DRUGS ON CAMPUS!!! At this point center staff has seemed to give up and not care and place students in danger	Center middle school staff needs to be retrained or replaced. All AUSD staff need to be held to a high standard. Learning that drugs are used at center restrooms is upsetting!!!! Frankly it's child endangerment. School police should be patrolling the campus!
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 3	Middle school and high schools are separate	
Model 4	Model 4 has a better option for my children	
Model 3	Middle school should remain open to grades 7-8, high school should remain open to grades 9-12.	Keep Foothill Open to middle schoolers and Azusa/Gladstone open to high schoolers instead of creating a new high school.
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 3	I want to keep high school grades 9 through 12 only.	
Model 3	Less capacity	Nothing
Model 4	The consolidation of the middle school students in attractive	No reason to change it
Model 3	I picked this one because I don't like the idea of Model 4 having 7th graders on the same campus as 12th graders.	
Model 4		
Model 4	Model 4 has two high schools and opposite of Model 3 only one high school	Add one new high school so it won't be so crowded.
Model 4	So my students will be on the same school, middle and high school.	
Model 3	seems easier	No
Model 3	Middle school	I wouldn't close any schools. Why can't we just make some classes smaller if we're losing kids. It will increase their education if the class is smaller

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 3	Valleydale elementary is the only school that offers preschool VI life skills and ELD And it is a much larger school it would make no sense to close this location.	To keep both high schools open it makes no sense to have only one high school for Azusa
Model 3	Middle schools give younger kids more opportunity to stay within same age range. In a combo middle and high school there is more opportunity for bullies to pick on younger kids.	At least 2 Middle school options. Update infrastructure.
Model 3	Module three makes more sense Valleydale elementary is one of the only schools that offer so many programs	To keep both high schools open
Model 3	Mountain View just transition to Valleydale and now you want to close Valleydale It's not fair to close the school that offer so many wonderful programs our students from Mountain View have come to know Valleydale as their own and we are one as a school	To keep both high schools open
Model 3	More opportunity to kids to stay within the same age range. Less opportunity for bullies to pick on younger kids.	At least 2 Middle schools. Update infrastructure.
Model 3	FOR EXPERIACE KIDS NEEDS TO ADAPT TO BE with OLDER KIDS SO By GOING TO MITTLE SCHOOL THE TRANSITION IS BETTER	
Model 3	The 7th grade students are beginning their puberty, it is not good that at that age they live with emotionally and sexually more mature adolescents	keep Lee school
Model 4	Students and parents have more opportunities if they have more choices to chose from.	I wish we would'n have to do this at all.
Model 4	It appears that over 1,000 students will leave in Model 3.	Dalton is very close to Hodge, isn't fully modernize, and has an earthquake fault. I would consider keeping Lee since it is central and many of those students who walk to school will have very long walks to other schools.
Model 3	Middle school students should stay learning in middle school environment around kids their age in a diffrent setting than older students.	Add atleast one more middle school and another high school. Majority of the elementary school students will only be given the option to go to one of two middle schools. Either way will both have alot of students but atleast they will have more than one option. Keeping Foothill and Center middle Schools for grades 7-8. And azusa and Gladstone
Model 3	I feel like 7-12 grade is to many students so they should keep middle schools open	

<b>Which of the two models do you prefer?</b>	<b>What is the main reason you chose this model?</b>	<b>If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?</b>
Model 3	I dont like the fact of my 7th grade girl going to school with high school students... Dont think its a good idea..	I would keep the high school s we have..
Model 3	I chose model three because I would never want my seventh grade and eighth grader to be in the same area as high school students.	No when you say new high school does that mean a name change? I feel we should leave Azusa high school as the high school name there's no need to change it.
Model 3		
Model 4	There is no need for new school as there is so many abandoned ones in out area.	I wish there was an actual Middle school 6-8 grade versus TK-8 i feel that really hold our kids back in learning.
Model 4	Keeping 2 high schools. I believe with on my one HS student capacity will be too high	To keep at least 2 middle schools. Slauson & Foothill. As these schools are located in the center of the City of Azusa making it convenient for families.
Model 4	The students shouldn't have to start in a different school.	I would change 7th and 8th graders from High school
Model 3	I think Middle school age students should not be combined with High Schooler, it is very important to me to continue having a separate school for the students age.	In Model 3 since Ellington Elementary is already functioning as a K-8 school, I would keep Ellington as the K-8 school and close Valleydale. Why spend the extra money on reorganizing Valleydale as a K-8 when there is already a school that has been functioning as such. Spend the extra money on improving the programs and anything that is necessary at Ellington to improve learning and accommodating new students.
Model 3	Covid-19 uprisings	None
Model 3	Kids need to transition to high school with a middle school	
Model 4	2 high schools	Save Valleydale
Model 3	7th graders are to young to be with High School students. I prefer for my daughter to be with students of her age.	Nothing. Please leave Foothill open.
Model 3	I strongly disagree with incorporating 7th and 8th graders with grades 9-12th. These changes are very concerning and making me doubt enrollment with Azusa unified school district.	Unfortunately our options are very limited at this point.
Model 4	If model 3 goes through, we may lose kids to Covina-Valley School District..	N/A
Model 4		
Model 3	Better to keep separate middle and high bullying.	Keep two of the middle school open



<b>Which of the two models do you prefer?</b>	<b>What is the main reason you chose this model?</b>	<b>If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?</b>
Model 4	Keep Azusa and Gladstone High School	7th and 8th graders should not be at the high school
Model 3		
Model 4	I like the fact that both high schools are open for students that live closer to either high school.	I think we should keep Ellington k-8 for parents that don't want their student to go to high school in 7th grade.
Model 4	Maintain two high schools to retain kids in South Azusa and Covina border	At least one middle school.
Model 3	Kids too young to be with the high school kids	
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 3	I don't agree with Middle schoolers being mixed up with the High schoolers. The age difference too much. High school is already intimidating as it is!	How about we make our schools better and that way we keep our families. I know that's hard. But if not, let's keep one high school and middle school instead of a whole new High school.
Model 4	I don't like the model. It made me pick to proceed. I don't think we should be closing any schools and putting profits over our children.	Keeping Lee open.
Model 3	Middle school aged children should not be on the same campus as high school students if it is something that can be avoided. With one middle school and one high school appropriate resources for each grade level can be maximized at each school.	No TK-8 I do not feel that the middle schoolers in this school would get an equal education as those in a traditional middle school setting based on what I have heard about the current TK-8 school.
Model 4		
Model 4	No need for new high school	Don't close any elementary schools
Model 3	We need more schools open. Classes with more than 30 students are not conducive of good learning, specially in the elementary grades.	Either way, there are too many students per classroom.
Model 3	Will like to have a middle school	Nothing
Model 4	I think the Middle & High School model can work	
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 3	The age difference in model 4 is too large.	2 jr high schools and 1 high school.
Model 4	I like it better	I like it better
Model 3	Jr high again	Look good

<b>Which of the two models do you prefer?</b>	<b>What is the main reason you chose this model?</b>	<b>If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?</b>
Model 4	Keep the high schools separate	Make elementary school up to 7th grade
Model 4	I don't believe it is a good idea to have 8th graders with elementary school kids.	
Model 4		
Model 4		Not combine 7-12th grades together
Model 4	It's better than creating a whole new high school	Powell needs to be switched with Lee
Model 3	Less schools closing	Keep Slauson open
Model 3	Far more efficient.	Nothing.
Model 3	Because putting 7th graders straight out of elementary into high school with 17 and 18 year Olds seems crazy to me..	There would still be at least 2 high schools, that's to many kids in 1 school..
Model 4	Better capacities so not too full, but room for growth if needed.	Not sure.
Model 3	Children attend Valleydale	Not closing any schools
Model 3	I like how many children would be in one school until they have to go to high school...	Nothing
Model 4	If you open a new high school, there's more chances of it becoming too over crowded.	Make expansions on the 2 remaining high schools.
Model 3	Better to have age group closer together	I would add another middle school
Model 4	It's close to my home	As a parent I feel like there should be at least one separate middle school
Model 3	7&8 graders are to young to be in a high school setting.	
Model 3	I think middle school is essential part of development of kids and it takes the pressure off kids to grow up and keep them away from high school students. A new HS would also be great for the district since Azusa and Gladstone high are very old.	Maybe open two middle schools for the sake of location of foothill middle school to prevent kids who walk home from going too far.
Model 3	I like the idea of my daughter going to the same school until 8th grade	Nothing
Model 3		
Model 4		
Model 4	Neither my son school is closing	Leaving my son elementary open Lee school
Model 4	Traffic and enrollment times also capacity	

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 3	I don't like any model this survey is making you choose an option when I prefer neither. I don't agree with any of these changes as it only crowds the schools and gives an opportunity for kids to feel discouraged or bullied due to intimidation.	Don't make any changes also this impacts the drive for some parents. Already Azusa district has no transportation to pick up close to houses or at the child's house. This is an inconvenience all around. I know the district doesn't take these surveys into consideration as a decision is already made of course the district has to show they are interested in the families thoughts.
Model 3	I would prefer student continue high school 9-12	That slauson wouldn't close
Model 3	I'm not comfortable middle schools being combined with high schools	Open one more High School
Model 3	Less students	N/a
Model 3	Because I would not put my 7th grade daughter in school with kids that are already thinking about sex and drugs. Also the safety risk would be to high. It is safer to keep 7th and 8th grade in one group and kids below 6th grade in the younger group of kids that think like kids.	None
Model 3	Prefer to keep Middle Ages in jr high - let them develop into high school. Get rid of Gladstone it's not even azusa	Make one big high school 9-12 in azusa Two jr hgh Close one more elementary such as Powell
Model 4	Keep the high schools plus get the 7- 8 grades ready for high school	Change to k-8 instead of 7-12
Model 3	I don't think seventh Graders should be on campus with HS students .	
Model 4		
Model 3	Valleydale is closer to home and Foothill middle school is more convenient for my daughters	No
Model 4	I can't choose either model because they are both incorrect. Both models make no sense. I feel that you as a district have failed this community.	In model 4 you indicate that 5 schools will close and which school they are by name. But in model 3 you say there's going to be a new high school but don't indicate which high school is closing. Also Ellington is already designed to be a k-8 but now you want valleydale which isn't set up to be a k-8 and now you want to spend more money to configure it. Thought the point was to save money. If you were to save money by keeping Ellington a k-6 and send all 7 - 8 to foothill that makes more sense. If you would like to talk and fix your mistakes please call me at 626 222 1104. Between the hours of 5pm and 630pm. Thank you.

<b>Which of the two models do you prefer?</b>	<b>What is the main reason you chose this model?</b>	<b>If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?</b>
Model 4	My son goes to Ellington	
Model 3	I don't think having 12 year old 7th graders with 17 year seniors is a good idea. Keep a middle school open!	
Model 4	Better set up then model 3	N/A
Model 3	I do not want my 7th grade child with high school age kids	Stick to model 3
Model 3		Why a new high school? We can't even find teachers for 4th grade. Upgrade the two we have
Model 4	I believe that's the best choice for our community.	
Model 3	Kids from elementary are still to small to go straight to high schol	Open another middle school
Model 3		
Model 4	Distance and knowledge of the route neighborhood.	Add a security officer
Model 3	There is only 1 high school. The percentage of student capacity is greater at the high school, and therefore more cost savings.	I would use the other high school campus for the middle school instead of Foothill. There has been much invested in the fields and this could convince some students to stay in the district instead of leave to neighboring districts.
Model 4	Seems much more cost effective in the long run and as a parent having my child in only 2 school settings over their whole school career before college will prepare them better.	Nothing
Model 3		
Model 3	I prefer to have lower grades students (Middle School) in a separate building from the higher grades students (High School).	I would increase little bit the capacity of the only middle and high schools.
Model 3	I choose model 3 because I feel its best not to put the 7th and 8th graders with the high schoolers	Nothing
Model 4		
Model 3	I would like to see a mew rebranded high school and I don't like the idea of 7th graders with 12th graders. I know WC does this at Edgewood and separates the middle schoolers. I need to hear more bur I like model 3 best.	Not sure why we are down to one middle school. I'd like to see slays on open.

<b>Which of the two models do you prefer?</b>	<b>What is the main reason you chose this model?</b>	<b>If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?</b>
Model 3	Gradual change of moving schools is best for developing children however submerged hs might cause problems	Continue to have two separate High schools.
Model 3	I don't like either but this one is less dumb	Take both out of the equation terrible ideas
Model 4	Makes more sense	None
Model 4	Because Gladstone and Azusa will remain open	Highschools to remain as is 9-12th grade and keep Center and Slauson open for 7-8th grade
Model 3	Division between ages	Keeping at least two middle school open.
Model 3	We need one large middle school. Foothill is too small. Maybe one of the high schools.	The capacity of the middle school.
Model 4		Have 1 middle school
Model 3	Valleydale is where my son goes for the VI program that is important to me.	It is hard to make decision like this with out much knowledge on the pros and cons.
Model 3	The more options the better. Trying to centralize and keep fewer options for students would always lead to less opportunity.	I would keep TK-6, keep at least one middle school, and have two high-schools.
Model 4	My child needs to go to middle school and this is the best option.	Keep school the way is is k-5 elementary 6-8 junior high 9-12 high school
Model 3	Transition between elementary school and high school	
Model 3	I would not like my 7th grade daughter/son going straight to High School.	Having 2 Middle Schools and 2 High Schools
Model 3	I am not comfortable with the idea of 7th graders with seniors. Please explain in more detail how that will work out with the big age difference.	Not close the high schools just keep one open.
Model 3	Neither	Keep Center middle school open. Most of the max capacity come from school's closest to center.
Model 3	Both options are ridiculous, if I could I would select neither.	I would chose none to be honest. Why would you close Lee school? Why would you think putting together 7-12 is even a good idea? Think about 7th graders messing around with nearly adults(12th). After living in azusa my whole life and my children attending school now I'm devastated. If model 4 goes into effect I will be pulling my children out of this district
Model 3		

<b>Which of the two models do you prefer?</b>	<b>What is the main reason you chose this model?</b>	<b>If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?</b>
Model 3	Biggest concern in model 4 is 12yr old with 17-18yr old high school students.	
Model 4	My kids are in 2 of the schools that stay open	
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 3	I dont like either one!	Having my young children with almost adults does not seem to be a reasonable option. Closing HS that aren't even walking distance from each other isn't either. You need to come up with better options.
Model 3	Separation of middle school & high school	
Model 4	with 2 HS, it will be less crowded for student	none
Model 4	Cause it will be easier for both my kids to go to the same school I have a 7th grader and a freshman	Not closing all the schools but I understand you have to do what you have to do.
Model 4	Closer to home	
Model 3	Age group separation such as it is now is Key to preventable harassment and bullying of younger children by older children.	All elementary schools should be TK-6
Model 4	I prefer model 4 just because we keep two high schools to serve the different regions of Azusa. I don't like the fact that it combines middle school grades but I don't like model 3 because of the new high school proposal. Azusa High school appears to have a lot of tradition and as an Azusa resident I could tell it's been improved on recently. Why build something new when you can use that money to continue upgrading the schools with traditions.	For model 4, I would want to keep Foothill for middle school and then allow high schoolers the option of Azusa or Gladstone.
Model 3	It would not be fair for the kids in the dual emersion program to be moved to another school once again	Not make a new high school and choose one of the 2 high schools
Model 4	I want to keep Ellington	
Model 4		
Model 3	I feel the student need to go to middle school. Sending them with a school that has a high school environment will be too much for them	Nothing
Model 4		
Model 3	To have middle school for my son to go to.	To make Valleydale Tk-6 and add a middle school

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 4	Less capacity	Nothing
Model 3	Easier to navigate and understand	
Model 4	It's less students together	I think you guys have done a great job on putting it together. keep up the great work . my family and appreciate all the staff and teachers support . Blessings
Model 3	Seems the most traditional	
Model 3	Prefer to keep middle school aged kids separate from high school age.	Continue with existing Azusa and Gladstone campuses instead of building an all new HS, unless it is better financially to build new than to refurbish existing schools.
Model 4	Good schools	Nothing
Model 4	I think we need 2 middle and 2 high schools	what ever you guys decide....thnks
Model 3	I would not feel comfortable sending my 7th grader to a school with 12th graders	
Model 4		I chose the model 4 bc i wanted the Ellington School remain open. This school is safe for all students bc they're located in the residential area compare to other school and that is my main concern for my daughter and also all the teachers are amazing.
Model 3	Grade level distribution and max capacity work better	That the high schools remain separate
Model 4	My daughter is excelling at Ellington and if it closes I'm afraid her progress will decline.	To add another high school
Model 4	Model 4 bc i like the school's location. I feel that all the students are safe bc they located in residential area unlike other school. My daughter's safety is my concern. I hope and pray that Ellington will not be close.	
Model 3	I asked my son his opinion. He feels that by combining the schools all students would benefit, more academically inclined students together so hopefully more courses, better athletic teams, bigger band and hopefully better technology and facilities. He also felt middle school should be on a different campus. I have to agree with him his argument was thought out.	Still not feeling good about the end to the Panthers, Aztecs and Gladiators. Also traffic. You truly need to get a professional in on the traffic remedy. For this reason alone I was going to go for the two high school model.
Model 3	Closures	Closing Foothill

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 3	This model less money	I don't like the fact that azusa will have more kids in classes and less staff and teachers
Model 4		Model4. Bc it's not only for my daugther'safety but all the students as well is main concer. The Ellington School's location is perfect to all the students who walks everyday to go to school.
Model 3	Model 3, it still has a traditional style high school, provides more of an environment kids are familiar with, and would allow for one high school with A high attendance to fuel programs, activities, and sports and become a HS with sought after programs and competitive sports!	There is no need for a K8. There should be consistency an equal opportunity for every single elementary and middle school kid. If a K8 must remain, Center would serve as a great site (this idea was presented at a mtg and found it to be a great idea) with the Jr High being Slauson. I also believe that keeping foothill open and the HS potentially at capacity, that would make for many traffic jams, and a hard area to keep safe and/or evacuate in the event of an emergency.
Model 4	We already have 2 children at Azusa High School so it would make drop off and pick up easier for us. We also have 1 student at Slauson but the school does not LOOK up to par. We want our kids to have pride in the school they attend and I feel like the district already gave up on Slauson.	Close schools in low income areas only.
Model 3	One high school	
Model 3	Not comfortable with 7th being with 12th graders	The middle school locations
Model 4		
Model 4	Closest to home, walking distance	
Model 3	High school is an experience that should remain the same. 9-12 grade. Students will have the experience of going to elementary, middle and the most important and effective year/years which are in high school. Module 4 would eliminate middle schools and change every child childhood experience.	Add 1 middle and 1 more High school
Model 3	It is OUTRAGEOUS to combine 6 years of youth and teenagers. You cannot eliminate middle school.	We NEED AT LEAST 2 middle schools & 2 high schools for our city minimum. You cant shove all the students in just one school
Model 3	I don't want my elementary children be around HS kids. They will learn things at their own time. Not by looking at HS kids doing other things.	To be honest is not clear to me. Maybe I need to hear how it will work. I didn't understand either when they were explaining it but there so manny people asking questions in the zoom meeting that is impossible to get an answer!



Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 3	The kids will go step by step not all together elementary and HS.	Is not clear to me!
Model 4	2 High Schools is probably best.	No closures for sure...but reality is here.
Model 3	Better	Nothing
Model 3		
Model 4	I feel strong about keeping both High school open. Gladstone students will not stay in AUSD, they will go to Covina district instead.	to keep 1 middle school open with model 4.
Model 4	Both high schools are continuing to upgrade campuses, financially doesn't make sense to close them	1 middle school and keep 2 separate high schools
Model 3	Kids could have middle school	
Model 4		
Model 4		
Model 3	Don't like that 7th graders going to be with high school age kids	Open 2 Junior Highs and make them 6th through 9th then one high school 10th through 12th
Model 4	Everything is equal across the board when it comes to grade levels. There are only TK-6 schools and 7-12 schools.	Still have 1 middle school so the 7-8 have their own campus.
Model 3	I do not want my child to attend a high school for middle school.	Move 6th, 7th, and 8th grades to Gladstone and create a Super Middle School.
Model 3	It can be very intimidating going from middle school to high school. Moving from Elementary school to high school would be a significant shock for students.	We don't need K-8. There needs to be separation.
Model 3	We prefer middle schoolers to be separate from High Schoolers	
Model 3		
Model 3	I believe that middle and high school should be separated, the kids need more of a transition from elementary to H.S.	

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 3	Valleydale is our home school and I feel that my kids would be much safer if they remained there up until the 8th grade, I also appreciate the option of having 3 high school choices that being Azusa, Gladstone and possibly having a new high school depending on where we live at that time that my kids would enter high school, but again I really appreciate the possibility of my kids staying at Valleydale up until the 8th grade	I feel that the model is great as is, the only thing I would like added is: Give the parents and students the option of always being able to choose if the student wants to go in person or attend classes via online, whether or not we are in a pandemic
Model 4	My niece and nephew can go to the same school - middle school and high school.	
Model 3	I think we should keep the middle school and high school seperate.	
Model 4	Don't like the new hs	Don't close Lee school
Model 3	Prefieres liking	
Model 3	I wouldn't like to see all middle schools closed .	Open one more middle school , or keep a current High school
Model 3	Combining middle school students with high school students will create highly impacted schools in the district. I feel there should be a gap between the two as well as not to overwhelm educators with more students	The closure of schools.
Model 3	Because we have at least 1 middle school. I didn't like the fact of sending 7th and 8th grade kids to high school with 18 year old possibly 19 year old kids.	Maybe have 2 middle schools and open it 6th through 9th and have less students per class room. Which will also be the same for the high school.
Model 3	1 less school clisure	
Model 3	My children go to Valleydale	Nothing
Model 4		
Model 3	Kids will have a more fluent transition from elementary to middle school to high school rather then elementary to high school	
Model 3	Builds more community with one high school and middle school. Better use of money.	
Model 3	I like the idea of having one middle school and having 1 new high school. I believe starring 7th graders in high school wouldn't be ideal.	NA
Model 3		

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 4	I dont believe it would be beneficial for all students to go to a single high school.	<p>I would consider closing down more elementaries, keep 2 middle schools and 2 high schools.</p> <p>We need more information on the schools closing. What is their current enrollment? What are their current scores? It doesnt make sense to close a school with more enrollment or higher scores. Currently in my daughter's classroom there is 28(3rd grade) students and in my nieces 37(5th grade) students. If more schools close how big will these classrooms become?</p> <p>I also dont believe it is a good idea for 7th graders to be in the same school as 12th graders. They are at different maturity stages and I see no feasible way to keep them seperated. These are hard years for teenagers and in todays society unfortunately bullying is a very real issue. Middle school is such an important transition from elementary to high school.</p> <p>Also the amount of money that was just invested into both high schools would be a complete waste, if one of them closed down.</p> <p>As parents we need more information to make a decision. It might be information that might have been considered into developing these models, but it needs to be shared. There needs to be more transparency from the district because these decisions will have a long term affect.</p>
Model 3	Would rather keep jr highers with younger grades as long as possible.	
Model 4	middle school is cut out and added to high school	none
Model 4	I want both schools to stay open	
Model 3	No other option, look at today's present chaos when dropping off children in the morning. Lines wrapping around the school campus. High School form 7-12 grade, be real you cannot protect the younger classmates from older.	Stop wasting measure K money on schools you are going to close. Spend the money on teachers and smaller class sizes.
Model 4		
Model 3		

<b>Which of the two models do you prefer?</b>	<b>What is the main reason you chose this model?</b>	<b>If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?</b>
Model 4	Having one high school will be overcrowded	Nothing
Model 4		
Model 3	We only need 1 HS	
Model 4	For the maximum capacity of children attending.	Nothing comes to mind.
Model 3	Keep a middle school open	
Model 4	Less schools involved for those families with multiple kid's in different grades. There is less transferring from school to school to school.	
Model 3	We don't need two HS	Do not close the middle schools
Model 3	I dont think 7-12 has the capacity to fully maximize students needs-- football for high school will overshadow 7th grade sports. Opportunities will be less for them. Sites with 7-8 will have more opportunity for those students since they will be focused on them	New school is vague Didnt the district just spend a lot of money remodeling the current schools? Will it be a magnet school? More details
Model 4		
Model 4	We need to keep both high schools, geographically this makes more sense.	
Model 4		
Model 4	High school starts at 7th grade	
Model 3	To many kids will be in a high school ! More room for fighting	Keep middle school

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 3	<p>There is a reason why we have typically had elementary, middle school and high school—the kids are in a similar period of their life. I do not believe having broader age groups will be beneficial overall as many of the issues faced by older kids will now be introduced to younger kids. This will put more focus on life issues and less on making sure our kids have the appropriate education to succeed in their future.</p>	<p>I think the model only addresses the enrollment numbers today and next year. There are no projections for years after the plan will be fully implemented. If we are going to make a significant change to our schools we need the future in mind and make sure it can work well into the future.</p> <p>I would suggest showing how the capacity numbers meets the projections over the coming decade+. If the current trends stop, what level of growth will these models be able to handle?</p> <p>Obviously it works if the population decreases, but I don't see that as a long term reality with many families living in the same home now and the push for adding as many housing units as possible.</p> <p>Also there was no breakdown of how many students transition between the different levels so when we are looking at capacities in the 80/90% there is not much flexibility when you have a large class or two come through...</p> <p>As the current students progress, how does the capacity numbers change?</p> <p>I want to thank those involved for putting in the time as this is a significant task and our children's futures will be impacted by our decision.</p>
Model 4		
Model 3	I wouldn't want my 7th grade child to be with high school children's	Have two middle schools open
Model 3	Do not like the idea of 7-12	Change the middle and high school locations to be more in the center of the district area, they are too far for the area in the Covina side

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 4	You guys already invested money on the two high schools. The amount of money that was invested into both high schools would be a complete waste, if one of them closed down.	Model 4 should include at least one middle school, i pass by center middle school often and the school has a large field where u could place more rooms if you need too. Foothill middle school also has as well for more rooms if needed. But if middle schools need to close change elementaries to being K-7 and high school being 8-12.
Model 3	No other options. Having a school for 7-8 grade separate from bigger students 9-12 grade.	Spend money on hiring more teachers and smaller classes. Don't spend money one upgrading football field.
Model 4	Is more balanced and equitable distribution of resources	I would rather see more k-8 schools no middle schools, and the high schools remain grades 9 through 12
Model 4	A new high school would not have to be built and each high school remaining has special features that won't be lost and no new construction costs	Keep one middle school open, even though they score very poorly having one as a option is good.
Model 3	Less schools that would be closed	I would suggest that schools be k-5 , 6-8 and 9-12. Additional how would parents whom are unable to drive their children to the only one school open suppose to take their children to school ? If anything we need an additional high school and need the school funds to be allocated in the proper departments .
Model 3		
Model 3	Is more balance	N/A
Model 4		
Model 3	Normal	
Model 3	Grade 7 through grade 12 are not developmentally appropriate combined	Valleydale TK thru 8
Model 3		
Model 3	none	none
Model 3	To prevent bullying from older kids	N/A
Model 4		Maybe tk-8
Model 3	Middle schoolers are too young for high school. They will be expose to bigger things.	I will leave two middle schools open and close one more elementary
Model 3	7th graders should not be in the same school as seniors	Keep Gladstone high school open

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 3	I don't like the idea of a 7-12 school. I think these grades 7-8 should be separated from high school kids	For the new high school I agree that it should be at the current Azusa High School location. I hope that with this reorganization AUSD can offer more programs to our children.
Model 3	To separate middle and high schoolers	Not having Elementary up to 6th grade.
Model 3	Separation of middle school and high school	
Model 3	I want him to hang out with students around his age, and I think he'll concentrate more in school work than other things	I think nothing, I like the way is now
Model 4	Less students	Have a half day at school or a third time at school
Model 3	I have students in both elementary and middle school and I would rather my child transition from middle school into high school rather than to automatically have to transition and be influenced by behavior which can be inappropriate for 12-13 year olds or being bullied by older groups of students. We all know that the world we live in is changing and it is already hard with social media and kids being easily influenced to fit in. If the younger students mix with the older students to soon it can lead to more behavioral problems and lower focus on studies. Please really consider all factors when making the vote, we want all our kids to succeed and make the future better.	Keep at least 2 middle schools open to avoid overcrowded classes and allow students to get the dedicated education and knowledge they deserve.
Model 4	Kids shouldn't be over crowded let them growth own pace	One middle shall be kept 7-8 trying to recovered from covid and high schools shouldn't be over crowded. Is not giving kids to grow instead of having them in over crowded highschool. Continue keeping a drug free environment. In high schools , more activities for students.
Model 4		Keep Lee open
Model 3	Valleydale has special low vision programs that absolutely need to continue. In this model, such low vision programs could extend to support older age groups as well, as they need the support. I also don't believe 7th and 8th graders are mature enough to go to school with high school kids. They need that transitional time.	


Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 4	I want the high schools to remain open. I am a Gladstone Alumni class of 2000. My son has graduated from Gladstone and my daughter has just started her Senior year at Gladstone.	Keep the junior highs open as well. My children went to slauson also. We would hate to see it go.
Model 3		
Model 3		
Model 3	Middle school and high school should remain separate	
Model 3	Lee is in need of some serious upgrades,the school looks neglected and has lack of parking.One Highschool would be convenient so that all azusa could attend just one highschool.	Looks good
Model 3	I don't think middle school students are mentally or physically ready to be lumped in with high school students.	Why would there be the need to build a new high-school if Azusa High already accommodates the same amount of children?
Model 3	The changed from model 4 straight to high school the students will not be ready to be with the older students	Keep the same as it was before Middle school 6-8
Model 4	There would be two high schools to attend.	To have one middle school at least.
Model 3	My son goes to dalton and I also believe it works out for most students	
Model 3	To keep one less school from closing	Keep slauson open
Model 3	7th graders should not be in the same school with seniors	Keep Gladstone high
Model 4		
Model 4	They have Gladstone high school	
Model 3		
Model 4	It keeps the the high schools up and running	I would want the middle schools to stay open. In my opinion 7th and 8th graders don't belong in a high school atmosphere yet. Gladstone high school shouldn't even be a consideration. It's not even in the Azusa district school.
Model 3	I don't think it's a good idea to have 7-12 we should allow the students to go to a separate middle school for 7-8 and then attend high school 9-12. Allowing for better growth and development.	I would change the elementary schools that show TK-8 to TK-6
Model 3	We need to separate middle school and high schools	



<b>Which of the two models do you prefer?</b>	<b>What is the main reason you chose this model?</b>	<b>If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?</b>
Model 3		
Model 3	Don't like the idea of having middle school students mixed with high school students.	Perhaps narrow down the elementary school sites
Model 3	I don't want my 8th grader next year to go to foothill middle school, I live closer to Valleydale and I definitely do not want an 8th grader at a High School.	Keep Ellington K-8
Model 3		
Model 3	Not having 7th grader with older high school kids	Less overcrowding
Model 3		
Model 3	I think children are too young to be in high school with the older kids since 7th grade so that's why I prefer model 3	Tk to 8 I prefer just to 6th
Model 4	I don't like it but if I have to chose	It wouldn't happen it should stay the way it is now
Model 3	Putting 12 to 13 year old kids with 17-18 year old young adults would not be the best learning situation for the younger kids. This could be detrimental for them	
Model 4	Keeps my current school open	K-8 instead of 7-12
Model 3	Separate the high school and middle school students	the model is fine
Model 3	Middle school and High school aged kids should remain in separate campuses to allow them to grow and develop at normal times. When bunched together tounge kids will want to keep up with older kids and be exposed to unnecessary things.	Make all elementary TK-5 and middle schools 6-8

# Which of the two models do you prefer?

439 responses



- Model 3
- Model 4

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 3	Need for middle school	
Model 3	Students need to have the middle school experience before entering high school	Looks great!
Model 4	We would lose kids to surrounding districts if you close Gladstone.	
Model 4		Not having the 7-8th in a high school setting. I don't think it's very appropriate for that to happen.
Model 4	It will be good to keep Ellington open as our most southwestern school so we don't lose anymore students to Covina Valley Unified.	
Model 4	I feel that closing Ellington would cause all of those students to go to Covina, meaning more student loss. The same thing would happen to the high school kids. Many of those families are legacies to either high school. Getting rid of either one would cause many families to leave to other cities	
Model 4	Neither. It makes no sense to close Lee which a larger student capacity and serves a large population of families who walk to school	Leave Lee open and close 2 smaller schools like Powell and Ellington.
Model 4	I am hoping that they use Foothill Middle School as a Mega school (TK-8) so in model 4 it is closing which will allow students who live close by (Lee, Dalton,) to attend a great school.	When looking at the schools that are closing you need to take into consideration the schools that are closing and the proximity of the school they will need to relocate to. Also we are closing big schools with more capacity and leaving schools that are smaller capacity.
Model 4		
Model 4	I think it is the best model to meet the needs of our students.	I would not change anything.
Model 4	My husband and his family all graduated from AHS. I know they (and many other alumni) would hate to see their school close. Also, Fernandez is a great principal and I don't want to chance losing him.	I would not have 7th and 8th graders with high schoolers. I feel there is a vast developmental difference between a 7th grader and a 12th grader. However, I know this would not be possible in model 4.
Model 4		
Model 4	To have a 7-12 option	N/A
Model 3	I don't agree with the younger kids being with kids that are way older in high schools	Keep one of the Junior high schools still
Model 3	The age difference in model 4 high school is a risk factor alone!	I would only close 1 middle school. Center
Model 4	Model 4 provides me with job security as I am a relatively new secondary teacher to the district.	N/A
Model 3		

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 4	Combining the two high schools are not smart. If you close Gladstone, many students will enroll in Covina. If you close Azusa, many will go to Glendora. So we will further bleed students. Furthermore, it will be a mess of which teacher will teach which class and undoubtedly there will be conflict. Plus, Azusa is an IB school and Gladstone isn't. So what happens there? Azusa teachers would retain certain courses due to IB training that perhaps another teacher from Gladstone might have more seniority with. Makes much more sense to bring middle schools up to high schools. A lot less students will leave and no conflict with who teaches what. For the most part, 7th and 8th grade teachers still teach 7th and 8th grade students, and high school teachers still teach high school. Plus, if we went with model 3, we'd be at 95% capacity for the high school. What happens if we gain in students at some point in the future and have to turn them away due to not having the capacity?	
Model 4	You've close all the middle schools! This model leaves Ellington open. Will it be the only middle school?	Keep more middles schools open.
Model 3	Students can choose between a K-8 or middle school before going to high school.	Nothing
Model 3		
Model 3	Parents might want to option of a middle school for their child.	
Model 3	I think it is better for students	Nothing right now
Model 4	To keep all the good programs at the two HS, to keep max high school student number higher, to maximize the resources of elective teachers/staff available for secondary students by keeping 7~12 together	None
Model 3	It seems to better utilize the facilities - the schools are all closer to max capacity. Also, the Dual Immersion program already moved from Mountain View to Valleydale, and families may not follow it to its new placement!	I would not build a whole new high school. I think I would switch out the middle school high schools from 3 and 4.
Model 3		
Model 3	Because kids from middle school are to Young to be w/ high school kids .	Keep Valley Dale & Lee ,close Ellington because, those schools have more kids around the area.

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 4	I believe families that are already connected to their high school would be more comfortable sending their 7th & 8th graders to that high school than possibly having to send both their middle schoolers and high school students to new locations. This plan is more equitable for the entire community. I wouldn't close Ellington as in model 3. With this population in Covina, I believe parents would be more likely to go to Covina Unified instead of remaining in Azusa.	Create a small middle school at Valleydale with focused curriculum (Engineering, etc.) for parents that prefer to have their child in a 6th-8th or 7th-8th environment. Extend specialized programming with after-school programs to students in the San Gabriel Valley at the high schools to provide better use of the school sites.
Model 3	I think middle schoolers should have their own MS before reaching HS.	Shutting down Slauson to have two middle schools available so parents from both ends of the city can have one available.
Model 3	I cannot imagine having 7th graders on a campus with high school students. We really should still maintain 2 middle schools and put 6th graders back at the middle school.	Do everything possible to keep 2 middle schools and put 6th graders back to the middle school setting. Close 2-3 more elementary schools and put 6th grade back to middle school.
Model 3	High Schools usually have more programs that cost the district more money. Also, Model 4 includes closing two schools with major capacity.	If Model 4 was chosen, then Valleydale and Lee should not close, they have more capacity than Powell and Ellington.
Model 3	Middle School students should not be on the same campus as high school students. Even though every attempt would be made to separate students, during school time you still need to worry about before and after school. I really worry about the female middle school population if they are placed in a school with high school student.	I know that neither high school wants to shut down, why not make one high school a middle school and close Foothill. My suggestion would be to make Azusa the middle school due to its proximity in the city.
Model 3	The idea of 7th grade and 8th grade mixed with high school students is troubling. When I worked at a middle school that had a charter high school on the same shared campus, we saw 6th, 7th and 8th graders who were pregnant or were dating Seniors and Juniors. We need to think of the safety and needs of the students we serve. A 7th - 12th grade campus is not a good idea. This is why I chose model 3. It has its own issues but this is a better option than option 4 in my opinion.	Perhaps moving the 9th graders to the middle schools to decrease the projected enrollment numbers at the high school level.
Model 3	Keeping open a middle school	Make valleydale k-6
Model 3	There needs to be a middle school to help students transition to high school.	Keep Gladstone high school open.
Model 3	Best capacity and dispersion of schools.	Protect and expand elementary themed programs.

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 4	Forcing the two populations of high school students (Gladstone and Azusa) to occupy the same campus after years of rivalry would be problematic. We would lose many of the Gladstone students to the Covina district as well.	Because I cannot see the projected enrollment per campus (I'm sure you guys posted it in the past but I have not looked at it), I cannot recommend alternate campus closures. Ideally, we keep both high schools (district identity for the students) adding to them the 7th and 8th graders, and close only the remaining campuses with the lowest projected enrollment.
Model 4	The culture of Azusa needs 2 high schools or you will lose students to other districts.	
Model 4	Higher capacity	Leave one middle school open
Model 3	I have taught at JR High for 29 years I do not believe 7th or 8th graders are ready for a HS environment. They are "in the middle" they need their own unique site.	
Model 3		
Model 4	I think we would lose to many student if we close Gladstone HS	Nothing I think model would work.
Model 4	I think we need 2 high schools	keep one middle school open
Model 3	I think it's important to have a middle school. I also like the idea of having one high school	
Model 3	I think the Middle school transition from Elementary to High School is an important one. The 7-12 school model is not ideal.	
Model 3	No need for 2 high schools, one middle school is perfect. And foothill is already modernized and in the middle	
Model 3	4 closed school as opposed to 5 and a new 1 added	Keep all schools, this is heart breaking and adds more pressure on surrounding schools
Model 3		
Model 3	Students can still have the opportunity to have a traditional school with elementary, middle school and High School.	One thing I would change can be to eliminate any schools that are outside the city of Azusa and eliminate the two elementary with the least number of enrolled students.
Model 4	I feel that is a good idea to keep two high schools and to have the middle school aged children attend on those campuses. The middle school students would have access to more programs and retaining the two high schools would fore go the cost of rebranding,	

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 3	As a parent, I would rather have a traditional middle school than the 7-12 model. I also feel like one full high school would create stronger programs and more opportunities for our students.	
Model 4	There is more consistency with ALL 3 middle schools closed & No K- 8	N/A
Model 3	Capacity is fuller in middle and high school.	I'm not sure why we're keeping three schools that have low capacity under 450 and getting rid of a school that has a capacity of over 600.
Model 4		I would take out Ellington and keep Valleydale cause it make more sense
Model 4	It provides more stem opportunities for middle school students, I believe.	I would not change anything.
Model 3	I do not think it is good to integrate middle school with high school.	Keep Center. Campus seems bigger.
Model 3	I feel strongly that middle school students and high school students should be at their own school. Middle school age is very difficult and requires specific attention.	
Model 3	Makes more logical sense	Azusa/Foothill have a lot of traffic and does not have enough parking
Model 4	I think it is important to the community to maintain two high schools. Combining middle and high school leaves more room for growth should the population shift in the future.	There is nothing.
Model 3	One comprehensive high school will bring great program implementation as well as a powerhouse in sports teams for AUSD high school students.	Not one thing.
Model 4	I feel it is important to keep the two high schools open so we do not lose our Gladstone students. I feel by creating one high school, many families will flee to Northview High School. Also, by closing Ellington, many of those families may enroll their students at Las Palmas which is a lot closer than Foothill MS.	I hope that the 7th and 8th grade students will be segregated from the 9-12th grade students just because of the range of maturity levels as well as anxiety levels of the students. Another hope is that the 6th grade teachers have the opportunity to familiarize their students to changing classes/teachers so they are not overwhelmed when stepping on to a "high school" campus.
Model 4	I think it is the best plan because Ellington will keep the Covina students in Azusa.	I think there should still be a TK-8 model.
Model 4	#4 transport issues for families that are unable to transport their students, opportunities for middle school students to take higher level classes, community traditions remain in tact ..	N/A
Model 3	I think having one high school allows for more programs and choices for students.	Not sure why Valleydale would need to be K-8.

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 3	High school should not be 7 to 12	
Model 3	It is nice to have a middle school and middle school programs.	The high school will be at 95% capacity. That is a concern.
Model 3	offers most traditional model (elementary, jr. high, high school) which I believe will appeal to more parents	change elementary to TK-5, eliminate TK-8, make jr high a 6-8 middle school(s) (either one or two depending on numbers)
Model 4	If Ellington and Powell close the district will lose students to the Covina and Glendora School Districts	Have Elementary schools go from Tk-8th grade
Model 3	I don't feel comfortable having 12 year old students with 17/18 year old high school students all in the same campus. This can lead to very inappropriate relationships forming and as a mom of an almost middle school student, I don't agree with this model.	If Azusa High School is the campus selected for the One high school in model 3, I say just save the money for rebranding and keep it as Azusa High School. I am a Gladstone Alumni and I know it would suck to have Gladstone closed to our rivals but every city has a High School named after it. EX: Monrovia High, Upland, High, Claremont High, Covina High, etc. Save the money and invest in other areas of the district.
Model 4	If Ellington and Powell close you lose students and those students will go to the Covina and Glendora district.	Have the elementary schools go to 8th grade.
Model 3	I like the middle Schoolers at one campus model where we can build back the capacity for more electives. Also, I believe middle schoolers are at a unique age where they are unpredictable, one minute they are rudely defiant then, the next moment emotionally clingy. Having them at one controlled site would greatly benefit them.	
Model 4	I believe moving to one high school is a mistake. The Gladstone High students will never be welcome on the Azusa High campus regardless of what you name it. As a result of only having one high school, our district will lose over 1000 students to Covina Unified. If we are really doing what is in the students best interest, then moving to a 7-12 model has to be the choice. The 7-8 grade students will feed right into the highschools and our programs will grow. Also, our sports will be effected. Some of our students need the afterschool sports and if we have one high school, the majority of the students will not be able to play. Where do they go? To the streets?	I would try to figure out a way to keep Valleydale open. Other than that, Model 4 looks good to me.
Model 3	I think that 7th & 8th graders are too young to be mixed in with high school students. Consolidating the middles schools into one and consolidating both high schools seems to be the best option.	Nothing



Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 4	One transition for students	All Elementary Schools to remain open
Model 4	I think that if you are saving money, you don't need to build a new school, but rather to renovate some of the schools that you already have. You, in this email, say well, the cost of living has risen, we classified employees have not had a salary increase for more than a year and it is not fair that you treat us differently from other employees who have already received their raise.	No
Model 3	Students need to experience middle school years.	Use a current high school instead of building a new facility.
Model 4	All 7-8 students will have the opportunity to take elective class and will have a variety to choose from.	I would close Powell and keep Lee or Valleydale open. Looks like the school capacity is the smallest at Powell vs the other 2 elementary schools that each have over 600 room capacity.
Model 4	Why have only one middle school for a few grades instead of two high schools in separate parts of the city? If you close Gladstone, those students will go to north view and we will lose more students.	Have two middle school options like the two high school options.
Model 4	Not closing High schools	
Model 3	Model 4 would close Valleydale and Lee, these two schools have the highest EL populations and most at risk students. It is a disservice to these communities to continue to make education more and more difficult. The population at Valleydale has already been impacted by a move once before.	Model 3 should include both High schools, they have new fields, it make no sense to close one now.
Model 3	One HS best situation.	Only close a couple primaries, close 1 middle school and 1 HS.
Model 3	One high school will allow the entity to be stronger and better serve that population by focusing on their post secondary planning without having the middle school focus.	none
Model 4	Use existing high schools	Leave a middle school open (foothill ) along with one or both existing high schools . Not in favor of a new rebranded high school

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 4	I believe we need to keep 2 high schools. The whole reason to make Ellington K-8 was to keep students from migrating to Covina Unified. Students at Gladstone High School have stated they do not want to go to AHS. That is not their "home" they will feel like guests and have to travel across town where in many neighborhoods they are not welcome. They do not feel safe. The residents and alumni of Azusa High have strong opinions about renaming the school and changing the colors. The culture and mantra is truly "once an Aztec always an Aztec". Gladstone High parents and students have already stated they can tell the District does not care about whether or not they go to Covina, so they might as well transfer if they can. Programs and sports will not suffer. Our school looks better than it ever has before and the community will be very upset to see their hard earned money go to waste if they close Gladstone High School. The community is not going to approve more spending on revamping school campuses to account for all the programs to be at one high school.	Change Model 3 to support 2 high schools.
Model 3	1 high school and 1 Jr. High	Not at this time
Model 4	Need to save the two high schools and keep them separate	Keep Slauson
Model 3	I asked my kids. One said, "you need to mature in middle school to be ready for high school." The other one said, "it's better to have more options."	
Model 3	I do not feel you should have 7th and 8th grade mixed in with high school students	Keep Lee open and close a different Elementary school with less students enrolled
Model 3	I don't think 7-12 schools are a good idea. It seems to me that model 4 is primarily an emotional solution for those who don't want to see "their" high school closed.	I'd keep Ellington open as a TK-8.
Model 4	Facilities and location	
Model 4	Least desirable schools closed and future housing built on these sites would feed into our schools- large acreage	Keep one MS and one HS
Model 3	Students entering puberty should have an adjustment period where they are adjusting to the changes happening to them without the pressures placed upon them in a high school environment.	I would also add another grade level to the middle schools. I would make the decision that Middle School and the new high school not be located in the same neighborhoods.

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 3	Prefer to have a middle school option and one high school.	N/A
Model 3	I think it is still important to keep middle school and high school separate. Further, that seems to be too many students on each campus.	It's not clear in the model but moving the middle school to the other high school campus would give the middle school many more resources to use, like a gym.
Model 4	The closer you get to 2K of students the more problems plus close GHS students will leave for Northview	
Model 4	Ellington needs to stay open. You are going to lose way more students by closing it. These parents will move to the surrounding districts before they send their kids to Valleydale. Just because Ellington and Gladstone are in Covina, doesn't mean they aren't an integral part of AUSD.	I would keep Center and Foothill middle schools open, and change Ellington back to TK-5 and be done. Put more money into our middle schools with programs our students need. Bring back wood shop, metal shop, home ec, and add more up to date computer/data programs.
Model 4	Model 3 says close Ellington? Why do that. Valleydale is a bad school and needs lots of work. Ellington is in way better shape. I don't understand why the district spent so much money to add for example and science lab at Center just to tear it down. It's the mismanagement of money. The students would benefit from smaller classes and teachers would too. I just had to say that. I love the idea that the students can have access to better programs beginning at 7th grade.	Lee is one of the oldest buildings schools in Azusa? I say keep this school but close all the middle schools.
Model 3	KEEPING 1 MIDDLE SCHOOL AND 1 HIGH SCHOOL	TO HAVE VALLEYDALE K-6 INSTEAD OF K-8
Model 3	I like the idea of keeping one middle school and having two high schools.	
Model 4	Our community values having two high schools.	
Model 3	It keeps a middle school in the district.	Nothing.
Model 3	Simplicity, Influence of older children on the younger (negative), better use of remainder of Bond funds	I wouldn't rebrand the Highschool Just use that money to improve what is already there.
Model 4	We and our students would be treated as outcast in one high school. We are treated as step children as it is, even from the district. We, somehow, keep ending up with the scraps.	Leave one middle school open and have all 7th graders attend that school.
Model 3	I don't agree with closing all of the middle schools. Also I teach at Valleydale so I am biased.	I think the schools that have not been modernized or have other structural issues should be closed over schools that money was already spent on to modernize.

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 3	High schools are too small in Model 4 - will be more difficult to offer programs	If Model 4 is selected, keep one middle school like in Model 3. Don't put grades 7-12 on one campus
Model 4	Seems more streamlined	Nothing
Model 3		
Model 3		
Model 4	As an Azusa tax-payer, I don't like seeing money put into Gladstone, if it's only going to be closed.	School counselors need to be added to EVERY school. Just because 6th graders were moved doesn't mean their needs changed. Plus, suicide ideation has been seen in AUSD as young as 3rd grade. I also believe Sierra needs to move to the Center campus because 1) adult school needs their own space to grow and 2) the Center campus would be ideal geographically for students who need that intervention.
Model 4	We should keep both high schools because AUSD alum have such pride in their high schools. Keeping both high schools allows that pride to continue not to mention the friendly "rivalry" both schools have. This friendly "rivalry" actually brings together the alum which now have their kids in our schools. It's part of the city of Azusa history. Not to mention both schools have gotten beautiful new fields and a make over, it'd be ashamed to have it go to waste.	I would keep Foothill Middle School for all junior high students. As a parent, I feel this would be less intimidating for junior high students who would otherwise have to be near high school kids. I know the students will be in separate areas of the campus but this will still cause some intimidation or complications when students come across each other in the parking lot or near campus. The maturity level of junior high boys and that of high school boys may clash. Students already have a lot of "bad" influences around them, I feel their "young" minds (jr high) will not fare so well being so close to the high school kids. The influences wouldn't be good.
Model 4	Regardless of the rebranding of a New High School, the identity will remain, leading to resentment.  Also, having 7-12 grade brings a new dynamic allowing for schools to connect with students for more years.	
Model 3	There should be a separate middle school and high school not combined together	
Model 3	I think it is better to separate middle schoolers from high schoolers.	Close all three middle schools and have Gladstone as the new high school and Azusa High as the new middle school. This way you can utilize the larger facilities, the nicer grounds and P.E. areas, which includes the new track and field and locker rooms, and you have extra room in case enrollment increases in the future. I would also make all elementary schools TK-6. Having one that is TK-8 makes the organization less cohesive and causes issues later on with the middle school alignment. Transportation will be an issue for some parents, so improving and increasing bussing services must be part of either plan.

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 3	7th,8th SHOULD NOT be in the same as high school. BAD INFLUENCE for 7th,8th grade. Will end up with more gang problems for Azusa area/residents. Azusa schools will get worst instead of better.	Not close Ellington. U will lose more students to Covina School District
Model 3	It provides families in the community more options	nothing
Model 4	Both high schools remain and closes more schools.	NA
Model 3	I would never send my two boys to a 7-12 high school! Also I believe that one new high school will benefit our district. We would have a stronger sports program just like Glendora, Charter Oak, and Upland. I believe that one middle school and one high school will unify the students and families in Azusa and not keep this division that has been around for so long.	I think this is a great model. I think it would be great if the new HS is being created to get input of the students (give them choices of names, mascots and colors to chose). Allow the students to design new logos etc.
Model 3	Junior High students and High school students would not do well together. Socially statuses and maturity levels would not mix.	Nothing
Model 3	I would like to see students continue to be offered the opportunity to attend a middle school, in my opinion, they perform better if they are separated from elementary and high school students. Consolidating the two high schools into one would save money.	Perhaps the middle school could use the facilities of one of the high schools, to take advantage of a larger campus and to avoid heavy traffic with Foothill MS and Azusa HS being so close to each other.
Model 3	I choose this model because i feel this model is the best model to serve the students by age groups/grade levels. I do not feel model 4 would be a safe model due to having students age groups/grade levels of 7th grade to 12th grade being on the same campus. I feel you are asking for trouble with this model. I would not feel comfortable having a 7th grader on campus with a 12th grader. This age span has a lot going on developmently and socially.	I would not have 8th graders at an elementary school.
Model 3	I think its important for middle school aged students to emotionally and socially develop in an appropriate academic setting. Adolescence is such a crucial time period, so by forcing them to be in a huge school with a "high school" setting can be harmful to their development. Also a bigger high school can join the community and have all Azusa students come together	Have 2 K-8 schools available on opposite sides of the city to allow more choices for families
Model 3	I feel that the 7 and 8 grade students should not be with High School aged students.	I would like to see two High Schools in Azusa.

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 3	I like having middle and high school separate and Valleydale is a great school	
Model 3		
Model 3		
Model 4	1) It keeps both high schools, and their respective traditions alive. 2) If Ellington closes, our district will lose a substantial amount of students to the Covina Valley District. This would dramatically add to our declining enrollment problem. 3) Ellington very recently added a brand new wing to its campus to increase its capacity. All of those recent improvements would have been "wasted money."	
Model 4	I think we could have a lot of opportunity for programming and flexibility with staffing as well as sharing resources if we combined middle and high school. It also gives us capacity for the future if demographics change and we need space for more students.	no
Model 3	Middle school students need a separate space from the high schoolers.	Open at least two middle schools and closed down two more elementary schools (for a total of 4 elementary schools). We have a lot of elementary schools compared to neighboring districts and put each schools with their own special programs like STEM, Arts and Theater Production, IB program for middle schools so we could compete with what other districts are offering. This is the main reason why a lot of families are transferring their kids to other districts because we have nothing to offer and to compete against them.
Model 3	I believe it is most ethical, and good to honor the tradition of a separate junior and high school. Students at those levels get special recognition and treatment, as well as attention for their grade levels that are unique.	I would not change it.
Model 3		
Model 3	Middle school students would be focused and prepared into transitioning to high school.	Logistically, CMS is centralized and more accessible for students within the entire perimeter.

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 3	Maintains one middle school open, adjacent to main HS campus; good for parents and siblings attending both sites; also, it gathers high school students at one site in the City of Azusa. GHS is in Covina and the residents of Covina have multiple options to for their students: Northview, Charter Oak, and Covina HS. In addition, the pathway programs will be centralized for all AUSD students and more cost efficient to develop at a single HS site.	Keep all elementary sites TK-6, including Valleydale.
Model 3	Having a middle school should be an option because many parents might not feel comfortably having their students at a high school campus.	Having 6-8 versus only 7-8
Model 4	AUSD had already planned to do this years ago. it seems viable	trade valleydale for powell
Model 3	I feel it is important to have a middle school and I don't think High School should be 7-12	
Model 3	I don't think 7th graders should start a high school setting, they are too young.	nothing
Model 4	It avoids the Azusa community civil war that would ensue should you decide to close one of the high schools.	Instead of force feeding the 7-12 scenario, give parents an option on middle schools for their child; whether it's a K-8 or a traditional 7-8 middle school is moot. Just please offer one other option. You will save yourself a lot of stress and heartache.
Model 3	Better programs for all students including: Band, Choir, and all sports teams. Students in the city will not be split between middle and high schools.	Make Gladstone high the middle school so our students can get comparable facilities to the ones in neighboring districts. I.E. gym, large locker room, field space, large band room, and large choir room.
Model 3	I believe 7th graders are not mature enough to be on the same campus as 17/18 year old kids. Azusa should have traditional junior/high school campus's.	I would have the Elementry schools traditional TK-5 and Junior high 6-8.
Model 3	7-12 is not the model any district should change to.	I feel that a "Super" HS and a "Super" MS would be better. Have the SMS at one of the current HS sites and then the "Super" HS at the other. Change back to K-5 and change one school to a K-8.
Model 4	Very concerned about loss of students at GHS to other districts.	nothing.

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 4	We already lose many students to Northview because of its proximity to Gladstone H.S. I do not see parents/students wanting to commute all the way to Azusa H.S. when they have a better looking school, which offers more programs and which is in a better neighborhood closer to their residence. In addition I believe having a 7-12 model will allow students more academic opportunities as well as build pride and loyalty to their school at a younger age. This in turn may reduce the number of students we lose at the secondary level.	I would not close Valleydale because it is a feeder school for GHS.
Model 3		
Model 3	Middle school students would thrive better emotionally when surrounded by students in their age group. As a parent, I would not be comfortable sending my child from an elementary environment to a campus with 18-19 year old seniors. I would not be convinced that these student populations would be separated enough to ensure their safety. Bullying and lack of parent support stands out with this option.	I would prefer that one of the high schools be used for the "super middle school". Students could benefit from the multi-million dollar fields that were recently built, along with the indoor gym facilities. We would likely experience too much traffic congestion if the super middle school and high school were in close proximity.
Model 4	High schools would not have to be closed, which I think have better facilities than the middle schools.	
Model 4	The main reason I'm choosing model 4 is that having one new HS on Azusa's campus will cause a mass exodus of students living in the southern area of our district who will transfer to Northview.	Nothing.
Model 3	One high school - one middle school	Keep Ellington! Make Slauson the middle school and use Foothill to expand the one high school campus.
Model 3	Middle School is an important stepping stone to high school.	
Model 4	Continuity	
Model 4	I feel this has the best chance of retaining and serving students in the Azusa District.	
Model 4	If Foothill closes, then maybe that would provide an opportunity for Lee Students to relocate to the foothill campus since it's nearby.	I would not close Lee elementary. Lee school has been neglected for many years while other campuses have been modernized. This school is worth the investment.
Model 4	Keeps the two high school sites viable	You should've made Center or Slauson a 7-9th.



Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 4	I believe having two choices for high school is a better choice than just one. West Covina school district has a middle school next to the high school and it seems to be working well!!!	Having a middle school available but still having two high schools.
Model 4	Brings up the capacity at AHS and GHS	Keep a TK-8
Model 3	I have children in the district and I prefer not to have them mixed in the 7th-12th High School	I would keep Slauson Middle School also to model 3 to give parents more options that is closer to the main hub of the City. New housing is coming and possibly more children to come. Slauson is close to the "Orchard Apartments" the city is developing and many more housing developments to come. Slauson is close to downtown.
Model 4	I feel students should have options to make a choice on which HS they will attend.	At least one middle school open to allow some students the opportunity to attend in case they have difficulty with the transition.
Model 3	7-12 grade on same campus will be trouble.	2 high schools with a jr high or have elementary go k-8
Model 3	7th graders do not belong on a campus with 12th graders.	
Model 3	I would prefer Middle School to be separate from the high school.	
Model 4	It has the highest capacity numbers also it allows possibly using Foothill Middle School for Lee to move to	Please take into account the location of where students live to where they are having to relocate. Lee students can relocate to Dalton which is .7 miles away but they can not absorb all the students so more than half would need to go somewhere else Powell is 1.1 mile away which is a 25 min walk for most of our walking families. The Lee community is a walking community. I am worried that we are putting a strain on our parents having them relate so far away. Moving them to Foothill would make the walking situation better.
Model 4		
Model 3	We need one high school that can compete with our surrounding districts. I would like to see a new track & football stadium, state of the art technology, and a performing arts center.	If possible, 2 middle schools.
Model 4	Keeping both high schools open	
Model 3	One high school would help the programs with numbers.	I do not like the K-8 model. HSS and Science will suffer and that is not fair to the students at that school.
Model 3		
Model 3	Having 1 high school and 1 middle school will allow for all resources at one site for students to have access to instead of having them separated.	
Model 3	I prefer the 7-8 middle school over putting middle schoolers on high school campuses.	No TK-8.

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 3	It is best for students	No k-8 at all. The k-8 model is not best for 7th and 8th grade from personal teaching experiences at Ellington and Foothill.
Model 4	Loss of students to Northview if the Gladstone Campus is closed.	N/A
Model 4	Middle schools have become obsolete and dilapidated	Close Powell and use those funds to improve the other 6 schools
Model 4	the middle and high school grouping	nothing
Model 4	To prevent the loss of more students to surrounding districts.	To keep one middle school open to keep 7th and 8th grade separate from elementary. They do not mix.
Model 4	Secondary credentialed teachers have more jobs	
Model 4	more secondary credentialed teachers	
Model 4	7-12 makes the most sense for students, staff, and resources	n/a
Model 3		
Model 4	I do not think we should close either high school. Overall it will impact less students as we transition.	
Model 4	two high schools	nothing
Model 3	7th grade is too young of an age to be shared with older teens, 15 and up. Also the idea of a new high school where the students can unite and have the same offered programs and classes is just what Azusa as a community needs. One team one goal.	
Model 3	I do not think 7th thru 12th grade is a good idea.	Make Gladstone a Junior High and Azusa the new high school.
Model 4	I don't think it's a good idea to have one campus with TK to 8th grade; too much of an age difference.	
Model 3	I think it would be good to have one high school and one middle school. I also like keeping the high school 9-12	The one thing I would change is to make Gladstone the one middle school. For many reasons. Give the middle school students a bigger campus and more opportunities for better sports and electives. Also Gladstone has been updated so it would be ready to go for the middle school students.
Model 4	I prefer having the two high schools as long as the 7-8 is on their own sectioned campus on the larger site. Not crossing the traditional jr. high students and the traditional high school students. Each are in their own area with their own teachers and programs.	Keeping one traditional jr high or middle school in the district.

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
	<p>Hello I want to take a minute to thank you all for allowing us to be a part of this survey and being transparent to all who were wanting to know I have been following the meetings regarding the school reorganization since the beginning I want to applaud the cabinet and the school board for taking this head-on and addressing the issues that could no longer be ignored no one wants to have to deal with it nobody wants to go through the agony and the hard work. But it's long over due now No longer can we prolong the reorganization of our schools. I want to also state that I am also sorry, Sorry that it has to be you this current Cabinet and School Board having to come to this hard realization and decision making that I believe should have been made 15 yrs ago but again I thank you for doing the diligent work for our students and our community. I myself want model 3 as a resident and employee and a parent it is quite evident there is no other choice but to unite this student body unite and our campuses as described in model 3 for a brighter stronger education and Future for our students all around their many positives from all the aspects of Education when you are United from the classrooms to the fields two academics and curriculum to all the positive things that come about when consolidating campuses . For to long we've been divided although we have accomplished much with two high schools I can't imagine how much more we could of done if we had been united from the beginning. Lets think about somethings things like would we have had a football stadium already would we have had our new Aquatics pool would we have had a new gymnasium would we have had new Performing Arts Theater would we have new science labs and technology throughout each and every classrooms can every subject I got to believe we would have I hope that this helps you move forward and making your decision know that no matter the outcome that you will always have my support I believe in our schools I believe in our cabinet I believe in my board members I believe there's a better tomorrow if you just give it a chance as you head down this hard Road know that the hard decisions today reflect on the generations of our students and there future currently my son is a freshman on a football team at Gladstone both myself and my daughter and my older son have graduated from Gladstone my son's current freshman team has only four players I took it upon myself early during the summer to go recruit I'm hoping that when school</p>	

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 3	I believe that having one high school may be beneficial if we are intentional with plans, funding, and programs offered. We can do a few things extremely well as opposed to many things mediocre. In addition, we can use one of the high school campuses to house the middle school campus and be able to use the gym and facilities already in place. We can host athletic events for the middle school and invite outside districts to participate which may be one form of possible income. Furthermore, parents and the community might be more excited about sending their child to a middle school that has a gym, field, and additional facilities already in place.	I'm not sure...
Model 3	This model serves our students better.	I would keep Slauson, the larger middle school, open and close the other two.
Model 4	Of the two options, I think it would displace fewer students. I think closing one of the high schools would cause more students to leave the district.	The transition of 7th and 8th to the high school campus will be challenging. I hope the number of admin, support staff, counselors, psychologists, etc. will be able to accommodate the needs of all students, as the resources at the high school level are already stretched thin as it is.
Model 3	7-8 should not be part of the high school experience.	I would illuminate K-8 schools and middle schools should be 6-8.
Model 3	Keeping high school 9-12	Model 3 is perfect as it is!!
Model 4	So both high schools can remain open because of the bond money spent for the upgrades.	Keep one middle school open, preferably Foothill, and make it a 7-8th grade school.
Model 4	To keep both high schools open because of the bond money already spent for the upgrades to the facilities, track and fields.	Keep one middle school open, which should be Foothill because of its close proximity to Azusa High.
Model 3	I like the idea of only 1 high school so all students have the same opportunities and choices.	Close all three middle schools and make all the elementary schools TK-8. Elective teachers could be itinerant so 7th-8th grade students would have some elective options. I think a TK-8 model would be better for our community than the 7-12 model.
Model 3	I feel that seventh graders and high school age should not be going to school together. Also with one high school the sport teams will have enough kids to compete.	Make one junior high at the old high school,
Model 4	2 junior high schools would be great	1 BIG high school and 1 big middle school Makes Azusa high the high school and build a bridge going to foothill! And make those classrooms electives/traits such as medical/auto shop/welding/woodshop stuff kids will use.

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 4	Schools chosen are bigger and clean. Parking space and close by the mountains. We need clean ear and space for everyone.	I will keep the same personal
Model 4	I believe we will lose many students if Gladstone High School is closed. Students will leave the district and find a way to go to Northview. It is also a long tradition in Azusa. I came to Gladstone High School as well as my parents. I also suspect the new high school will just be Azusa High just rebranded as a new high school and that would not be fair to Gladstone High School.	
Model 3	I prefer for our high school to stay a traditional 9-12. The 7th and 8th graders are too young to be placed along side high school students. Additionally, in time the populations of both high schools may diminish below 75%. I would hate to return to this in 3 years and have to consolidate.	Move all of 6th, 7th and 8th grade to Gladstone to become a super middle school. Further consolidate the elementary level and close more elementary schools and all middle schools. This would leave elementary schools at PK -5, Gladstone 6-8, and Azusa 9-12.
Model 3	There is no way students from 7-12 grade should be together. Let's start having our students well being in the forefront.	
Model 4	Less change for community	Can't think of anything at the moment
Model 4	Its the best chose	That No schools close
Model 3	It is too broad an age range to have 7-12 on the same campus.	Two middle schools, or use Gladstone as a single middle school.
Model 3		
Model 3		
Model 4	The main reason is to keep Ellington open since it is a border school and if it close we will lose those students to the surrounding district.	I would leave as is.
Model 3	I believe that if the students are distributed between the elementary and the highschoools middle schools are not needed .	I don't think they're is need for a new highschool I believe one of the two would work fine with the proper adjustments
Model 3	The reason I chose model 4 is because I believe there should be one middle school and one high school.	I would change the elementary schools that are closing to Lee and Valleydale because the capacity percentage is higher in the rest of the schools.

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 3	<p>I dislike so many more things about model 4. First, that people prefer this model because they feel Azusa would lose too many students to Covina. We are reacting from a place of fear when we need to act from a place of reinvention and innovation. If we do as presented in one of the reorganization meetings, and give schools a focus like the STEM school and more, we separate ourselves from all surrounding communities and give a reason for people to come to our schools. Another reason I've heard people choose Model 4 is that they can't see Azusa without 2 high schools. I disagree with this simply because Glendora has done it. Charter Oak has done it. Many other communities have done it. It's doable people. And another reason I dislike Model 4 is that if I were a parent, I would not want my seventh grader in the same environment as a 12th grader. It's two different worlds. The fourth reason that I dislike Model 4 is that Valleydale is closed instead of Ellington as shown in Model 3. You're going to close down a high capacity school that already has a large number of students instead of a much smaller neighboring school. Seems like it would be much easier for Valleydale to absorb students than Ellington and Paramount who are already operating with limited space.</p>	<p>Maybe making Murray the K-8 school as it's capacity is larger and Valleydale would already be absorbing a lot from Ellington. But Model 3 seems like a much more reasonable model than 4.</p>
Model 3	<p>I feel that 7-12 schools will be problematic for our parents, as 12 year old children will be on the same campus with 18 year old students. I predict that we will lose students to other districts at 7th grade.</p>	<p>I am concerned with closing a high school. I believe that this will also negatively affect our enrollment.</p>
Model 4	<p>I think we need the HS</p>	<p>Close Powell instead of Lee or Valleydale</p>
Model 4	<p>I believe keeping two high schools open will benefit the children in our district. I think the students that live close to Covina will end up changing districts instead of going across town to the Azusa campus.</p>	<p>Not having 7th grade on a high school campus.</p>
Model 3	<p>Azusa needs to create ONE high school and ONE middle school to strengthen our schools and programs. We lose too many students to surrounding districts because they offer more robust elective programs and better sports programs.</p>	<p>PLEASE make Gladstone the middle school! Our students and community deserve a nice campus with a great field for sports.</p>

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 3	I don't think 7 - 8 graders are ready to be "mixed" with the high schoolers. I feel more comfortable having a middle school open.	
Model 3	one high school	
Model 3	Middle school separate from high school	Put 6th grade back at middle school
Model 3	I think it is important to have a middle school	Not to rename the high school due to high cost associated with the change in name/ mascot, etc.
Model 4	I believe we need to keep both high schools!!! We will lose lots of kids to Covina schools and others if you close one.	
Model 4	Keeps both HS open.	Keep 2 MS and 2 HS open.
Model 3	Best option	open up 1 more middle school and leave at 6-8
Model 3	Efficiency is higher for High school and Junior High.	Make Gladstone a junior high and Azusa the High School.
Model 4		
Model 4	Model 4 keeps Ellington open and allows students living in that area the opportunity to attend a school close to home, within walking distance. Transportation may be an issue if students living in this area are required to attend a different school.	
Model 4	Im not really prefer any but I choose this one because I believe High schools the are more important to keep the same environment for our student's.	Maybe make other cuts in some other departments in stead to put our students through this big changes in their lives!
Model 3	I prefer the Elementary/Middle/HS model rather than TK-6 and 7-12	
Model 3	I do not think middle school and high school should be combined	
Model 3	i do not like the 7-12 model. the age gap is too great from 7th to 12th.	i think it should be tk-5, 6-8, 9-12 with one high school.
Model 3	I think we should still have at least one middle school open.	Keep Ellington open because it's farther away, and it might be difficult for parents to travel to another school.
Model 4	I am worried about losing Gladstone and Ellington students to Covina Valley USD	I don't know enough about locations to comment, but wonder if the Valleydale students have a good recourse once their school closes
Model 4	Keep the 2 high schools. Seems we lose less students this way	
Model 3		
Model 3	7&8th grade are too young to be with high school students.	Nothing.

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 3	I don't believe middle school and high should be on the same campus.	
Model 4	Model 4 will utilize GHS, and AHS.	Still keep one middle school open.
Model 3	Do not think Football should be middle school-one of the HS should be a mega Middle.	Do not like either proposal. One of the HS could be a mega Middle School-with the new track and field and etc.
Model 3	The high schools have had problems for many years with scheduling and staffing due to their small number of students. It is my understanding that an Ideal size for a high school is around 2200 students. AHS and GHS together is close to that. Let's go Canyon City High!	Have two middle schools, 6-8, use the Gladstone campus as a middle school, in case we ever need to bring it back. (Like West Covina did with Edgewood).
Model 3	I think it would be a benefit to combine all of our H.S. students at one school and all of our m.s. students at one school so that we have a larger number of students in our schools. Then we can commit to providing a wide variety of activities as an extension of the classroom. Students discover the lessons they learn outside the classroom - in athletics or clubs - help them cope with future challenges in the workplace. Our schools need to offer more opportunities that our students need to succeed.	Azusa H.S. should keep its name! The name of the city and school district the H.S. is in is Azusa. Instead of Foothill, Gladstone H.S. would be a perfect location for our middle school. Ellington should remain open as a TK-6 and close Valleydale.
Model 4	Keeps both high schools viable and covers the district better with transportation not being as great an issue for parents when going to only one high school.	N/A
Model 4	All Elementary Schools are TK -6	
Model 4	It would help keep our high school students in the district since the two would remain and current students belonging to each school would not have to travel further. I also think that maintaining Ellington open, it would assist us in keeping that population as well. I believe it should remain TK--8.	I would hope that the 7-8 grade students are NOT self-contained. Otherwise, I do not agree with this model.
Model 3	Having middle school with high school	
Model 4	Students living close to Gladstone High School will probable move to the closets high school in the area.	
Model 3	I think that creating one high school with many career paths/CTE while also giving the kids the gift of transition time at the middle school level is a good formula for success.	NA



Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 3	I think it would be good to have one middle school and one high school rather than combining middle and high school together	Close Valleydale instead of Ellington. Ellington is bigger and a way nicer campus.
Model 3	Middle School needs to stand alone from high school students. Maturity level is key and 8th graders are very different from 12th graders.	Powell should be closed due to low numbers.
Model 4	Some students have a hard time adjusting to such a big change from Elementary to Middle School and when they do adjust they then have to move again.	Nothing
Model 4	Most school districts are opting for this model. It will allow for us to keep the tradition of having the two high schools in this district but give middle school students a better opportunity to acclimate to a high school setting.	I wouldn't change anything for this model.
Model 3	Let High School be for High Schoolers - obviously	NA
Model 4	I have never taught at a 7th to 12th grade school, but I think it would be worth trying a new mindset to help 7th & 8th grade students to be more focused academically instead of just being promoted to high school. We have to work so hard in 9th grade to get students to unlearn the notion that failing classes is a viable option.	Perhaps there could be some kind of model at the elementary level for students to be split into smaller groupings: K-3 and 4-6 at two schools. I don't know if there is any precedent for that but it's just a wondering out loud.
Model 3		
Model 3	One high school for the city provides many advantages and I believe we would lose additional students to nearby districts if 7-12 grades were put together on one campus.	Rename the high school to Canyon City HS
Model 3	Traditionally, a school with the large age and grade spread of model 4 is unsuitable for younger students. There is a lot of maturation which takes place in middle school and will be better fostered if students are in middle school rather than be combined with high school.	Fewer students in a school allows for a more nurturing, warm environment in which teachers and staff are likely to recognize students and call them by name. I know AUSD does not have what is considered large schools, but I believe smaller ones are more cohesive.
Model 4	It's the more logical one out the two. It makes no sense opening a new high school when we already have two.	Not closing all the middle schools (not having grades 7 and 8 in high school)
Model 4	I don't like the idea of one HS. If 3 is chosen and there is 1 HS, don't rebrand it, Keep the name Azusa High, Use the city's name.	Add a MS or TK-8 School option.

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 3	Model 3 maximizes capacity of the sites. Model 4 does not.	Create a new for the middle school like the high school. Have both the same name. (Citrus High School and Citrus Middle School)
Model 4	I'd prefer one middle school but don't think that Foothill can adequately facilitate that many students. The campus is just too small.	I think that traffic, drop off and pick up, will be a nightmare so hoping that is addressed.
Model 3	Separation from 7-8 grades from high school.	I would close Dalton or Powell and keep Lee open due to location and walking traffic.
Model 4	have 2 high schools	add a middle school
Model 3	I do not like the idea of 7-12 schools and the capacity rate is 95.20%	
Model 3	I don't think a 7 - 12 model will attract parents. As a parent, I would not want my child in this model.	
Model 3	Keeping 1 middle school	7th & 12th graders do not belong on the same campus.
Model 3	It allows students from Ellington to transition to Valleydale which is only a couple of blocks away. Also, it would be best to keep the 7th and 8th grade students out of the high school level which they are not ready to attend.	Nothing
Model 3	7th & 8th graders should have their own location for middle school.	I would make Azusa High a large middle school and Gladstone High the city high school.
Model 4	<p>I think the K-8 model wasn't very successful and I don't know why we would keep with it.</p> <p>I also think the Azusa community won't be happy about closing one of the high schools. So I don't think Model 3 is even viable.</p>	<p>1) I would keep Valleydale open and close Ellington. Valleydale is a much larger campus, plus the preschool is right there. Closing Valleydale also means moving all the programs, kids and staff that just barely got there from Mt. View.</p> <p>2) I don't like that closing Lee is on both models, because I believe its location is better than some other schools, plus it is larger, in addition to the fact that many kids walk to the school. I would have liked to see the district follow through with upgrading the campus, which was promised many years ago. It does seem that the district "gave up" on Lee, and for those people who are part of the Lee Community, it makes them feel less valued.</p>
Model 4	We need to keep both high schools. We will lose more students to Covina schools. Azusa residents are very prideful and a sense of honor to belong to Azusa Unified in the same city they live in. I'm a little nervous about 7th/8th graders attending High school campus, but if logistics are done correctly, parents will adapt to new change. Most middle school students have siblings attending high school, so it might be convenient for parents to drop off/pick up.	We should add one school site TK-8th grade to give those 7th/8th grade parents an option instead of sending them to High School. Also if one high school is selected please don't rebrand 'Azusa High', each city should have a High School named after it. We need to respect the amount of years Azusa High been there and their traditions too. You can't change Azusa High. Too many residents will be upset.

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 4	Keeps both high schools	Model four starting at 8 - 12 for both high schools
Model 4	I feel that it will displace less people. This in addition to losing kids to Covina if GHS is closed.	
Model 3	<p>When the numbers are larger at a site (middle school and High School), program numbers are larger. With larger numbers, the programs offered can be stronger. Band, Choir, Athletics, Students with special needs, and others can be better address with a larger staff at one school site.</p> <p>As a Junior High, Foothill should be used, because it is modernized and centrally located</p> <p>Lee School should be closed because it is not modernized. Too much money needed to bring the school up to modernized standards.</p>	

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
<p>Model 4</p>	<p>1) I am aware that the 7-12 grade model works very well in Orange County.  2) The 2 high schools would remain open  3) The district has invested several millions of dollars in bond money to upgrade the high schools. By closing a high school, it would be like "throwing away" the taxpayers' money.  4) All the elementary schools will consistently be K-6.</p>	<p>Since the 3 Middle Schools are going to be closed, I would suggest that Azusa High School be converted into a "Super" Middle School, and Gladstone High School remain a High School - preferably with a name change.</p> <p>By making Azusa HS a super middle school, you would:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. not have to change the name or the logo (except high to middle), so the "Azusa" legacy would continue;</li> <li>2. have the school remain open, so the "Azusa" legacy would continue;</li> <li>3. you would not be wasting the taxpayers' bond money;</li> <li>4. all middle school students would have access to modernized fields, a theatre, a gym, modernized classrooms, specialty classrooms - labs, art studio, etc.</li> <li>5. have the middle school more enticing with all the upgraded and modernized sports fields, gym, specialty classrooms, etc., minimizing the the number of students transferring to a middle school outside of AUSD.</li> <li>5. all middle school students would be one big cohort.</li> </ol> <p>By making Gladstone HS - preferably with a name change, you would:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. have the school remain open;</li> <li>2. you would not be wasting the taxpayers' bond money;</li> <li>3. have to finish modernizing/upgrading the campus (i.e. swimming pool, some of the classrooms, etc.). This would have the High School look more enticing and keep students from transferring to North View HS in Covina.</li> <li>4. have the Azusa "Super" Middle School Students transfer to the HS as a cohort, minimizing the number of students that would transfer to a high school outside of AUSD.</li> <li>5. not have the students choose a high school for the program they are offering (i.e. Engineering, Medical Pathway, etc.) because they would all be offered at the one High School.</li> </ol>

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 3	<p>It keeps students placed with appropriate age group. The potential to have all electives and programs in one school is advantageous to both the students and the staff. Programs would be more accessible in this model. With a larger staff, there is a larger potential pool of staff that could teach specialty classes. Students would no longer need to decide which high school because all the programs would be under the same roof. The CIF teams would be fielded. Currently many sports (and clubs) can only field one or two levels. We used to have three levels in every sport and still have to cut students. Approximately 800 students at each high school are very small high schools, if it goes forward we may need to reconsider sports, extra curricular, and students mixing (7-th -12 th) on campus.</p>	<p>I would use a current high school campus as middle school, which also addresses closing Ellington. Close the pool at the middle school site, redo the pool at the one high school. Use one of the now vacated properties as the site for a stadium and/or performing arts center that is rentable creating revenue for the district. Move Lee students to Foothill site.</p>
Model 4	<p>The new sports fields would be great for both grades 7/8 and the high school grades 9/12. Also would help parents dropping off students if they had multiple kids in different grades (like in 3rd 7th and 9th). Only 2 drop off schools instead of 3.</p>	Nothing
Model 4	N/A	N/A
Model 3	best	
Model 3	no reason at all	
Model 3	<p>Have been working at Valleydale &amp; compared to other school sites I've worked at, Valleydale has had the most welcoming, helpful, and nicest staff and students, and structure. Also, is the most convenient location wise.</p>	
Model 4	I feel it is important to keep two high schools	nothing
Model 4	Larger site stays open. Tradition Students from that area may just choose to go to Northview	Keep some type of continuation site
Model 4	Na	Na
Model 4	Tradition and loyalty to schools	High schools 8-12
Model 3	Less school closes	Add students to attend to AHS
Model 3	<p>One high school would be beneficial for the community and the district. With declining enrollment, there would be no more changes made in the future.</p>	<p>If we went with one high school, I would change the other campus to be a middle/intermediate school. This would provide a labs, theater, gym, fields, locker rooms and classrooms to prepare students for high school.</p>

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 3	I think it would benefit AUSD greatly to have one high school where more resources and programs can be invested and create a robust high school.	
Model 4	I like the idea of two high schools.	I think having 7th - 9th on the campus is good but they should be separated from 10th - 12th grades.
Model 3	Middle school students should not be mixed with high school students.	Nothing
Model 3	This is a more traditional model giving us elementary, middle and high school.	I'm not sure. Sorry.
Model 3	7th. 8th do not belong with high school INFLUENCE	Not closing schools. Improve the school outlook (appearance) and that WILL IMPROVE our enrollment.
Model 3		I like model 3 with the 2 high schools
Model 4	I believe that the two High Schools should remain open and closing Elementary Schools is a good recommendation.	If I could change something about this model is that I would keep one Jr High open and instead of closing only Lee and Valleydale, I would recommend closing Powell and Ellington as well. I also believe that having Jr. High students at a High School can work but keeping one Jr. High School open would also help those families and/or students that feel they are not ready to share the same campus with older students. There are several Elementary Schools that are too close to one another. Thinking about transportation & not only numbers would be a think to consider for Azusa Families.
Model 3	I would prefer to keep the middle and the high school separate	Keep all the elementary schools and not close them, since i believe the smaller the class size - better the quality of education.
Model 3	We should not lose middle school. It is important for kids.	
Model 3		
Model 3		
Model 3		
Model 3		
Model 3		
Model 4	Makes no sense to me to tear down both high schools and spend money on building a new high school. Also kids are adaptable and middle school age students should be fine at the high school level. Maybe a separate lunch time for them.	Re-evaluate the elementary options for shut down, I would consider closing the lowered numbers enrollment.
Model 3		
Model 3		

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 3		
Model 3		
Model 3		
Model 3		
Model 3		
Model 3		
Model 3		
Model 3	students will attend middle school	keep both high schools
Model 3		
Model 3		
Model 3		
Model 3		
Model 3		
Model 3		
Model 3		
Model 3		
Model 3		
Model 3		
Model 3		
Model 3		
Model 3		
Model 3		
Model 3		
Model 3		
Model 3		
Model 3		

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 3	I think 1 HS makes the most sense for Azusa, especially when looking at the capacity rates (95% vs 76%). I know this isn't popular with some in the community. School closures never are. I also don't think we should have high schools with students in grades 7-12. I think Lee makes sense to close since the students can go to area elementary schools and thereby limiting the amount of students leaving the district. According to LaTasha's response during a spring-time Reorganization Meeting, the district is committed to bussing students as needed because of school closures. Lee is also the least modernized I believe.	I think we should replace Ellington with Valleydale in Model 3. Closing Ellington doesn't make sense to me. We added 6-8 there to keep students in the district. Closing Ellington will mean losing MANY students to Covina and surrounding districts. If we consider Valleydale closing, the the schools in the area can absorb that population (Ellington and Murray). I don't know how that would effect capacity rates though. If this change isn't possible or doesn't make sense, I still support Model 3 for all the other reasons listed above. Thank you all for all the work, time, and effort you've put into this committee. It is appreciated!
Model 3		
Model 3		
Model 3		
Model 3		
Model 4	I'm a high school teacher	Keep a middle school open still
Model 4		
Model 3	I don't think that 7th graders should be at with the other high school students.	Close down the middle schools and make Gladstone High School the middle school.
Model 4		
Model 3	Combining high school with help build up academic and sports programs.	Close Foothill Middle School, send students to elementary schools.



Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 4	<p>It makes no sense to close Gladstone High School especially after all the money that has been spent on bonds to upgrade the facilities at Gladstone High School and Azusa High School. Think about the taxpayer money that you would be throwing away. I cannot see the city of Azusa trusting this district with another bond measure. You would also risk losing the students at Gladstone High to surrounding districts. Closing Ellington in model would also not make sense. The students from Ellington would enroll in Las Palmas for middle school and then Northview High School. Thinking that the students are going to remain in Azusa Unified shows that the district is not following logical thinking. We need to keep Ellington open and have the middle schools placed at the high school campuses. By placing the students from Slauson, Center, and Foothill middle schools at Azusa and Gladstone High Schools, these students would directly feed into the high schools for our district, keeping our numbers high at the high schools. If parents are concerned that their child will be in danger by having the middle school students placed at the high school campuses, they need to remember that the middle schools will start and end at different times of the day, the passing periods would be at different times, and so would lunch. They would also have access to more classes and electives. If we were to close Gladstone High School, think about the amount of traffic congestion that would create on the north end of Azusa. Many commuters use Baseline as a way to get to the freeway or to travel from one end of town to the other. Have you consulted with the city of Azusa with regards to this problem? You should probably do so before making a decision.</p>	I would close Dalton School instead of Lee. Lee has a larger enrollment than Dalton.
Model 3	There are two reasons. That a Middle school stays open and I like the community, staff and students uniting to support and benefit from one High School.	Not change but ensure that enough certificated and classified staff are assigned at each site to ensure the best learning environment for all of our students. It would all be for not if staff is spread to thin. Students would loose out.
Model 4	Two separate high schools.	Have a middle school/junior high, preferably not Foothill campus.
Model 4	I like that the two high schools are kept in the community.	Nothing
Model 3	Strengthen our programs in the high school(s).	

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 3	Middle school students shouldn't have to be with high school students. Too young for 7 and 8 graders to be in high school	Closed Lee and Valleydale
Model 4	Retention of students. Gladstone students WILL leave to northview. Start to build community and pride by having the Jr. High students on the high school campus. This will also help retain them if they are already invested in clubs, sports, etc...	
Model 3	I feel there is validity in having the middle schoolers in their own academic environment.	NA
Model 4	I don't believe we should close High Schools.	Keep @ least one Middle School open -- Foothill.
Model 4		
Model 3		
Model 3		
Model 3	I work there	
Model 3		
Model 3		
Model 3	It keeps a middle school open for the 7th and 8th graders.	Keep Slauson open and close Center
Model 3		
Model 3		
Model 3		
Model 3		
Model 3		
Model 3		
Model 3		
Model 3		
Model 3		
Model 3		
Model 3		
Model 3		
Model 3		
Model 3		
Model 3		

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 3		
Model 3		
Model 3		
Model 3		
Model 3		
Model 4	All the money just spent on the two high schools would be wasted. Creating a new high school and everything that goes with that seems expensive. Also, 95% capacity does not allow for growth, should things turn around.	Where is Sierra High School in all this?
Model 3	I like the idea of the high school students having their own school.	I would like to have less crowded schools.
Model 3	I like the idea for a brand new high school. I don't like the 7-12 high school model because I don't think the 7th and 8th graders have the maturity level to be in high school.	I'd close Foothill instead of Slauson. But I understand why Foothill would be the one to stay open. It requires you to bus fewer kids to and from school.
Model 4	Choosing to close Ellington and put students at Valleydale is a waste of money because Ellington has already been fixed for k-8 whereas, Valleydale will have to be updated.	I would have the middle school separate from the High School. I would put all Middle School students at one of the high school campuses and put all of the High School students at the other high school campus, which would give them more students and offer more electives for all of them.
Model 4	Keeps K-6 and two high schools	Look at the Elementary schools closing again, Valleydale has high capacity and has already absorbed a former school closure (Mt. View/DI).
Model 4	Investment has been made to improve both HS's. We should finish them by fixing both swimming pools. This would be an incentive to keep students in the community.  Also, families in the community have strong ties to either GHS or AHS.. Condensing them down to one school might be disagreeable to families who feel they can opt out and sign up for Glendora or Covina Valley instead.	

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 3	I think it is important having a separate middle school and high school. There are issues and problems at the middle school level that a middle school environment is set up to deal with and handle. I have taught middle school in this district for 28 years. We have been able to get students on the right track in middle school so that they could be successful in high school. I also think there would be a problem having 12 year olds on the same campus as 18 year olds. No matter how it looks on paper and how we are told they will remain separate, I just think it will cause more issues. I cannot begin to tell you how many students that have benefited from middle school and found their path to become successful in high school.	
Model 3	As a parent myself, I would NOT want my 7th grader with a 12th grader!	
Model 3	I feel that putting students from 7th-12th grade together would be irresponsible, and be detrimental to the education of junior high students.	
Model 3	High schools should stay 9-12	
Model 3	High Schools kept as is	
Model 4	My decision was based on the high school location not losing them after middle school. If either high school closes families will move to the Northview, Charter Oak or Glendora district. Also for those families having middle and high schools students they will not have to travel to 2 schools.	Not to close 5 schools maybe 4 or 3 instead.
Model 4		
Model 3	Middle school students should not be mixed in with high school students. They thrive more when they have attention focused on their age-specific (and developmental) needs.	That 6th grade would be included in the middle school model. They are missing out on electives and opportunities for more responsibilities.

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 4 Model 3	The District and city need to respect the needs of the families located near the high schools. Also funding should not be used for renaming or rebranding a school when it can be used to bring back and update programs. For example sports that our district use to invest in, (water sports, field hockey, soccer, etc). Art programs our district should fully fund (drama, ceramics, art history, music history, etc) Programs in every aspect that can be useful to our students and align with local colleges and universities outside of the rigorous curriculum offered today.	Change one school to a Pre-8 to offer an alternative to those parents not prepared to send their 7th and 8th grader to HIGH SCHOOL.
Model 4	Some parents may not live close to 1 high school. Therefore, the ability to have 2 would be helpful for parents to transport their students to and from school on time.	Ideally, it would be nice to have 2 high schools, 1 alternative high school, and at least 2 middle schools.
Model 4 Model 4	With this model, I think that Azusa will be able to retain more students because they will have options in terms of what High School they would like to attend. Also, the district can save more being that it has already begun to invest in refurbishing both High Schools.	I would have one arts or International Baccalaureate Middle School level; I think having more opportunities for students will be best for our students marketable for the district.
Model 3	This makes the most sense to me and it offers students the opportunity of a middle school experience.	N/A
Model 4	I had to choose one. But Both suck equally.	We shouldn't be closing down sites. Budgetary deficiencies can be found and addressed thru an audit and restructuring of how we as a district spend money. Low enrollment can be a positive if the district promoted smaller class sizes. Investing into campus revitalization (repaving blacktops, repainting school buildings, classroom refurbishment, etc) will boost community and employee moral. Investment into new subjects or programs such as robotics or sports medicine may attract students from neighboring districts. Improving employee wages and benefits will retain much needed quality staff which will attract students and build community trusts. Closing sites is a short term solution when Investment into sites and staff will have long term benefits.
Model 3	7th & 8th grade students should be with high school students. I think we would lose more students.	

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 3	Closest to traditional	Curious about Lee being closed on both models due to the fact it is a walking community - maybe because it needs modernization.
Model 3	I like the idea of keeping both high schools.	I think that we still need two middle schools.
Model 4	I think having two H.S.s is a better situation for Azusa, and 7th-12th gives more opportunities for middle school students.	
Model 3	Asking the former Mountain View families and staff that are now at Valleydale to move AGAIN would cause the school community to feel as though they are not important and that they don't belong anywhere.	Close the 3 middle schools and make both the high schools 7-12.
Model 4	Perfect for students to develop their learning at an elementary school from tk-6 grade	nothing
Model 3	I like the idea of one new high school for all Azusa students to attend.	I would like to see two middle schools remain open.
Model 4	Keep Gladstone to retain kids in the Covina area.	No 7th-8th in the high school and have at least one middle school.
Model 3		
Model 3	Servicing most of the Azusa community students	Middle school students should remain within same age school environment.
Model 3	I think the option of having 1 really good high school with great sports teams and band program would be very beneficial for the district. I feel like it would make us comparable to nearby districts and wouldn't separate our resources between 2 very large campuses.	I would keep Ellington as it is a really nice school in a well established area. I wonder if we would lose kids to Covina who didn't want to go to Valleydale.
Model 4	Makes the most sense	
Model 3	Max capacity is higher	Remove 7th and 8th grade from Valleydale
Model 3	I like the idea of one HS and keeping one MS open as an option. It is about even for me - very hard to decide.	I think we will lose enrollment from closing Ellington, and prefer Valleydale or Magnolia to close.

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 4	One of the reasons I chose this option is for the Azusa community to be able to choose between two High Schools for their teenager, and for the Students to not be overcrowded at one High School. This decision was extremely difficult to make because I also believe Middle School is a vital necessity for 7th and 8th grade students to grow and mature before being in a High School environment with much more emotionally and developmentally older students.	I would change the Model by allowing the option of keeping Ellington a T.K -8th grade school for Parents who do not like the idea of their child to transition from an Elementary to a High School because of the large age gap. I personally would not like my young 12 year old in a school with 17 and 18 year old's. I would specifically provide the option for 7th and 8th grade students to attend Ellington before transitioning them to High School, and also the Ellington students would not have to be in High School for a total of 6 whole years. If this option would not be available I would rename the two high schools and revamp the two high school campuses. Using Azusa High School for an example I would rename it "Azusa Transitional High School for grades 7-8 + College Preparatory High School for students 9-12. I would bring in borders on the High School properties to make a special 7th and 8th grade only section for their special emotional and developmental needs. I believe you will do the best decisions for Azusa Unified School District. I look forward to the best decisions that will come from Azusa Unified School District. Have a wonderful day.
Model 4	Those schools are located on not very safe areas	To keep creating more sports for kids to attract them to our district
Model 4	So that middle schoolers will have band, languages, and other electives to continue in HS.	IDK
Model 3	Parents near some of these schools have low incomes, so transportation to other sites would be difficult for them. For example, the parents with students enrolled at Ellington either own a home or are moderately well off in order to rent the homes that surround it.	Two high schools would be much better, because of the distance between Gladstone HS and Azusa HS. If we have only one high school, we will probably lose students to the surrounding districts.
Model 3	My reason for model 3 is because to have 1 middle school grades 7-8 and to have 1 HS not 2.	I wouldn't my site Center to close.
Model 3	Don't agree with having seventh and eighth at the high school	
Model 3	The former Mountain View students that moved to Valleydale would have to be moved again if Valleydale closes, including the 2 classes of Life Skills students.	
Model 3	I chose this model because the centralized location of Foothill and Azusa HS allows for an easy transition for students and teachers. In terms of sports, one HS allows for our students to be more competitive in the Montview league and in non-league competition.	I would like to see a 6-8 middle school and there be no K-8 schools.
Model 3	Schools are next to each other, Unnecessary capacity with 2 high schools	NA

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 3	I do not think it is good for the students, families and the teachers who were affected by the Mountain View closure to have to endure another closure, so I chose this model. Valleydale is a much larger school and if the school were to close, it would effect more students, their families and the teachers at the site. Ellington is a much smaller school and the negative impact would be less for all involved. I also chose this model because I like the idea of the new high school so that all students can feel comfortable and build a new school together.	Valleydale should stay Tk-6. New high school should be 7-12. The little ones should not be with the teenagers.
Model 4	I like having 2 high schools.	Add a middle school.
Model 3	Valleydale School has more capacity for students than Ellington school.	I would include Gladstone High School to remain open.
Model 4	Two 7-12 schools on either side of the district would provide parents a choice, traffic would be divided instead of very localized, and electives offered have the opportunity to more robust.	Would close Ellington instead of Valleydale
Model 3	I like the idea of having one high-school in the city. I think it would allow the district to provide more opportunities for students.	I would prefer if another middle school remained open rather than Foothill. With increased enrollment, traffic around Foothill and the New HS(I am assuming this is Azusa HS) would be bad for parents and students.
Model 3	I don't think 7th graders should be on campus with high schoolers.	Keep Ellington and close Valleydale.
Model 3	It is the most feasible model to maintain the amount of students we have now and not lose to other districts and this model will boost morale for parents, students and teachers.	Have the middle school at Gladstone high campus and use Foothill as an annex or performing arts center for the high school and the district.
Model 3	I chose the model number 3 because I think it is an easy and safety transition way for the students.	
Model 4	GHS students- will leave our district to go to Covina schools. Keeping both high school will retain current GHS in our AUSD.	Add a continuation school for struggling students.
Model 4		
Model 4	I dont agree with a Middle School for only 2 years	Nothing




Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 3	A single high school is the best solution. It would allow the opportunity to have more students in extra curricular activities, and more educational opportunities. More AP courses, loss the IB program, increase the association with Citrus College and add more electives.	Use Foothill as a Freshmen campus, and utilize Gladstone as the new Middle School.
Model 4	Not sure the community will go for having one high school. We may lose a large amount of students if Gladstone High closes.	Not sure Valleydale and Lee are best choices to close for Elementary if capacity is the concern. Powell, Ellington and Dalton I believe are the smallest capacity and could be easily absorbed by surrounding schools. There would also be no TK-8 option for parents who are not comfortable with the 7-12 setting in model 4.
Model 3	Better academics and programs for students. More extracurricular activities can also be offered.	Keep Lee School open because the capacity for students is higher.
Model 3	I don't think a 7-12 grade school is in the best interest of middle school kids. One middle and one high school as a better alternative and in the long run is no different than many cities that only have one high school and one middle school.	Get rid of the k-8 and make all elementary schools k-6 and call grades 7-8 a Junior high. .
Model 3	I truly believe that we should at least have one middle school.	
Model 3	7th graders are too young to be together with older high school students.	
Model 3		
Model 3	I feel that middle school is a great way for students to transition before going into high school.	Nothing
Model 4	Not closing Ellington it's a great school the best in the city plus the parents has a choice of what High school their children will attend	To keep Ellington a TK-8 like it is now and have the other school TK-8 so that High School remains 9-12
Model 3	I feel middle age and high school age students should be separate	Nothing at this time
Model 3	Keep Foothill Middle School and Azusa High. The schools are right next to each other and will be much easier for parents and students. Also, Azusa High is actually located in Azusa.	
Model 4	2 high schools	Not change necessarily, but keep Adriana Garcia Medina and Horacio Trejo (Valleydale principal & resource teacher) together. They are a serious dream team.
Model 3	The separation of grade levels.	Not to rebrand high school
Model 3		

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 4	I am not okay with any of these models, but I feel like this is one model means I am less likely to lose my job. Also, this is the most equitable option for our students.	I would just make sure to keep the younger kids separated from the older, maybe a first lunch with 7-9 and a second lunch with 10-12.
Model 4	This model would be the most accessible to our disadvantaged students who cannot rely on alternative transportation to arrive at school on time. This model also seems to be the least jeopardizing to people's jobs.	Perhaps not change, but a suggestion about having two lunch periods. One lunch could be for the 7-9th grade students, and the other for 10th-12th grade students.

# Which of the two models do you prefer?

92 responses



- Model 3
- Model 4

<b>Which of the two models do you prefer?</b>	<b>What is the main reason you chose this model?</b>	<b>If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?</b>
Model 3	High schools having 7-12 grade can cause problems	I wouldn't really change anything about the model 3
Model 4	Looks easier to understand	Nothing
Model 3	Their are a lot of kids at valley Dale and the other schools aswell	Model 3. Should have center open their may not be a lot of kids but it's a great school
Model 4	Because the two high schools are good.	Not closing the middle schools. High school should only have 9th-12th grades.
Model 4	More schools get included	I would try to a third middle/highschool in
Model 4	because like that I dont have to change schools, instead i'll just change grades in the same school	there really isnt nothing i would like to change
Model 3	Foothill is a good school that will give kids a amazing future the kids will also be around more kids to make more friends	i woulnt hange a bit
Model 3	I do not think 7th and 8th graders being at a high school is a good idea.	The schools are good the way they are. Too many changes.
Model 3	I would choose this model because it would be easier to just have 1 middle school and 1 high school.	
Model 3	I don't like the idea of joining middle school to high school.	Don't over packed kids classroom
Model 4	We should just keep high schools separate for the safety of students	I would add 7th grade to elementary and keep it 8 to 12 since 8th graders are maturing
Model 3	The main reason I chose this model is because I don't believe 7th graders are even ready for high school. If anything I would say make elementary schools K-8	I wouldn't want one high school. I believe Gladstone is a great school and shouldn't be combined. Azusa and Gladstone are very different in their own ways and should stay separated.  Plus 2124 students all in one school is a bit much. As a person who goes to Gladstone I don't really agree with the combining.
Model 3	Middle school is a good transition place between elementary and high school.	6-8 instead of 7-8.

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 4		
Model 4	It's best	Nothing
Model 4	The main reason I choose model 4 was because if there would be a new high school there's no way of knowing how that would even work and there are things to consider that some students live further down or further up and personally Gladstone is very close to me .	nothing.
Model 4	Why i choose model 4 because is it would be better to keep the 2 high schools. And why make a new high school and where will they put a new high school at if there is no where to make a new high school.	n/a
Model 3	Middle school and highschool will still have the same grades and feel comfortable. At the same time having a nice combination of highschools.	Nothing
Model 4	I know for a fact that even if one high school is not statistically "at full capacity" we do not have access to the resources that would allow for the accommodation of all students. The hallways are difficult to walk through during passing periods, and right now we do not have enough lunch tables to sit the majority of the student population. I understand that we need to cut our budget by several million dollars, but cramming students to fit schools just for the sake of filling the campus in for capacity does not seem right to me. We are filling schools because we need a higher population yet, we are forgetting the problems at the schools have yet to situate- let alone address.	I would suggest a few of the elementary schools being shut down for at least one of the middle schools to open. There is psychological development in the days of middle school and I would abhor it for students to skip that chapter of their lives. It dilutes the academic and social weight of "going to high school". instead, it would just be a normal school year for them - granted, with much more intensive academic courses. As a student myself, students that previously attended schools like Ellington, definitely get a reputation for maintaining their child-like emotional ignorance - it definitely plays a role in their social life. Schools are meant to prepare students for their adult lives, that would be difficult if we turn the other way and completely ignore student psychology. It is deeply inconsiderate.

<b>Which of the two models do you prefer?</b>	<b>What is the main reason you chose this model?</b>	<b>If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?</b>
Model 4	To keep the rivalry high schools alive.	You shouldn't mix 7th-8th graders with high schoolers.
Model 3	i chose this model because i really want to stay in person ive been tired from staying online and stuck at home.	Nothing i really like it
Model 4	Better/prefer plan.	Not close Valleydale but close Ellington
Model 4	Need to see preschool as well	Nothing
Model 3	Model 3 makes more sense because why change it, it has been like that for years	Nothing
Model 3	I believe combing middle schools and high schools would negatively affect the development and maturity of the kids	Nothing comes to mind
Model 3	High schools would be too crowded	Maybe make the middle school that remains open slauson instead so it's kinda closer for students who live near center
Model 4		
Model 4	I chose this because there are two separate high schools which is how it should be.	I would make all the elementary schools k-8. Think about it. High school isn't a place for middle school aged children. Don't bring 7th and 8th grade to the high schools. Also do not combine the high schools it will become too chaotic and not work out.
Model 3	I don't believe we should do a 7-12 school because of the vast age difference.	Make every elementary school a TK-5 instead of sixth
Model 3	I mainly chose this model because less students will be affected and less schools would be closed down.	I would probably change the fact that the high schools will have to be combined. It seems like making a new school with a combination will be overcrowded and won't be able to monitor and facilitate student needs as closely and effectively.

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 4	The separation of high schools.	Diminishing the notion of attending middle school for the entirety of the district is unmitigatedly absurd. Middle school is essential for the development of our students. I'd suggest keeping a middle school on the same campus as each high schools but having a clear distinction between the students and the classes they attend. Allowing the high schools to keep a middle school on their campus will increase participation in extra curricular activities for the high school and will insure significant growth in retaining the students within our district.
Model 4	In my opinion I believe that it looks cleaner and it is easier for me to understand.	Nothing
Model 4	I feel like having middle and high schools combined is better than what we have currently. I believe it is better to have less schools.	If there was an even number of high schools and elementary schools
Model 3	I chose this model because it makes the most sense to keep at least one middle school open and just merge the two high schools.	If you choose model 3, Azusa high should be the high school that stays open because it's the only one out of the two high schools that can meet the max capacity.
Model 3	I chose model 3 because I think it is fair but there is some stuff I would change.	There are Three thing I would change about it. The first thing would be is to keep all middle school open because it would give parent less time to go to the middle school they need to go to. The second thing I would change is to keep middle school 7th grade to 9th grade. The last thing I would change is to keep high school 10th grade to 12th grade.
Model 4	I would prefer if they didnt close down the highschoools .	
Model 3	I just think it would be better .	I would love for lee to stay open.
Model 4	To keep both separate high schools opened.	To make the elementary tk-8, high schoolers should not be mixed with 7th and 8th graders.
Model 3	I chose this answer because I believe it's better to keep one middle school open	Nothing

<b>Which of the two models do you prefer?</b>	<b>What is the main reason you chose this model?</b>	<b>If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?</b>
Model 4	2 high schools would be open	keep at least 1 middle school open.
Model 4	so don't change schools so much	
Model 4	The high schools are better separate, way too many kids to be put into one school.	I wouldn't change anything in either model.
Model 4	7-8 would be better in the high schools, and the two high schools should be separate because it is to much .	I would not change anything
Model 4	Having gladstone high school and azusa high school ruins the whole concept of being rivals and it would not be the same if they were combined	Have at least one middle school open because high schoolers can be intimidating.
Model 4	Closing Ellington is a wrong option. it's a great school and they offer great education. From what I know, putting Azusa high and Gladstone high is wrong. The teachers will be experiencing many fights on a daily bases between students that are gang affiliated. Option 3 should not be an option. On the other hand, option 4 is a little better because students from Ellington won't have to worry about transportation and other barriers.	Instead of putting 7th-8th graders along with high school students, they should have a separate section of the school specifically for the middle schoolers and have another separate section for high schoolers.
Model 4	It will just be too many students if we put both high schools together.	Nothing



Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 4	<p>For one, trying to merge 2 high schools would cause more problems then there already is with not only capacity but with the students. To takw away both highschoools and merge them is only going to not only build up more problems on top of the problems we still have yet to situate but, it also makes it more risky for everyone because you are adding more people in an enviornment that has over 1000 students, and adding 2000 or more to that puts staff and students at risk. And thinking about the students many of them are not going to want to even go back to these schools if they were merged or if they had to go to a different one due to closing the other.</p>	<p>Things I would change is leaving the middle schools and high schools open and closing more elementrys. Many students get excited to go to middle school and to close down the middle schools they are missing a very important chapter of their life. It also could cause stress and overwhelm students when they have to go from elementry to high school, and for the middle schools and high schools many students walk home because their parents have to work or pick up their little sibblings, and as think together may be an option many students or parents don't want to put their kids in think together. Many students go to whatever middle school or high school they go to because it's closest to walk to from their house or from the school. Closing down the middle schools can cause problems getting home or getting to school for both HS and MS. If it's to save money then it's better to have the elementrys closing but still leaving a few open. Closing down middle school and High school is just not a smart idea. Plus all the money and work being put into those schools now for new bleachers, tables, painting, etc. is just going to waste at this point if you are going to throw it all away to put everyone in 1 school. The amount of parents and students that already stressed when gladstone elementry closed down is sad, and to add to the fact that a new playground was just built only for it to be taken down. That shows how the amount of money, effort, and time put into the schoools just go to waste if you are going to close down those schools. Plus it takes away the expirience for elementry kids when they don't get to go to middle school so it's better to take away more elementrys and leave all high schools and middle schools open.</p>
Model 3	Please keep the middle school open! it provides an important transition to high school	idk

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 3	I chose this option because I rather they close 2 middle schools other than all of them. Also I don't think it's a good idea to combine middle school and high school.	I think they should close down more elementary schools rather than more middle schools and high schools.
Model 4		
Model 4	i chose this model 4 because i want to stick to what ive been going to for a while	i would probally take vallydale then replace it with dalton
Model 4	I want to stay in Ellington because I have good friends here and I don't know where we would transfer. So I want to stay somewhere I know.	Nothing.
Model 3	Im tired of Ellington.	
Model 3	I'm tired of Ellington (sorry).	Nothing.
Model 3	i chose number 3 because of the max capacity	
Model 3	Valleydale is a better school then Ellington.	nothing
Model 4	I chose module 4 because my sister is in 1st grade which she can come to ellington for the rest of her elementary school years. And I will be able to go to gladstone HS and the thing that's good about that is they are both 2-5 minutes away from our house.	The thing I would change is ellington k-8. Just incase me or my little sister arent ready for highschool yet we can stay one more year at a elementary middle school.
Model 3	valleydale is a better school	not to close ellington
Model 4	Valleydale is a better school the Ellington, and more students.	nothing
Model 4	for i dont move schools .	the 3 schools closing.
Model 4	Because Gladstone is closer than the other schools.	Keep one middle school open.
Model 4	better chance for extra stuff	nothing


Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 4	i don't want gladstone to close since its right next to my house and i could walk there, also i want ellington to stay open and i think the middle schoolers should be with the older kids instead of younger kids. Another reason is gladstone is a really good highschool and I don't think it should close down.	I think maybe they could add at least one middle school, but i still like the idea of middle school mixing with high school.
Model 4	I chose model 4 since i will be in highschool by the time it actually affects me, and since it makes me be able to go to a school that is down the street from me compared to like a 10/5 min drive.	if i could change something about the model it would be make it tk-7 because i think 12 and 13 is to young to be with high schools so i would only make it so a bit older kids go to high school. i think 14/13 is ready for high school. other than that i think the model is perfectly fine.
Model 4	Its closer to my home.	I feel like there should be at least one middle school open in model 4.
Model 3	because I don't like being on a high school campus.	to make valleydale a TK-6 school and keep Ellington a TK-8th still.
Model 4	its closer to my home and id most likely would be going there before i knew this was happening	i would like to at least have one middle school open
Model 4	I choose this model because it's better for the community	to put k-8 on all of the elementary schools that are going to be changed to k-6
Model 4	i choose this model because it's better for the community	to put -k-8 on all of the elementary school's that are going to be changed to k-6
Model 4	It would open up more activities and have more of a choice for people and its close to my house.	How many students were there.
Model 4	The student what I choose is 4251 students.	If I can change about the 209 houses.
Model 3	no high schoolers	notting really
Model 4	my mom whants me to go there	less people equls less corna virus
Model 4	my mom whants me to go there	less people equls less corna virus
Model 3	becuse of my sister see cant see and that school halpe her	to go to elington but it wond be for 7th not 8th

Which of the two models do you prefer?	What is the main reason you chose this model?	If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?
Model 4	Its closer to my home.	I feel like there should be at least one middle school in model 4.
Model 4	its closer to my home and i would most likely be going there before i knew this was happening	i would like to have at least one middle school open
Model 3	i chose model 4 because there's more capacity and enrollments for high school than model 3, and there's more than 1 high school.	like 1 middle school open
Model 4	I chose model 4 because I want to go to Gladstone.	nothing
Model 3	I can buy Ellington with the money i make from my Jan.6 movie and my TV show about my life and from the money i make from owning the Thomas & Friends franchise and having the sonic licence to make sonic figures, plushes, and collectors items. and i can have a fund raiser.	KEEP ELLINGTON THE WAY IT IS, Ellington is one of the few things that has still been here for me my whole life, now i have to choose, to get kicked out of Ellington or shut it down. I just wish to enjoy my last 2 years with my friends because i now live in Glendora so once i finish 8th grade i will probably never see them again, but now THIS year will be my last year i got cheated out of 1 year because i was in the virtual Acadamy. I think this is unfair to everyone friends get separeated (like in my case), teachers have to deal with more students (trust me they don't like it because my mom ls a teacher and she does not like big classrooms), and kids woes schools DON'T shut down they get burnt out teachers and with new kids some people might lose friends to "cooler" friends from the other school that shut down, and the kids that get their school shut down will be sad and misrable because the rock that they could lean on is now gone...
Model 4		
Model 3		
Model 4	It's better with separation	Keep at least 1 middle school open
Model 4	cuz its my color	idk
Model 3	Less student keeps 9-12 grades	
Model 4	IDK	IDK

<b>Which of the two models do you prefer?</b>	<b>What is the main reason you chose this model?</b>	<b>If you could change something about the model you selected, what would it be?</b>
Model 3	There is still one middle school left.	To have Slauson open instead because that's a better school.
Model 3	I chose Model 3 because as a 7th grader now as frightening as we feel about coming to middle school i can't even imagine how high school will be.	I feel like we can close one elementary more and leave another middle school open or since middle schools have more capacity we can move two elementary's into a middle school.
Model 3	There should at least be one Middle school open and 7th and 8th shouldn't be with High schoolers.	That only center closes not Slauson.
Model 4	Because my homeschool stays open.	nothing
Model 3		
Model 4	I chose this model because I dont think going from elementary to high school would be such a bad idea. Middle school is the traidtional way of transitioning from elementary to high school but perhaps its not a necessary transitional period.	I would just say it would be an idea to separate the 7th and 8 graders so that they may have their own designated area on campus as well as the traditional high school grade students.

¿Cuál de los dos modelos prefiere?

2 responses



● Modelo 3

● Modelo 4

¿Cuál de los dos modelos prefiere?	¿Cuál es la razón principal por la que ha elegido este modelo?	Si pudiera cambiar algo del modelo que ha elegido, ¿qué sería?
Modelo 4	No es bueno tener a tantos niños de highschool juntos	También tener abierta la center
Modelo 4		